



2024:TSHC:36142

[3303]

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

**WRIT PETITION Nos.11275, 16662, 6118 & 25029 OF 2005 AND
26767 OF 2010**

WRIT PETITION NO: 11275 OF 2005

Between:

Amritnagar Colony-II Plot Owners Welfare Association (Regd) Rep. by its President
Sri Satyanarayana Rao.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Saroornagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
2. The Special Grade Dy. Collector &, Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy (E) Division, Hyderabad.
3. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.
4. Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab (Died as per LRs Respondents No.6 to 11).
5. Gulam Murtuza, S/o Gulam Mujtaba, Business, R/o 3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatbnagar, Hyderabad.
6. Nikath Parveen, W/o Late Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 52 years, Occ: Household,
7. Mohammad Shujauddin Ali Khan, S/o Late Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 37 years, Occ: Business,
8. Mohammad Saifuddin Ali Khan, S/o Late Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 36 Years, Occ: Business,
9. Mohammad Ziauddin Ali Khan, S/o Late Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 35 years, Occ: Business,
10. Mohammad Fakhruddin Ali Khan, S/o Late Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 33 years, Occ: Business,
11. Sabahat Fathima S/o Late Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 30 years, Occ: Business.



Respondent Nos. 6 to 11 brought on record as LR's of the deceased Respondent No.4 as per Court Order dated 23.12.2022 in I.A.No.1 of 2022

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the orders passed by the Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Khairatabad, Hyderabad-4, the 3rd Respondent herein in Case No. D5/6631/2003, D5/6632/2003 and D5/6633/2003, dated 30-4-2005 as void ab-initio illegal and unconstitutional for violation of various provisions of Articles 14 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and declare the same as null and void and further declare that the order passed by the Court of Special Dy. Collector in Letter No. A2/997/1998, dt. 21-7-2003 alone is valid and binding on the parties and issue a consequential direction to the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the Petitioner.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2005(WPMP. NO: 14452 OF 2005)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased suspend the operation of the orders of the 1st Respondent Joint Collector, No. D5/6631/2003, D5/6632/2003 and D5/6633/2003, dated 30-4-2005 including dispossession of the Petitioner Association from the premises in Sy.No. 9/1/H, Saroornagar Village and Mandal, R.R. District pending disposal of the above writ petition.



IA NO: 1 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

1. NTR Nagar Slum Dwellers Uplifting Society
Reg No 1663/1987 Rep by its General Secretary
Mohammed Akbar Khan S/o Late Mohammed Khaja Khan
Aged 65 Yrs R/o 11-21-1050/B NTR Nagar
L B Nagar Ranga Reddy District Hyderabad 500 074
2. Geesa Upplaiah W/o G Ramesh
Aged about 50 yrs Businessman R/o 11-21-1624
NTR Nagar LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
3. Kunde Jayamma W/o K Beerappa
Aged about 47 yrs housewife R/o 11-21-646/5
NTR Nagar LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74 TS
4. Barla Malleshram S/o Marraiah
Aged about 49 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-669/B/Q NTR Nagar
LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
5. Andalu W/o Bikshamaiah
Aged about 53 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-975 NTR Nagar
LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
6. Mator Pushpamma W/o M Yallaiah
Aged about 51 yrs house wife R/o 11-21-67
NTR Nagar LB Nagar Rangareddy dist Hyd74
7. Kunde Beerappa S/o K Mallaiah
Aged about 53 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-646/5 NTR Nagar
LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

8. Kommula Ravinder S/o K Kumraiah
Aged about 46 yrs businessman
R/o 11-14-16/1/443 NTR nagar
LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
9. Mote Umarani W/o M Madhukar
Aged about 28 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-982 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd-74.
10. Sk. Jahangir S/o Shaik Khasim,
Aged about 56 yrs, Occ: Driver,
R/o 11-21-224/A NTR Nagar
LB Nagar Rangreddy Dist Hyd-74. TS
11. Jukanti Srikanth S/o J Peddulu
Aged about 28 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-991 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
12. Yedu Padma W/o Y Balu
Aged about 32 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-316 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dsit Hyd74
13. Geesa Jyothi W/o G Ramesh
Aged about 40 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-418/A NTR Nagar
LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd
14. Thummala Andalu W/o T Satti Reddy
Aged about 53 yrs Housewife R/o 11-21-634/B
NTR Nagar LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
15. Singam Srivani W/o S Ramesh Kumar
Aged about 44 yrs Housewife R/o 11-21-996
NTR Nagar LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

16. Malga Venkateshwarlu s/o Late Krishna Malga
aged about 53 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-994 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
17. Jukanti Allama W/o J Allaiah
Aged about 57 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-224/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74 TS
18. Godugu Krishnaiah S/o G Naraiah
Aged about 38 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-669/B/O NTR Nagar
LB Nagar Rangareddy Hyd74
19. Volluri Srilaxmi W/o V Ramesh
Aged about 36 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-664/B/6/C/104 NTR Nagar
LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74 TS
20. Md Athar Khan S/o Late Md Anwar
Aged about 36 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-1117 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
21. Cheguri Padma w/o Ch Paramesh
Aged about 38 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-152/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
22. Arige Praveen Kumar S/o A Jangaiah
Aged about 28 yrs Student R/o 11-21-153
NTR Nagar LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
23. Narri Srisaia S/o N Narasimha
Aged about 37 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-153/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:3614

24. Geesa Kalamma
W/o Aged about 53 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-154 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
25. Yellaboina PadmaW/o Y Narasimha
Aged about 38 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-154/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
26. Dende BhikshamaiahS/o D Mallaiah
Aged about 37 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-156 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
27. Aithagoni YadagirS/o A Narsaiah
Aged about 43 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-157 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
28. Konde Nirmala W/o K Narsimha
Aged about 32 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-157/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
29. Thandarupalli YadaiahS/o T Anjaiah
Aged about 52 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-158 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
30. Varkala Jayamma W/o V Papaiah
Aged about 62 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-159 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
31. Munagapati Sunanda D/o M Anjaiah
Aged about 44 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-159/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

