

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE JUVVADI SRIDEVI

I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025

In/and

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 13348 OF 2024

Between:

N. Raju, S/o Late. N. Rajalingam, Aged about 50 years, Occ.- Business, R/o H.No.11-15-136, Doctor's Colony, Road No.5, Kothapet, Hyderabad.

...Petitioner/Accused

AND

1. The State of Telangana, PS Chaitanyapuri, Rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court of Telangana, Hyderabad
2. Ramavath Ramesh Naik, S/o Pikya Naik, Aged about 36 years, Occ.- Fast Food Centre, Rio H.No.17-1-391/40/A, Singareni Colony, Saidabad, Hyderabad

...Respondents

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in the above said crime case vide FIR No.844 of 2024 against the petitioner/Accused on the file of P S CHAITANYAPURI, RACHAKONDA.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2024

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in Crime case vide FIR No.844 of 2024 against the petitioner/Accused on the file of P S CHAITANYAPURI, RACHAKONDA.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Petition, the High Court may be please to extend the interim order dated 08.11.2024 in I.A.No.2 of 2024 in crlp 13348 of 2024 until for orders.

I.A. NO: 3 OF 2025 AND I.A.No.4 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

Ramavath Ramesh Naik, S/o Pikya Naik, Aged about 36 years, Occ.- Fast Food Centre. R/o H.No.17-1-391/40/A, Singareni Colony, Saidabid, Hyderabad

...Petitioner/Respondent No.2

AND

1. N. Raju, S/o Late. N. Rajalingam, Aged about 50 years, Occ.- Business, R/o H.No.11-15-136, Doctor's Colony, Road No.5, Kothapet, Hyderabad.

...Respondent/Petitioner/Accused No.1

2. The State of Telangana, Rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad

...Respondent/Respondent No.1

I.A. NO: 3 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 r/w 359(6) of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed along with the Petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner and De-facto Complainant /respondent no 2 to compound the offences in FIR.No.844 of 2024 dated 22.10.2024 on the file of chaitanyapuri police station Rachakonda (Ranga Reddy) and quash the proceedings by compounding the offence.

I.A. NO: 4 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 r/w 359(6) of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed along with the Petition, the High Court may be pleased to record the compromise between the Petitioners and and defato complaint /respondent No.2 in FIR no.844 of 2024 dated 22.10.2024 on the file ps chaitanyapuri Police station Ranga Reddy District an quash the proceedings.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri M/s.Konda Srinivas, Advocate for the Petitioner, the Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and of Sri N Krishna Sumanth, Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

The Court made the following: COMMON ORDER

HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE JUVVADI SRIDEVI

I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025

in/and

CRIMINAL PETITION No.13348 of 2024

COMMON ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed by the petitioner/accused to quash the proceedings against him in Crime No.844 of 2024 of Chaitanyapuri Police Station, Rachakonda, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 3(1)(r)(s) of SC/ST (POA) Act, 2015.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the respondent No.2, that during pendency of the present Criminal Petition, the parties have compromised the matter and accordingly, I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025 have been filed to record the compromise between them and to compound the offences.

3. Vide order dated 08.10.2025, this Court directed the parties along with their respective counsel to appear before the Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad, for identification and to submit a report.

4. Pursuant to the above said direction issued by this Court, the parties have appeared and the Secretary, High Court Legal

Services Committee, has identified the parties and submitted a report, dated 14.10.2025 and the same is placed on record.

