

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE TIRUMALA DEVI EADA

I.A.Nos.3 AND 4 OF 2025

In/And

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 12664 OF 2025

Between:

1. Choudhari Javad Akab Nasim@Choudhari Javedakab Nasim, S/o. Naseem Choudhari @Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari, Age. 35 years, Occupation. Engineer.
 2. Naseemuddin Nizamuddin Choudhari @ Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari,, S/o. Nizamuddin Choudhari, Age. 70 years, Occupation. Business,
 3. Gosiya Begam Choudhari, @Gausiya Nasim Choudhari, W/o. Nasimuddin Choudhari @Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari, Age. 55 years, Occupation. Housewife
 4. Navid Nasimoddin Choudhari @Naveed Nasimuddin Choudhari,, S/o. Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari, Age. 41 years, Occupation. Business
 5. Sajida Gulfshan Tamkanat @Tamkanath,, D/o. Zill El Ilahi Shaheen, W/o. Naveed Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari, Age. 40 years, Occupation. Housewife
- 1 to 5 all residents of. Address. R/o. Dukkhi Nagar, Street No.1, Near Bagwan Masjid, Old Jalna, Maharashtra State.
6. Sajjade Kaniz Fatema @ Kaneez Fatima, W/o. Zill El Ilahi Shaheen, Age. 70 years, Occupation. Housewife, R/o.H.No.2-10-1192, Jijamata Chowk, Mondha Road, Masrat Nagar, Bid, Beed, Maharashtra State.

...Petitioner/Accused

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Represented by the Public Prosecutor, High Court of Telangana, Hyderabad.
2. Smt. Afifa Sultana, W/o. Choudhari Javedakab Nasim, D/o. Younus Ahmed, Age. 28 years, Occupation. Housewife / Student, R/o. H. No. 9-4-134/A/37, Aruna Colony, Tolichowki, Hyderabad.

...Respondent

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be

pleased to seeking to quash the C.C.No.10024 of 2022 pending on the file XV Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad against the petitioners /A1 - A6 for the offences u/s 498-A, 506 IPC, 3 and 4 of DP Act

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings including arrest & appearance of the petitioners / A1 - A6 in pursuance of the C.C.No.10024 of 2022 on the file of the XV Additional Chief Judicial Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally At Hyderabad pending disposal of the main CrI.P.

I.A. NO: 3 OF 2025

Between:

Smt. Afifa Sultana., W/o. Choudhari Javedakab Nasim, D/o. Younus Ahmed,
Age 28 years, Occupation. Housewife / Student, R/o. H. No. 9-4-134/A/37,
Aruna Colony, Tolichowki, Hyderabad.

...Petitioner/Respondent No.2/ Defacto complainant in I.A. Nos.3 and 4 of 2025

AND

1. Choudhari Javad Akab Nasim@Choudhari Javedakab Nasim S/o. Naseem Choudhari @Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari, Age. 35 years. Occupation. Engineer.
2. Naseemuddin Nizamuddin Choudhari @ Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari,, S/o. Nizamuddin Choudhari, Age. 70 years. Occupation. Business,
3. Gosiya Begam Choudhari, @Gausiya Nasim Choudhari W/o. Nasimuddin Choudhari @Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari. Age. 55 years, Occupation. Housewife
4. Navid Nasimoddin Choudhari @Naveed Nasimuddin Choudhari,, S/o. Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari, Age. 41 years, Occupation. Business
5. Sajida Gulfishan Tamkanat @Tamkanath,, D/o. Zill El Ilahi Shaheen, W/o. Naveed Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari, Age. 40 years, Occupation. Housewife

1 to 5 all residents of. Address. R/o. Dukkhi Nagar, Street No.1, Near Bagwan Masjid, Old Jalna, Maharashtra State.