32. Jellai Raghavendra S/o J Bhavanarushi
Aged about 32 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-160/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
33. Ummenthaja Shekar S/o Mallaiah
Aged about 48 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-161 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
34. Dornala Lakshmi W/o D Ayodya
Aged about 43 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-161/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
35. Madagani Vijaya W/o Narsimha
Aged about 33 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-162 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
36. Palakuri Nandhini W/o D/o Yadaiah
Aged about 31 yrs student
R/o 11-21-162/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
37. Polagoni Saritha W/o P Narasimha
Aged about 33 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-163/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
38. Matoor Mamatha w/o M Siddu
Aged about 30 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-164 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd-74
39. Andugula Saidaia S/o Edaiah
Aged about 39 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-164/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd-74



2024:TS

40. Parveen Sultana W/o Mohd Abdul Khaliq
Aged about 50 yrs housewife
R/o H No 11-21-1006 NTR Nagar L B Nagar
Rangareddy District Hyd74
41. Neer Nemula Roopa W/o N N Ramulu
Aged about 50 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-1006/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
42. Korra Kumar S/o Pentaiah
Aged about 30 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-1067 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
43. Banda Ramadevi W/o B Buchaiah
Aged about 42 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-1067/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
44. Narri Mamatha W/o N Ramesh
Aged about 33 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-1063 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
45. Charuku Yadaiah S/o C Muthaiah
Aged about 49 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-1049 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
46. Dayyala Saritha D/o D Mallaiah
Aged about 30 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-1049/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
- Maragoni Shankar s/o M Bikhsamaiah
Aged about 41 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-1055 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

48. Bandaru Ramaswamy S/o B Shakaraia
Aged about 53 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-1055/A NBTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
49. Md Yadulla S/o Md Tajuddin
Aged 38 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-1033 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
50. Volluri Ramesh S/o V Narasimha
Aged about 40 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-1033/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
51. Jampala Laxamma W/o J Govardhan
Aged about 43 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-23/1 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
52. Nimmarnagoti Sandhya W/o N Srinivas
Aged about 35 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-23/1/A NTR Nagar LBNagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
53. Gesha Mallamma W/o Late Balnarshima
Aged about 82 yrs widow R/o 11-21-67/A
NTR Nagar LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
54. Barla Mamatha W/o B Laxman
Aged about 32 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-68 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
55. Barla Laxman S/o B Isthari
Aged about 41 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-68/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



56. Emme Mounika W/o E Pandari
Aged about 30 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-73 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
57. Kollu Ramesh S/o K Beeraiah
Aged about 33 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-73/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
58. Mandula Sataiah S/o M Beerappa
Aged about 46 yrs Businessman
R/o 112174 NTR nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
59. Manga Malleshwari D/o M Nagesh
Aged about 25 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-74/A NTR nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
60. Achina Lingawami S/o A Kistaiah
Aged about 38 yrs Businessman
R/o 112174/B NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
61. Manga Nagesh S/o M Bondaiah
Aged about 45 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-75 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
62. Sugunamma W/o Buchaiah
Aged about 53 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-76 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy dist Hyd74
63. Bache Komalatha D/o B Yadaiah
Aged about 29 yrs student R/o 11-21-76/A
NTR Nagar LB nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

64. Kunapuri Sataiah S/o K Mallaiah
Aged about 61 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-77/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74 TS
65. Suragani Venkata Ramulu S/o Umamaheswar Rao
Aged about 33 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-77/B NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy dist Hyd74
66. Regu Ilaiah S/o R Nagaiah
Aged about 42 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-78 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
67. Bandaru Ganesh S/o B Yadaiah
Aged about 37 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-78/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74 B
68. Laxmaiah S/o B Narshima
Aged about 50 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-79 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
69. Banda Andal W/o B Anjaiah
Aged about 50 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-79/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy dist Hyd74 TS
70. P Vijaya W/o P Pandu
Aged about 37 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-80/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangreddy Dist Hyd-74. TS
71. Aamgure Dhwait W/o A Bichha
Aged about 46 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-81 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74 TS



2024:TSHC:36142

72. Nakarakanti Mallesh S/o N Narshima
Aged about 65 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-81/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
73. P Vanaja W/o P Anjaiah
Aged about 50 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-82 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
74. Nettu Mallesh S/o N Maraiah
Aged about 53 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-82/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
75. Kandala Narsimha S/o K Laxmaiah
Aged about 43 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-83 NTR nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd-74.
76. Balagoni Jangaiah S/o B Narsimha
Aged about 56 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-83/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
77. Relagadda Swami S/o R Sailu
Aged about 38 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-84 NTR nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
78. Bareegela Yadaiah S/o B Suraiah
Aged about 56 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-84/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
79. Kasarla Varalaxmi W/o K Narasimha
Aged about 37 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-85 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



80. Kasaria Narasimha S/o K Saalaiah
Aged about 47 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-85/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
81. Cheguri Sudhakar S/o Ilaiah
Aged about 33 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-86/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
82. Madagani Rajesh S/o M Anjaiah
Aged about 31 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-87 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
83. C Narsamma w/o Kumraiah
Aged about 78 yrs widow
R/o 11-21-87/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
84. Illama W/o Saalaiah
Aged about 58 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-88 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd-74, T.S.
85. K Salaiah S/o Ramaswami
Aged about 73 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-88/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd-74, T.S.
86. Konduru Krishna S/o Late K Buggaiah
Aged about 53 yrs Driver
R/o 11-21-89 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd-74.
87. Goriga Manoj S/o G Sathaiah
Aged about 33 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-89/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