5. In view of the above, I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025 are allowed. Consequently, this Criminal Petition is allowed and the proceedings against the petitioner/accused in Crime No.844 of 2024 of Chaitanyapuri Police Station, Rachakonda, are hereby quashed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

DI-L VIJAYA LAXMI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

G

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The IV Additional Metropolitan Magistrate cum IV AJCJ at L.B.Nagar, Rachakonda
2. The Station House Officer, Chaitanyapuri Police Station, Rachakonda
3. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad (OUT)
4. One CC to Sri Konda Srinivas, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri N Krishna Sumanth, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CD Copies

ADK/ABK

[Signature]


Along with a copy of Joint Memo of Compromise

HIGH COURT

DATED:24/10/2025

COMMON ORDER

I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025
In/and
CRLP.No.13348 of 2024



ALLOWING THE CRLP AND IA.NOs.3 & 4 OF 2025

⑨ kps
27/11/25

MEMORANDUM OF CRIMINAL MISC. PETITION
(Under Section 528 r/w 359 (6) Cr.P.C.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

IA.No. of 2025

in
Crl.P.No. 13348 of 2024

Between:

Ramavath Ramesh Naik, S/o Pikya Naik,
Aged about 36 years, Occ.: Fast Food Centre,
R/o H.No.17-1-391/40/A, Singareni Colony,
Saidabad, Hyderabad

...Petitioner/Respondent-2

And

1. N. Raju, S/o Late. N. Rajalingam,
Aged about 50 years, Occ.: Business,
R/o H.No.11-15-136, Doctor's Colony,
Road No.5, Kothapet, Hyderabad

... Respondents /... Petitioner/Accused Nos.1

2. State of Telangana,
Represented by Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,
Hyderabad

...Respondent/Respondent-1

JOINT MEMO

1. I am the 2ND Respondent/ Defacto Complainant herein and as such I am well acquainted with the facts of the case.
2. It is submitted that the above criminal petition is filed under Section 528 of B N S S praying for quashing of the proceedings in Crime case vide F.I.R. No. 844 of 2024 dt. 22.10.2024 on the file of Chaitanyapuri Police Station, Ranga Reddy District.

R. S. Rao

N. Raju


3. The above FIR arose in view of the complaint dated 20.10.2024 filed by the Respondent No. 2/ Defacto Complainant before the Station House Officer, Chaitanyapuri Police Station and registered as F.I.R. No. 844 of 2024 against the Petitioners herein for the offences u/s under sections 3 (1) (r) (s) of SC ST (POA) Amendment Act, 2015.
4. It is submitted that the Petitioner and the Respondent No. 2/ Defacto Complainant have agreed among themselves to resolve all the disputes amicably and withdraw all the criminal proceedings initiated by the Respondent No. 2/ Defacto Complainant.
5. In view of the same, the Respondent No.2/ Defacto Complainant is intending to withdraw the complaint filed by it which was registered as F.I.R. No. 844 of 2024 dt. 22.10.2024 on the file of Chaitanyapuri Police Station, Ranga Reddy District. This Hon'ble Court may be pleased to quash all further proceedings F.I.R. No. 844 of 2024 against the petitioner as compounded.
6. It is respectfully submitted that, the Petitioner and the Respondent No. 2/ Defacto Complainant both herein agreed to settle the disputes among the parties *inter se* and shall not raise any further disputes in furtherance of the proceedings in F.I.R. No. 844 of 2024 and agreed to withdraw any allegations made against each other unconditionally. All the disputes between the parties stands finally resolved and there is no ill will or complaint against each other in any manner.


R. S. Rao

N. Reddy

7. It is further submitted that the parties with their mutual consent and free will without any pressure, force, coercion or undue influence from any side, have agreed to end all their disputes fully and to live peacefully. It is here by submitted that the Respondent No. 2/ Defacto Complainant is willing to withdraw the allegations against the Petitioner/ Accused, in view of the compromise, all the further proceedings in F.I.R. No. 844 of 2024 dt. 22.10.2024 on the file of Chaitanyapuri Police Station, Ranga Reddy District, are liable to be quashed to meet the ends of justice.

It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to record the compromise and quash the proceedings in F.I.R. No. 844 of 2024 dt. 22.10.2024 on the file of Chaitanyapuri Police Station, Ranga Reddy District, and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.


Respondents/Petitioner-1


Petitioner/R2


Counsel for Petitioner


Counsel for Respondent No 2

HYDERABAD,
DT: 22.08.2025