

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE No: W.A.No.978 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	09.09.2026	<u>HCJ (AKrS,J) & GMM,J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> For the reasons stated in the supporting affidavit, this interlocutory application seeking to dispense with filing of the certified copy of the impugned order is allowed. <u>HCJ (AKrS,J)</u> <u>GMM,J</u> <u>WA No.978 of 2026</u> Mr. H. Venugopal, learned Senior Counsel represents Mr. Srinivas Reddy Balakisti, learned counsel for the appellant. Ms. Kamatam Rajitha, learned counsel represents Mr. G. Mohana Sai Baba, learned counsel for respondent No.1/writ petitioner. Aggrieved by the order dated 31.07.2026 passed in WP No.25184 of 2026, the appellant-respondent No.6 in the writ petition preferred this appeal alleging that without notice to him, though he is said to be an affected party, the learned Writ Court directed the police authorities to consider the writ petitioner's complaints dated 03.07.2026 and 18.07.2026 in accordance with law and respond to any apprehension regarding life and property of the writ petitioner and take preventive measures as warranted.	Transferred to i/o folder, before corrections, if any.

		Without entering into merits of the case or maintainability of the appeal at this stage, we feel that if the impugned order has been passed affecting the appellant without notice to him, the appellant should approach the learned Writ Court for a recall/review of the impugned order and for hearing it afresh in the presence of all the parties. If the appellant prefers such a recall/review application before the learned Writ Court, the learned Writ Court would consider it in an expeditious manner. Meanwhile, the writ appeal be listed on 30.09.2026. <u>HCJ(AKrS, J)</u> <u>GMM, J</u> CTL	
--	--	--	--