88. Mandhula VenkateshS/o Ianna
Aged about 39 yrs Driver
R/o 11-21-100 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
89. Konde VenkateshS/o K Mallaiah
Aged about 61 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-100/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
90. Manga VenkateshS/o M Srisailam
Aged about 40 yrs Driver
R/o 11-21-101 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
91. Ch Babu RaoS/o Ch Lingaiah
Aged about 53 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-101/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
92. Boda LakshmammaW/o B Lingaiah
Aged about 46 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-102 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
93. Chindam JangaiahS/o Ch Bikshapathi
Aged about 48 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-673 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
94. Godha Shiva KumarS/o G Bala Kishan
Aged about 30 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-103 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd
95. Gande Jaya Lakshmi W/o Mallesham
Aged about 37 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-103/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

96. B Manjula W/o B Venkatesh
Aged about 34 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-104 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
97. Gunti Veeraiah S/o Late G.Illaiah
Aged about 59 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-104/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
98. K Ramulamma W/o K Sattaiah
Aged about 48 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-105 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
99. Jukanti Madhukar S/o J Illaiah
Aged about 30 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-105/ANTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
100. Katam Yadaiah s/o K Chandraiah
Aged about 74 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-106 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
101. Gullan Kalavathi W/o G Deva Das
Aged about 52 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-106/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
102. Pochgary Saritha W/o P Raju
Aged about 32 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-107 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
103. P Madhavi W/o P Balaraj
Aged about 43 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-107/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

104. P Swapna W/o P Shiva Kumar
Aged about 35 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-108 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist74
105. Mote Jangamma W/o M Rama Dasi
Aged about 47 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-108/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
106. Bugga Srikanth S/o B Buchaiah
Aged about 28 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-996 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
107. Thiramdasu P Saradhi s/o T Laxminarayana
Aged about 36 yrs Labour
R/o 11-21-109 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
108. Volluri Lingam S/o V Roshaiah
Aged about 57 yrs Driver
R/o 11-21-109/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
109. Pottimurthy Srinivasa Rao S/o Balakrishna Anjaneyulu
Aged about 54 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-110 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
110. Pottimurthy Ramesh Babu S/o P Srinivas Rao
Aged about 31 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-110/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
111. Mote Parijata W/o M Ashok
Aged about 35 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-111 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

112. Chilukuri Govardhan s/o Ch Venkatesham
Aged about 54 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-111/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
113. Konduru Sattaiah S/o K Julaiah
Aged about 58 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-112 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
114. Kunapuri Kavitha w/o K Ramulu
Aged about 42 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-112/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
115. Dende Lakshmi W/o D Anand Kumar
Aged about 32 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-113 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
116. Laxmi w/o B Narasimha
Aged about 32 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-113/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
117. Manthri PrashanthS/o M Chandraiah
Aged about 30 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-114 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74 118.
118. Valluri Lakshmamma W/o V Narsaiah
Aged about 57 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-114/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
119. GaneshS/o Buchaiah
Aged about 31 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-115 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74 TS



2024:TSHC:36142

120. Kasarla Raginid/o K Narasimha
Aged about 23 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-115/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74 TS
121. Suragani Nagamani W/o Uma Maheshwar Rao
Aged about 53 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-117 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
122. Yelendra W/o Amanaganti Veeraiah
Aged about 48 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-117/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
123. Guvva Maheshwari W/o G. Ashok
Aged about 38 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-118 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
124. Chinthala Manjula W/o Ch Lingaswamy
Aged about 31 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-118/A housewife LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
125. Yara Sumalatha W/o Y Narsimlu
Aged about 29 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-119 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
126. K Laxmi W/o K Krishna Rao
Aged about 32 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-119/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
127. Kanakala Srisailem S/o K Yellaiah
Aged about 46 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-120 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

128. Kanakala Swamy Kumar S/o K Eailaiah
Aged about 38 yrs service
R/o 11-21-120/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
129. Kanakala Shivaii S/o Eailaiah
Aged about 32 yrs service
R/o 11-21-121 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
130. B Yadamma W/o B Narsimha
Aged about 40 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-121/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
131. Kappe Rameshwari W/o Srisailam
Aged about 37 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-122 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
132. Kunde Sattaiah S/o K Pentaiah
Aged about 43 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-122/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
133. K Sunitha S/o K Ramesh
Aged about 38 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-123 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
134. Kundy Rajitha W/o K Ramesh
Aged about 38 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-123/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
135. Nakrekandi Lalitha W/o N Saidulu
Aged about 38 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-124 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

136. K Ramesh S/o K Mallesh
Aged about 44 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-124/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
137. Mote Paramesh S/o M Beerappa
Aged about 33 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-125 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
138. Narri Venkatesham S/o N Narasimha
Aged about 46 yrs Driver
R/o 11-21-125/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
139. Ganam Yadhamma W/o G Srisailam
Aged about 58 yrs Widow
R/o 11-21-126 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
140. Narri Illaiah S/o Narasimhulu
Aged about 47 yrs Driver
R/o 11-21-126/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
141. Kunde Venkatesh S/o K Pentaiah
Aged about 48yrs Driver
R/o 11-21-127 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
142. Siliveru Aruna W/o S Rajender
Aged about 35 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-127/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
143. Siliveru Tejashree W/o S Prabhakar
Aged about 33 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-128 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

144. Siliveru Bhagyalaxmi W/o Bhaskar
Aged about 45 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-128/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
145. Maliga Sankaraiah W/o Lingaiah
Aged about 47 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-129 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
146. Konduru Linga Swami
Aged about 31 yrs Businessman
R/o 1121129/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
147. Eluri Rani W/o E Ravi
Aged about 37 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-130 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
148. Pigalli Saidulu S/o P Chandraiah
Aged about 44 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-130/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
149. Balagoni Lakshmi W/o B Sekhar
Aged about 38 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-131 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
150. Kadaganchi Padma W/o K Parvatalu
Aged about 52 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-131/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
151. Kadaganchi Narsimha S/o K Ramulu
Aged about 34 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-132 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