6. Sajjade Kaniz Fatema @ Kaneez Fatima, W/o. Zill El Ilahi Shaheen, Age. 70 years, Occupation. Housewife, R/o.H.No.2-10-1192, Jijamata Chowk, Mondha Road, Masrat Nagar, Bid, Beed, Maharashtra State

...Respondent/Petitioners/Accused No.1-6 in I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025

7. The State of Telangana,, Represented by the Public Prosecutor, High Court of Telangana, Hyderabad.

...Respondent in I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025

Petition under Section 359(6) praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the complainant to compound the offence in C.O No. 10024 OF 2022 on the file XV Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad, registered under section 498-A, 506 IPC, 3 and 4 of DP against the Petitioner/ Accused Nos.1-6.

I.A. NO: 4 OF 2025

Petition under Section 359(2) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to record the compromise between petitioners and respondent No.2 and quash C.C.No.10024 of 2022 pending on the file XV Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad against the petitioners /A1 - A6 for the offences u/s 498-A, 506 IPC, 3 and 4 of DP Act.

This Petition coming on for hearing upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri G.DURGA CHARAN, Advocate for the Petitioner and the Sri Jithender Rao Veeramalla, Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No.1, and of Ms. Syeda Asima Unnisa, Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

The Court made the following: COMMON ORDER

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE TIRUMALA DEVI EADA

I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025
in/and
CRIMINAL PETITION No.12664 of 2025

COMMON ORDER:

1. This Criminal Petition is filed seeking the Court to quash the proceedings against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 6 in C.C.No.10024 of 2022, on the file of the learned XV Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally at Hyderabad, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498-A and 506 of I.P.C. and Sections 3 & 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.
2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 6 as well as the learned counsel for respondent No.2 that during pendency of the present Criminal Petition, the parties have compromised the matter and accordingly, I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025 have been filed to compound the offences and to record compromise between both the parties.
3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the compromise petitions.
4. Perused the affidavits filed by the respondent No.2 and the petitioners in I.A.Nos.3 & 4 of 2025 which discloses that they have willingly consented for compromise. Since the parties were willing to

enter into compromise, they were given a chance to settle the matter by appearing along with their respective counsel before the High Court Legal Services Committee for the State of Telangana vide orders dated 25.09.2025 passed by this Court. Thereafter, the Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee is directed to identify the parties and submit a report to that effect.

5. Pursuant to the above said direction issued by this Court, the parties along with their respective counsel have appeared before the High Court Legal Services Committee for the State of Telangana and the Secretary of the above said Authority identified the parties and submitted a report dated 06.10.2025 stating that the parties were identified and they have willfully, without any coercion, entered into compromise.

6. In view of the above, I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025 are allowed. Consequently, the Criminal Petition is allowed and the proceedings in C.C.No.10024 of 2022, on the file of learned XV Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad, registered against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 6, are hereby quashed subject to the petitioners paying an amount of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) to the Director, Sainik Welfare, Hyderabad and Rs.5,000/-

(Rupees Five Thousand Only) to the High Court Legal Services Committee for the State of Telangana, within a period of two (02) weeks from today and file proof of the same before the Registry.

7. Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

SD/-M.NAGAMANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, WPS, CCS, DD Police Station Hyderabad.
3. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One CC to SRI G.DURGA CHARAN, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to Ms SYEDA SAIMA UNNIA Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CD Copies
7. The Director Sainik Welfare Hyderabad [OPUC]
8. The High Court Legal Service Committee, State of Telangana [OPUC]

[Signature]

(Along with copy of joint Memo enclosed herewith)

Note: Total Amount of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) has been paid by Sri G.Durga Charan, Counsel for the Petitioner as directed by the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 24.10.2025 as Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) to the "Sainik Welfare, Hyderabad, and Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) to the Telangana High Court Advocates Association, vide order INV112575506, dt:11/11/2025 Hyderabad and filed Memo as proof of compliance USR No.127399 of 2025.


