

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA**

**MAIN CASE: L.A.A.S.No.978 of 2025**

**PROCEEDING SHEET**

<b>Sl. No</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER</b>	<b>OFFICE NOTE</b>
03.	15.04.2026	<p data-bbox="524 415 784 449"><b><u>KL,J &amp; BRMR,J</u></b></p> <p data-bbox="524 485 1317 1079">In compliance with order dated 09.04.2026, the Registry has put up a note with regard to the appearance of the respondents/claimants. In the said note, it is mentioned that there is no appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.26, 33, 50, 51, 82, 100 and 109/claimants i.e., Sri Udutha Santhoesh, Sri Giravena Komuraiah, Sri Elubaka Bakkaiah, Sri Pureddiwar Lachamaya Mallaiah, Sri Thotla Kumar, Sri Chinnapalli Srikanth and Sri Bollapalli Papaiah Goud.</p> <p data-bbox="524 1108 1317 1520">Mr.P.Sri Harsha Reddy, learned counsel for the appellant, on instructions, would submit that the claim in respect of respondent Nos.33, 51, 82 and 100/claimants was also forfeited by the learned Civil Court in L.A.O.P.No.45 of 2021. He has filed a memo to the said effect and we have recorded the said fact <i>vide</i> order dated 01.04.2026.</p> <p data-bbox="524 1549 1317 1772">In respect of respondent Nos.26, 50 and 109/claimants, neither the claim before the learned Civil Court was forfeited nor entered appearance on their behalf before this Court.</p> <p data-bbox="613 1801 1317 1835">Mr.P.Sri Harsha Reddy, learned counsel for the</p>	

appellant, Mr.Lakkadi Dayaker Reddy and Mr.Srinivasa Rao Putluri, learned counsel for the respondents/claimants, on instructions, would submit that the appellant – Company has already constituted a Committee with five members and the said Committee will negotiate with the respondents/claimants. They seek time to get further instructions and to file compromise memo.

List on 23.04.2026, immediately after fresh matters.

---

**KL, J**

---

**BRMR, J**

ynk

--	--	--	--