152. Mote Danaiah S/o M Narsimha
Aged about 57 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-132/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
153. P Pnadu S/o P Shivaiah
Aged about 45 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-133 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
154. Kunapuri Srikanth S/o K Sathaiah
Aged about 30 yrs student
R/o 11-21-133/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
155. Bugga Mahesh S/o B Baswaiah
Aged about 31 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-134 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
156. Banda Narendar S/o B Bikshapathi
Aged about 38 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-134/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
157. Pagilla Srinivas S/o Pagilla Narsimha
Aged about 31 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-135 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
158. B Dayasagar Yadav S/o Late B Pandu Rangam
Aged about 47 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-135/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
159. Kunde Kalpana w/o Kunde Venkatesh
Aged about 37 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-136 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

160. Korra Sudhakar S/o Korra Lakpathi
Aged about 26 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-136/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
161. Dayyala Rajitha W/o D Lingaswamy
Aged about 35yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-137 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
162. Valluri Jangaiah s/o V Mallaiah
Aged about 39 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-137/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
163. Narri Anjaiah s/o N Mallaiah
Aged about 39 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-138 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
164. Bimanapally Mangamma W/o B Yadgiri
Aged about 35 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-138/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
165. Beenapally Sankaraiah s/o B Abbaiah
Aged about 36 yrs Busienssman
R/o 11-21-139 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
166. Aithagoni Venkanna s/o A Anjaiah
Aged about 38 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-139/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
167. Nakarakanti Srinivas s/o N Satyaiah
Aged about 36 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-140 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

168. Madagoni Lingaiah S/o M Sathaiah
Aged about 38 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-140/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
169. Kunde Mallaiah S/o K Bhoomaiah
Aged about 57 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-141 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
170. Bharthala Rajyalaxmi W/o B Venkatesh
Aged about 40 yrs Housewife
R/o 1121141/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
171. Kota Bharathamma W/o K Vasudev
Aged about 68 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-142 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
172. Aiathagoni Yadaiah S/o A Anjaiah
Aged about 52 yrs businessman
R/o 11-21-142/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
173. Dende Saidulu S/o
Aged about 48 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-143 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
174. Marepalli Danamjaya S/o M Lingaiah
Aged about 39 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-143/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
175. Pagila Sreenau s/o Achalu
Aged about 42 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-144 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

176. Kasarla Sridhar s/o K Narasimha
Aged about 24 yrs student
R/o 11-21-144/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
177. Kasarla Salaiah S/o Ramaswamy
Aged about 73 yrs businessman
R/o 1121145 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
178. Thiramdasu Bharathamma W/o T Pentaiah
Aged about 55 yrs Houseman
R/o 11-21-145/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
179. Ravula Balaiah s/o R Lingaiah
Aged about 69 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-146 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
180. Badadha Madhu S/o B Anjaiah
Aged about 35 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-146/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
181. Narre Rajeshwar S/o N Sriramulu
Aged about 37 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-147 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
182. Aruna w/o M Jagadish
Aged about 40 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-147/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
183. Jetta Swaroopa W/o J Venkatesham
Aged about 45 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-148 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

184. Cheruku Bharath Kumar S/o Ch Mallesh
Aged about 29 yrs student
R/o 11-21-148/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
185. Cheruku Alivelu Manga W/o Ch Dhananjaiah
Aged about 36 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-149 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
186. Kunde kavitha W/o Maliga Ravi
Aged about 31 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-149/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
187. Kunde Manjula W/o K Sathaiah
Aged about 40 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-150 NTR Nagar LB
Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
188. Barla Jayamma W/o B Mallesh
Aged about 53 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-150/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
189. Mandula Swamy S/o M Yadaiah
Aged about 35 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-151 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
190. Maliga Ravi s/o M Yadaiah
Aged about 35 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-151/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
191. Jalli Misamma W/o Uppalaiah
Aged about 46 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-152 NTR Naga LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

192. Sudhagani Shirisha D/o S Anjaneyalu
Aged about 25 yrs student
R/o 11-21-166/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
193. Peruvula Malikariuna S/o P Anjaiah
Aged about 38 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-167 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
194. Kamatala Anuradha W/o B Karunakar
Aged about 32 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-167/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
195. Bijinapu Chandramma W/o B Shankaraiah
Aged about 43 yrs housewife
R/o 11-21-168/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
196. Shaik Baseeruddin S/o Shaik Buranuddin
Aged about 41 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-152/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
197. Athiq Bin Amar S/o Amar Bin Syed
Aged about 45 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-165 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
198. Gulam Muthuia S/o Gulam Mustafa
Aged about 41 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-165/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
199. Md Zameeruddin Khan S/o Md Sarifuddin Khan
Aged about 77 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-166 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

200. Md Obaid Ur Rahman S/o Late Md Zia Ur Rahman
Aged about 30 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-166/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
201. Najma Sultana D/o Md Abdul Khaliq
Aged about 35 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-167 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
202. Heena NaazW/o Sayyed Sanwar Pasha
Aged about 33 yrs Housewife R/o 11-21-167/A
NTR Nagar LB Nagar Rangareddy Hyd74
203. Tabassum Fatima D/o Late Md Anwar Khan
Aged about 30 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-1117 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
204. Mohd Afzal Khan S/o Late Md Anwar Khan
Aged about 51 yrs Teacher R/o 11-21-1117/A
NTR Nagar LB Nagar Rangareddy Dist Hyd74
205. Yasmeen Begum D/o Late Md Afsar
Aged about 36 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-168 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74 G
206. Saidamma W/o G Mani Kumar
Aged about 48 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-168/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
207. Rathlavath Chitti W/o R Srinu
Aged about 33 yrs Housewife
R/o 1121169 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