NVB

HIGH COURT

DATED:24/10/2025

COMMON ORDER

I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025
In/And
CRLP.No.12664 of 2025



ALLOWING THE CRIMINAL PETITION AND I.A.Nos.3
AND 4 OF 2025

(10) *lcp*
27/11/25

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

CRL.P.No. OF 2025

Between:

Smt. Afifa Sultana,
D/o: Younus Ahmed,
Age: 31 years. Occupation: Housewife / Student.
R/o. H.No. 9-4-134/A/37, Aruna Colony,
Tolichowki, Hyderabad.

...Petitioner/ Respondent No.2/
Defacto complainant

AND

1. Choudhari Javad Akab Nasim
@ Choudhari Javedakab Nasim,
S/o: Naseem Choudhari
@ Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari,
Age: 35 years, Occupation: Engineer,
 2. Naseemuddin Nizamuddin Choudhari
@ Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari,
S/o: Nizamuddin Choudhari,
Age: 70 years, Occupation: Business,
 3. Gosiya Begam Choudhari
@ Gausiya Nasim Choudhari,
W/o: Nasimuddin Choudhari
@ Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari,
Age: 55 years, Occupation: Housewife
 4. Navid Nasimoddin Choudhari
@ Naveed Nasimuddin Choudhari,
S/o: Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari,
Age: 41 years, Occupation: Business
 5. Sajida Gulfishan Tamkanat
@ Tamkanath, D/o: Zill El Ilahi Shaheen,
W/o: Naveed Nasim Nizamuddin Choudhari,
Age: 40 years, Occupation: Housewife
- I To 5 all residents of : Address: R/o Dukkhi Nagar, Street No.1, Near Bagwan Masjid, Old Jalna, Maharashtra State.
6. Sajjade Kaniz Fatema
@ Kancez Fatima, W/o: Zill El Ilahi Shaheen,
Age: 70 years, Occupation: Housewife,
R/o. H.No.2-10-1192, Jijamata Chowk,

Mondha Road, Masrat Nagar, Bid, Beed,
Maharashtra State.

... Respondent/Petitioners/
Accused No.1-6

The State of Telangana.
Through S.H.O. WPS CCS Hyderabad,
Rep. by its. Public Prosecutor
High Court at Hyderabad.

... Respondent

**JOINT MEMO FILED BY THE PETITIONERS AND
DEFACTO-COMPLAINANT**

1. The Petitioner and the respondent no.2 have amicably settled all their marital disputes out of the court. All disputes with respect divorce, personal belongings, household items and gold jewelry and full and final settlement are fully settled. Where as the child custody is also settled as per the decree dated 12 feb.2025 passed by IIInd Additional Family court Hyderabad based on mediation, MOU dated 23/01/25, etc.
2. The petitioner no.1 agreed to pay Rs. 25 Lakhs towards full and final settlement to respondent no.2. Out of which the petitioner no.1 has paid Rs. 22 Lakhs on 10-02-2025 through D.D bearing Nos. 014720 & 014721 for sum of Rs. 10 Lakhs and 12 Lakhs drawn on HDFC Bank, Jalna branch. That the balance of Rs. 3 Lakhs is paid on 30-07-2025 by cash. The respondent no.2 acknowledges the receipt of the same.
3. The respondent no.2 and the petitioner/Accused no.1 to 6 declares that they have no claims against each other in lieu of the full and final settlement.
4. Therefore, in view of the above, the Petitioners and Defacto-complainant respectfully submit that, the above matter is settled as indicated in point no.1 and that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to Quash the C.C No. 10024 OF 2022 on the file XV Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad, registered under section 498-A, 506 IPC, 3 & 4 of DP against the Petitioner/Accused No. 1-6 and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.


Hyderabad





Dated: -07-2025


Petitioner No.1/De-facto Complainant



Respondent No.1/A1


Respondent No.2/A2



Respondent No.3/A3


Respondent No.4/A4


Respondent No.5/A5


Respondent No.6/A6


Counsel for the Petitioner/De-facto Complainant


Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 6/Accused 1 to 6