(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA,
AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF AUGUST,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WP NO: 27975 OF 2026

Between

1. M/s. Great India Mining Pvt. Ltd, Office at. D. No. 243/A, MLA Colony, Road No. 12, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad - 500034, Rep by its Ex. Director Mr. G. Deepak Reddy.

2. Mr. G Deepak Reddy, S/o G. Padmanabham Reddy, aged about 47 years, Occ. Ex. Director of M/s. Great India Mining Pvt. Ltd., R/o. H. No. 8-2-703/6/A, Road No. 12, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.

3. Mr. T V S Kantha Rao, S/o. about years, Occ. Ex. Director of M/s Great India Mining Pvt. Ltd., R/o. Flat no. 202 - B, CSR Residency, Ayyapa Society, Madhapur, Hyderabad, Telangana - 500081.

...Petitioner/s

AND

1. Reserve Bank of India, Central Office Building, Mumbai - 400001.

2. Union Bank of India erstwhile Andhra Bank, Rep by its Chairman and Managing Director, 239 Vidhan Bhavan Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai -21.

3. The Zonal Assurance Head & Nodal Officer, Fraud Monitoring Group (FMG - II), Union Bank of India, erstwhile Andhra Bank Zonal Office, Hyderabad, 2nd Floor, Banglow No. 109, New No. 1-7-252 to 254, Oxford Road, SD Road, Secundrabad, Hyderabad - 500003.

4. The Branch Manager, Union Bank of India, erstwhile Andhra Bank, Sainukpuri Branch, Hyderabad.

5. M. Suryanarayana, S/o. Not known, age. Major, Occ. Assistant General Manager, erstwhile Andhra Bank, now Union Bank of India, Sainikpuri Branch, Kapra, Secundrabad.

6. Smt. G. Padmashree, W/o. G.S. Rao @ JS Rao, aged about 50 years, R/o. 3-5-10, Narayanguda, Hyderabad.

7. The Director, Central Bureau of Investigation, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi - 110003.

...Respondent/s

WHEREAS the Petitioners above named through their Advocate Sri Abu Akram presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or orders, direction or directions, writ or writs more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus, declaring the Show Cause Notice bearing Ref No.ZOHYD/SCN/49/2026-27, dated 06-08-2026 issued by the Respondent No.3 i.e.; the Zonal Assurance Head & Nodal Officer, Fraud Monitoring Group (FMG-II) of the Respondent No.2 bank i.e.; the Union Bank of India erst while Andhra Bank, with the intention to declare and report the Petitioner Company and its Director as fraud on the basis of the legal opinion obtained on 10-10-2025 and 10-06-2026 from one M/s. Visista Legal, as illegal arbitrary and consequently set aside the same as the impugned show cause notice is issued without following the due process of law and against the principle laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in State Bank of India & Ors. v/s.Rajesh Agarwal and ors. And is in violation of the Articles 14, 19, 21 of the Constitution of India.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the writ petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Abu Akram, Advocate for the Petitioners and Sri T. Srujan Kumar Reddy, Standing Counsel for CBI for Respondent No.7 directed issue of notice to the Respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4 herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. The Chairman and Managing Director, Union Bank of India erstwhile Andhra Bank, 239 Vidhan Bhavan Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai -21.
2. The Zonal Assurance Head & Nodal Officer, Fraud Monitoring Group (FMG - II), Union Bank of India, erstwhile Andhra Bank Zonal Office, Hyderabad, 2nd Floor, Banglow No. 109, New No. 1-7-252 to 254, Oxford Road, SD Road, Secundrabad, Hyderabad - 500003.
3. The Branch Manager, Union Bank of India, erstwhile Andhra Bank, Sainukpuri Branch, Hyderabad.

are directed to show cause on or before 08.09.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Mr. T. Srujan Kumar Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the CBI, appearing for respondent No.7, submitted that the present writ petition is not maintainable against the CBI, as there are no averments made so far as the CBI is concerned and it is not a necessary party.

Relying on the contents of the writ petition, this Court is of the *prima facie* opinion that respondent Nos.5 and 6 are not necessary parties. The case against respondent Nos.5, 6, and 7 will be decided at a later stage.

Issue notice to respondent No.2, 3 and 4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4 and file proof of service by the next date of hearing.

Post on 08.09.2026 in 'Motion List'.

SD/-P.PRAMOD NAIK
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

/TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Chairman and Managing Director, Union Bank of India erstwhile Andhra Bank, 239 Vidhan Bhavan Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai -21.
2. The Zonal Assurance Head & Nodal Officer, Fraud Monitoring Group (FMG - II), Union Bank of India, erstwhile Andhra Bank Zonal Office, Hyderabad, 2nd Floor, Banglow No. 109, New No. 1-7-252 to 254, Oxford Road, SD Road, Secundrabad, Hyderabad - 500003.
3. The Branch Manager, Union Bank of India, erstwhile Andhra Bank, Sainukpuri Branch, Hyderabad. [Addresses 1 to 3 by SPAD-along with a copy of petition and affidavit]
4. One CC to Sri. ABU AKRAM Advocate [OPUC]
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

BVR, J

DATED: 25-08-2026

POST ON 08.09.2026 IN MOTION LIST

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.27975 of 2026

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
SPECIAL CELL
07 SEP 2026
DESPATCHED