208. Enugula Padma W/o E Kumaraiah
Aged about 50 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-169/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
209. Pavan Kumar S/o Anjaiah
Aged about 38 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-170 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
210. Mohd Rahimoddin s/o Md Ahmed Mohinuddin
Aged about 38 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-170/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
211. Salma Tabassum W/o Gulam Murthuja
Aged about 39 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-171 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
212. Jala Raiesh Kumar Yadav S/o J Bikshapathi
Aged about 37 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-171/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
213. Syed Abdul Quddus S/o Late Syed Abdul Sayeed
Aged about 45 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-172 NTR Nagar LB Nagar Rangareddy Hyd74
214. Shaik Shabbeer S/o Late Buranoddin
Aged about 40 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-172/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
215. Chand Sultana W/o Md Ehsan Ahmed
Aged about 57 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-173 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

216. Mohd Abdul Mughni s/o Mohd Ehsan Ahmed
Aged about 35 yrs Businessman
R/o 1121173/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar Rangareddy
Hyd74
217. Mohd Abdul Muqtadir S/o Mohd Ehsan Ahmed
Aged about 34 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-174 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
218. Mohd Tasleemuddin S/o Mohd Naseeruddin
Aged 27 yrs student R/o 11-21-174/A NTR Nagar
LB Nagar Rangareddy Hyd74
219. Shaik Moin S/o Shaik Matin
Aged about 34 yrs business
R/o 11-21-175 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
220. Gayas Unnisa Begum W/o Md Naseeruddin
Aged about 55 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-175/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
221. Bushra Firdous D/o Md Nasseruddin
Aged about 29 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-176 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
222. Hamida BeeW/o Md Buranoddin
Aged about 57 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-176/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
223. Gopi Reddy Chaitanya D/o G Yadagiri Reddy
Aged about 37 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-177 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

224. Maddi Malathi Latha W/o M Venender Reddy
Aged about 34 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-177/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
225. Bushra Banu D/o Md Shabhuiddins Khan
Aged about 38 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-178 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
226. Khaja Nayumoddin S/o
Aged about 40 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-178/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
227. Nousheen Fatima W/o Nourulla
Aged about 34 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-179 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
228. Amatul Urooj Hajira W/o Shaik
Aged about 35 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-179/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
229. Amatul Urooj Meraj W/o Md Imdyaz Shareef
Aged about 37 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-180 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74.
230. Shaik Faseeh S/o Shaik Haffis
Aged about 40 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-180/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
231. Vislavath Ashok S/o Vislavath Chandru,
Aged about 42 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-181 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

232. Masarrath Anjum D/o Md Zaheeruddin
Aged about 27 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-181/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
233. Farhath Anjum D/o Md Zaheeruddin
Aged about 26 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-182 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
234. Ruquia Begum W/o Ahmed Mohiuddin
Aged about 66 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-182/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
235. Hafeesa Begum W/o Sheik Khaja Miya
Aged about 66 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-183 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
236. Gaddam Krishnaiah S/o Gaddam Ramchandraiah,
Aged about 59 yrs Business,
R/o 11-21-183/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
237. Mohd Jamaluddin S/o Mohd Razak
Aged about 38 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-184 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
238. Gande Padma W/o G Durgaiah
Aged about 47 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-184/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
239. Soma Anitha W/o S Ramulu
Aged about 35 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-185 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

240. Gorige Paravthamma W/o G Bikshamaiah
Aged about 66 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-185/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy, Hyd74
241. Neelala Venkataiah S/o N. Chandraiah,
Aged about 44 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-186 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
242. Mohd Naseer Sultana W/o Mohd
Aged about R/o 1121186/A NTR Nagar
LB Nagar Rangareddy Hyd74
243. Mariyala Shobarani W/o M Rajanna
Aged about 53 yrs Housewife
R/o 1121187 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
244. S Balaraju S/o S Mallesh
Aged about 45 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-187/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
245. Malgu Pushpa Kumar S/o Pochaiah
Aged about 32 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-188 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
246. Pagilla Sugunamma W/o P Kondal
Aged about 35 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-188/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
247. Badavath Devi W/o B Ramsingh
Aged about 33 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-189 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

248. Kosgi Giridhar S/o K Chandraiah
Aged about 48 yrs Painter
R/o 11-21-189/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
249. Rewegunta Haimavathi W/o R Ramulu
Aged about 58 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-190 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
250. Narlakanti Swsarupa W/o N Ajay Kumar
Aged about 37 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-190/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
251. Gandhe Vasavi W/o G Venu
Aged about 38 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-191 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
252. Gande Pravalika D/o G Durgaiah
Aged about 28 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-191/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
253. Veeravelli Naga Rani W/o V Anil Kumar
Aged about 30 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-192 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd-74.
254. Haleema Begum W/o Md Yadul
Aged about 41 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-194 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
255. K Saththaiah S/o K Mallaiah
Aged about 61 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-194/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

256. Jalala Parvathamma D/o Goli Chennaiah
Aged about 41 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-195 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
257. Borelli Machagiri S/o B Beerappa
Aged about 44 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-195/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
258. Mote Dhurgamma W/o M Chandramouli
Aged about 71 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-196 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
259. Narri Rama Narsamma W/o N Yadagiri
Aged about 46 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-196/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
260. Regu Prashanth Kumar S/o R. Ilaiiah
Aged 28 yrs student
R/o 11-21-197 NTR Nagar
LB Nagar Rangareddy Hyd74
261. Singam Ramesh Kumar s/o S Achilingam
Aged about 45 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-197/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
262. Vallamdasu Bhargavi W/o V Bhaskar
Aged about 44 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-198 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
263. Battikadi Anuradha W/o B Lingam Goud
Aged about 58 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-198/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

