



IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE MOUSHUMI BHATTACHARYA
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GADI PRAVEEN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 28863 OF 2025

Between:

Y Srinivas Reddy, s/o Y. Vema Reddy Aged about 45 years, Occ. Buisness,
r/o H. No 2-3-125, Upparpally, Rajender Nagar, Ranga Reddy District

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department Secretariat Hyderabad
2. The District Legal Services Authority (Lok Adalat), Rep by its Secretary, Ranga Reddy District Court Complex L. B Nagar Ranga Reddy District
3. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy, District
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kandukur, Division, Ranga Reddy District
5. The Tahsildar, Maheshwaram Mandal Ranga Reddy District
6. Laiq Hussain Khan, s/o late Jaffar Hussain Khan Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business, r/o H. No. Jahanuma, Falaknuma, Hyderabad
7. Mohd. Hafeez, s/o late Khadar Hussain Khan Aged about 46 years, Occ Business, r/o H. No. 17-7-114, Yakutpura, Hyderabad
8. Anees Begum, w/o Mohd. Aqueel Hussain Aged about 47 years, Occ House wife r/o H. No. 17-1-182, Saidabad Hyderabad
9. Javeed Hussain Khan, s/o late Ahmed Hussain Khan aged about 35 years, Occ Business, r/o 18-2-614, Jangamet, Falaknuma Hyderabad
10. Slama Begum, w/o late Amjed Hussain Khan Aged about 49 years, Occ House Wife r/o 18-2-614, Jangamet, Falaknuma Hyderabad
11. Nazia Begum, w/o Anwar bin Sayeed aged about 34 years Occ House Wife, r/o H. No. r/o 18-2-614, Jangamet, Falaknuma Hyderabad
12. Nasreen Begum, w/o Mohammed Ghouse Khan Aged about 26 years, Occ. House Wife, r/o 18-2-614, Jangamet, Falaknuma Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature Writ Order or Directions more particularly one in the nature of Writ of CERTIORARI by calling for records pertaining to the Award No1 of 2024 Dated



2025:TSHC:38080-DB

28/9/2024 passed by the 2nd respondent as well as consequential proceedings No B/Maheshwaram/TM24 No Rc 2500002923, 2500003186, 2500003187, 2500003188, 2500003189, 2500003190, 2500003191 dated 11/7/2025 passed by 4th respondent as being Illegal, Arbitrary, Malafide, product of fraud and misrepresentation

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the proceedings No B/Maheshwaram/TM24 No Rc 2500002923, 2500003186, 2500003187, 2500003188, 2500003189, 2500003190, 2500003191 dated 11-7-2025 passed by 4th respondent

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Suspend the Award No 1 of 2024 Dated 28-9-2024 passed by the 2nd respondent in the interest of Justice

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. VIJAY KUMAR PANUGANTI

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1to5: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No.7: Ms . KEERTI KABRA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 6to12: --

The Court made the following: ORDER



**THE HON'BLE JUSTICE MOUSHUMI BHATTACHARYA
AND
THE HON'BLE JUSTICE GADI PRAVEEN KUMAR**

WRIT PETITION NO.28863 OF 2025

Mr. Vijay Kumar Panuganti, learned counsel appearing learned counsel for the petitioner.

Ms. Keerti Kabra, learned counsel appearing learned counsel for the respondent No.7.

ORDER: *(Per Hon'ble Justice Moushumi Bhattacharya)*

1. Counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the Writ Petition on the basis of an order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench in W.P.No.29616 of 2021 on 19.11.2021.
2. Counsel appearing for the respondent No.7 also raises an issue with regard to the maintainability of the writ petition.
3. We however find that the Co-ordinate Bench in W.P.No.29616 of 2021 had also found that there was no direct nexus between the document which was under challenge in the said writ petition and the right of the petitioner over the subject property. The Co-ordinate Bench held that the petitioner should approach the competent Civil Court for declaration of his right and title over the subject property.



2025:TSHC:38080-DB

2

MB,J& GPK,J
W.P.No.28863 of 2025

4. In view of the above submissions W.P.No.28863 of 2025, along with all connected applications, is accordingly dismissed. Petitioner shall be at liberty to approach the competent Civil Court to seek appropriate relief. There shall be no order as to costs.

SD/- A.H.S. GOWRI SHANKAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

6
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. VIJAY KUMAR PANUGANTI, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE ,High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. One CC to Ms. KEERTI KABRA, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

B M
LS

4
CPJ



2025:TSHC:38080-DB

HIGH COURT

DATED:23/10/2025



ORDER

WP.No.28863 of 2025

DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION WITHOUT COSTS

(7) *kpl*
28/10/25