264. Mote Mallesham S/o M Dhanaiah
Aged about 30 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-199/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
265. J Jhansi D/o J Illaiah
Aged about 30 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-199/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
266. Tumma Sulochana W/o Late T Pandu
Aged about 58 yrs Widow
R/o 11-21-200 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
267. Langari Venkataswamy S/o Late L Krishnaiah
Aged about 52 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-200/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
268. Mohammed Ghouse S/o Md Hussain
Aged about 49 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-201 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
269. Syed Siraj S/o Late Syed Qasim
Aged about 41 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-201/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
270. Mohd Mahmood Ghori S/o Md Omar Ghori
Aged about 53 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-202 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
271. Mohd Abed Ghori S/o Md Omer Ghori
Aged about 39 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-202/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

272. Mehar Sultna W/o Mir Shujath Ali
Aged about 48 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-203 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
273. Mohd Naseer Uddin S/o Mohd Moinuddin
Aged about 38 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-203/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
274. Syed Rasheed S/o late Syed Ibrahim
Aged about 53 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-204 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
275. Gulam Mahmood S/o Gulam Rasool
Aged about 58 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-204/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
276. Syed Khaja S/o Syed Mahboob
Aged about 71 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-204 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
277. Shaheen Sultana W/o Mohd Zameeruddin
Aged about 43 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-204/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
278. Mohd Muqtaruddin S/o Md Muqtaruddin
Aged about 44 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-206 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
279. Afreen Zahara D/o Mohd Ziaurrahman
Aged about 33 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-207 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

281. Pyari Begum W/o Mohammed Pasha
Aged about 43 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-207/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
281. Haleem Begum W/o Shaik Madar
Aged about 53 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-208/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
282. M A Raheem S/o Mohd Afzal
Aged about 48 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-209 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
283. Shaheda Begum W/o Khaja Moinuddin
Aged about 38 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-209/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
284. Mohd Abdul Nayeem S/o Mohd Farooq
Aged about 26 yrs labourer
R/o 11-21-210 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
285. Habeeb Farahad W/o Mohd Farooq
Aged about 48 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-210/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
286. Syed Habeeb S/o Syed Khasim
Aged about 48 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-211 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
287. Dilshad Begum W/o Syed Habeeb
Aged about 41 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-211/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

288. Shahnaz Sultana W/o Maqdoom Mohiuddin
Aged about 53 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-212 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
289. Syeda Sayeeda Begum W/o Syed Amanullah Quadri
Aged about 56 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-212/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
290. Mohd Gore Miya S/o Mohd Mahboob Ali
Aged about 68 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-213 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
291. Jogu Illaiah S/o
Aged about 54 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-1806 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
292. Karika Kistaiah S/o K Mallaiah
Aged about 55 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-634 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
293. Md Ahmedpasha S/o Mohd Pasha
Aged about 38 yrs Driver
R/o 11-21-16/1/723 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
294. Summaya Khanam D/o Mohd Akbar Khan
Aged about 35 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-14-16/1/572 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
295. Shaik Altaf S/o Shaik Abdul
Aged about 43 yrs Teacher
R/o 11-21-543/ANTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

296. Sumiya Sultana W/o Shaik Abdul Alim
Aged about 46 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-1068 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
298. Mohd Shabeer Ali S/o Mohd Moshin Ali
Aged about 37 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-1294 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
299. Farhana Sultana D/o Syed Khaja
Aged about 33 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-213/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
300. Syde Nasiya W/o Syed Rasheed
Aged about 44 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-197/1 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
301. Mohd Mohsin Ali S/o Mahmood Ali
Aged about 63 yrs Daily wage earner
R/o 11-21-1294/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
302. Ayesha Alim D/o Shaik Abdul Alim
Aged about 25 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-1068/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
303. Mohd Seema Khanam D/o Md Akbar Khan
Aged about 30 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-1050/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
304. Mohd Shamshad Unnisa D/o Md Akbar Khan
Aged about 27 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-214 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

305. Mohd Shahnaz Khanam D/o Mohd Akbar Khan
Aged about 25 yrs Student
R/o 11-21-214/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
306. Mohd Altaf Khan S/o Mohd Khaja Khan
Aged about 46 yrs Teacher
R/o 11-21-1050/1/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
307. Mohd Ibrahim Khan S/o Mohd Khaja Khan
Aged about 44 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-1050/B/2 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
308. Mohd Akram Khan S/o Mohd Khaja Khan
Aged about 42 yrs Business
R/o 11-21-1050/A/I NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
309. Mohd Kursheeda Begum W/o Mohd Akbar Khan
Aged about 54 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-1050/A/2 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Rangareddy Hyd74
310. Valluri Pavani W/o V Paremesh
Aged about 31 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-192/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74
311. Katam Raju S/o Kattam Ramulu
Aged about 37 yrs Businessman
R/o 11-21-193 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74
312. Nusrath Shahezadi D/o Md Farooq Ali
Aged about 45 yrs Housewife Advocate
R/o 11-21-193/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar Ranga
Reddy Dist Hyd74



313. Pillada Nagaratnam W/o P Rama Rao
Aged about 64 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-194 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74
314. R Manjula W/o R Mallesh
Aged about 37 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-194/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74
315. Mallaram Sujatha W/o M Yadaiah Yadav
Aged about 43 yrs Housewife
R/o 11-21-215 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74
316. Katam Chatrapathi Shivaji S/o Katam Komaraiah
Aged about 39 yrs Occ Driver
R/o 11-21-215/A NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74
317. Shenigala Chandrakala W/o Gandu Venkatesham
Aged about 42 yrs Occ House Wife
R/o 3-5-102 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74
318. Valluri Srishailam S/o
Aged about 33 yrs R/o Door No 547
NTR Nagar LB Nagar Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74
319. Volluri Nirmala W/o V.Venkatesh
Aged about 42 yrs Occ House Wife
R/o 3-9-266 Plot No 45 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74
320. Jukanti Venkataiah S/o Ramulu
Aged about 47 yrs R/o 197/B NTR Nagar
LB Nagar Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74



2024:TSHC:36142

320 Neela Vijaya W/o Neela Venkateshwarlu
Aged about 42 yrs Occ House Wife
R/o 10-6-109/3 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74

321. Malige Andalu W/o Anjaiah
Aged about 56 yrs Occ House Wife
R/o Plot No 45 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74

322. Mahmad Naseem Sultana W/o
Aged about 43 yrs Occ House Wife
R/o 11-21-32 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74

323 Sameena Tabassum D/o Late Mohammed
Aged about 44 yrs Occ House Wife
R/o 11-21-22/27/7 NTR Nagar LB Nagar
Ranga Reddy Dist Hyd74

...Petitioners

Proposed Respondent No.12 to 335

And

1. Amritnagar Colony-II
Plot Owners Welfare Association (Regd.)
Rep. by its President,
Sri Satyanarayana Rao
2. The Mandal Revenue Officer,
Saroornagar Mandal,
Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Special Grade Deputy Collector &
Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy (E)
Division, Hyderabad.
4. The Joint Collector,
Ranga Reddy District,
Hyderabad.

...Writ Petitioner



2024:TSHC:3614

5. Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab
Died per L.Rs. R6 to R11 herein below,
6. Gulam Murtuza S/o Gulam Mujtaba
Aged about 49 years, Occ: Business,
R/o 3-6-527, Street No.7,
Himayathnagar, Hyderabad.
7. Nikath Parveen W/o Late Mohammad Vikaruddin
Aged 52 years Occ Household
8. Mohammad Shujauddin Ali Khan S/o Late Mohammad Vikaruddin
Ali Khan Aged 37 years Occ Business
9. Mohammad Saifuddin Ali Khan S/o Late Mohammad Vikaruddin
Ali Khan Aged 36 Years Occ Business
10. Mohammad Ziauddin Ali Khan
S/o Late Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan
Aged 35 years Occ Business
11. Mohammad Fakhr Uddin Ali Khan
S/o Late Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan
Aged 33 years Occ Business
12. Sabahat Fathima S/o Late Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan
Aged 30 years Occ Business Respondent Nos 6 to 11 brought on
record as LR's of the deceased Respondent No 4 as per Court
Order dated 23 12 2022 in I A No 1 of 2022
...Respondents/Respondents

**Petitioners/Proposed respondents 13 to 334
Are being rep. by proposed respondent No.12,
Mohammed Akbar Khan, General Secretary
NTR Nagar Slum Dwellers Uplifting Society**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated
in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to
implead the proposed respondents No.12 to 334 as respondents in
W.P.No.11275 of 2005 in the interest of justice.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI GANDRA MOHAN RAO,
SENIOR COUNSEL REPRESENTING SRI P. PRATAP**

Counsel for the Respondents No.1 to 3: AGP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 4 & 5: SRI DAMODAR MUNDRA

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.6 to 11: SRI K. RAMAKANTH REDDY,
SENIOR COUNSEL REPRESENTING SRI RAGHU GURRAM**

Counsel for the Proposed Respondents: SRI K. SAI KRISHNA MOHAN RAO

**WRIT PETITION NO: 16662 OF 2005****Between:**

Vijay Raju, S/o.Late Narayan Prasad, aged about 30 years, Occ: Land Lord, R/o.H.No.16-11-19/5/1, Saleemnagar Colony, Malakpet, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER**AND**

1. The Mandal revenue Officer, Saroornagar Mandal, R.R.District.
2. The Special Grade Dy. Collector and Revenue Divisional, Officer, Ranga Reddy (E) Division, Hyd.
3. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.
4. Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab, S/o.Late Md.Qutubuddin Ali Khan, aged about 52 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.
5. Gulam Murtuza, S/o.Gulam Mujtaba, aged about 49 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of writ of certiorari by calling the records from office of the Joint Collector, R.R.District pertaining to the orders dt.30.4.2005 in Case No.D5/6631/2003 and case No.D5/6633/2003, and set aside the same by declaring the orders as illegal and void, and further confirm the orders dt.21.7.2003 in case NO.A2/9977/1998, passed by the Revenue divisional officer, R.R District (East Division) is valid and binding on the parties and issue a consequential direction to the respondents No.4 and 5 not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner.

**I.A. NO: 2 OF 2005(WPMP. NO: 21187 OF 2005)**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the orders dt.30.4.2005 in case No.D5/6631/2003 and D5/6633/2003 of the 3rd respondent i.e., Joint Collector, including dispossession of the petitioner from the premises in Sy No.9/1/H, Saroornagar Village and Mandal, R.R.District pending disposal of the above writ petition.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2008(WVMP. NO: 1290 OF 2008)**Between:**

1. Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab, S/o.Late Md.Qutubuddin Ali Khan, aged about 52 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.
2. Gulam Murtuza S/o.Gulam Mujtaba, aged about 49 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS 4 & 5**AND**

1. Vijay Raju, S/o.Late Narayan Prasad, aged about 30 years, Occ: Land Lord R/o.H.No.16-11-19/5/1, Saleemnagar Colony, Malakpet, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT/PETITIONER

2. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Saroornagar Mandal, R.R.District.
3. The Special Grade Dy. Collector and Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy (E) Division, Hyderabad.
4. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to vacate the status quo order granted in W.P.M.P.No.21187/2005 in Writ Petition No. 16662 of 2005 dt. 3-8-2005.

**IA NO: 1 OF 2019****Between:**

Gulam Murtuza S/o.Gulam Mujtaba, Aged about 66 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

....PETITIONER/RESPONDENT No.5

AND

1. Vijay Raju S/o.Late Narayan Prasad, aged about 30 years, Occ: Land Lord, R/o.H.No.16-11-19/5/1, Saleem Nagar Colony, Malakpet, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT/PETITIONER

2. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Saroor Nagar Mandal, R.R.District.
3. The Special Grade Dy. Collector & Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy (E) Division, Hyderabad.
4. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.
5. Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab, S/o.Late Md.Qutubuddin Ali Khan, aged about 52 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the deponent to represent the Petitioner/respondent No.5 through the Power of Attorney in the interest of justice.

IA NO: 1 OF 2022**Between:**

Sri Vijay Raj, S/o.Late Narayan Prasad, age: 48 years, Occ: Business, R/o.H.No.16-11-19/5/1, Malakpet, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER/PETITIONER

AND

1. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Saroomnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
2. The Special Grade Dy. Collector & Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy (E) Division, Hyderabad.
3. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.
4. Vikaruddin Ali Khan & Rasheed Nawab



Since died per LRs. Respondent Nos. 6 to 11

5. Gulam Murtuza, S/o.Gulam Mujtaba, Aged 66 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS

6. Nikhat Parveen W/o. Mohammed Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 56 years, Occ: Household.
7. Mohammed Shujauddin Ali Khan S/o. Mohammed Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged: 41 years, Occ: Business.
8. Mohammed Saifuddin Ali Khan S/o. Mohammed Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged: 40 years, Occ: Business
9. Mohammed Ziauddin Ali Khan S/o. Mohammed Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged: 39 years, Occ: Business
10. Mohammed Fakhruddin Ali Khan S/o. Mohammed Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged: 37 years, Occ: Business
11. Sabahat Fatima D/o. Mohammed Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged: 34 years, Occ: Business

All R/o.H.No.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

....PROPOSED RESPONDENTS No.6 to 11/RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the Petitioner to bring, the LRs of deceased Respondent No.4 as Respondent Nos. 6 to 11 in the Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI T. S. PRAVEEN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents No.1 to 3: AGP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 4 & 5: SRI DAMODAR MUNDRA

**Counsel for the Proposed Respondents: SRI K. RAMAKANTH REDDY,
SENIOR COUNSEL REPRESENTING SRI RAGHU GURRAM**

WRIT PETITION NO: 6118 OF 2005

Between:

1. Vikaruddin Ali Khan Alias Rasheed Nawab (Died per LRS Petitioner No.2 to 7).
 2. Ghulam Murtuza, S/o. Sri Ghulam Mujtaba, aged about 50 years, Business, Residing at near Murtuza College, Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy District.
- 2nd Petitioner herein is represented by his G.P.A. Holder, the 1st Petitioner



3. Nikhat Parveen, W/o. Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 52 years, Occ Household
4. Mohammed Shujauddin Ali Khan, S/o. Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 37 years, Occ Business
5. Mohammed Saifuddin Ali Khan, S/o. Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 35 years, Occ Business
6. Mohammed Ziauddin Ali Khan, S/o. Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 35 years, Occ Business
7. Mohammed Fakhruddin Ali Khan, S/o. Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 30 years, Occ Business
8. Sabahat Fatima, D/o. Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 30 years, Occ Business.

(All R/o. H.No.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad)

Petitioner Nos.3 to 8 brought on record as LRs of the deceased Petitioner No.1 as per Court Order dated 23.12.2022 in I.A.No.1 of 2018.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, rep. by its Dy. Commissioner, Circle No. III, L.B.Nagar, East Zone, Ranga Reddy District.
Respondent No.1 Cause Title is amended as per Court Order dated 26.11.2009 in W.P.M.P.No.32111 of 2009.
2. The Sarojini Nagar Welfare Association (Regd. No.2381/1995) H.No.11-14-47, Road No.6, Sarojini Nagar, Haripuri Colony, Saroornagar (M), R.R. Dist. Rep. by its President S.Durga Prasad.
3. Vijay Raj S/o. Late Narayan Prasad, aged about 32 years, Occ: Land Lord, R/o.H.No.16-11-19/5/1, Saleemnagar Colony, Malakpet, Hyderabad.

Respondents No. 2 & 3 are impleaded as per Court Order dated 12.11.2009 in W.P.M.P.Nos.36327/07 and 36478/07 respectively.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction in the nature of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in trying to construct Park in the land bearing Sy.No. 9/1/H of an extent of Ac. 5.00 each of the Petitioner, enclosed with fence situated at Saroornagar Village and Mandal, without following the Procedure contemplated under Section 326 of the Municipal corporation Act,



read with Acquisition and Trasfer of Immovable Property Rules 1967, as being illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article 300 A of the Constitution of India.

I.A. NO: 4 OF 2009(WPMP. NO: 35325 OF 2009)

Between:

1. Vikaruddin Ali Khan Alias Rasheed Nawab Son of late Qutubuddin Ali Khan, aged about 58 years, Business, residing at 3-6-527, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad – 29.
2. Ghulam Murtuza Son of Sri Ghulam Mujtaba, aged about 53 years, Business, Residing at near Murtuza College, Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy District.

Note: The 2nd Petitioner herein is represented by his G.P.A. Holder, the 1st Petitioner

...PETITIONERS/PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Commissioner, L.B. Nagar Municipality, L.B.Nagar, (Now merged with Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation), represented by Deputy Commissioner, Circle No.III, L.B.Nagar, East Zone, L.B.Nagar, R.R.District.
2. The Sarojini Nagar Welfare Association (Regd. No.2381/1995) House No.11-14-47, Road No.6, Sarojini Nagar, Haripuri Colony, Saroornagar Mandal, R.R. Dist. Reptd. by its President S.Durga Prasad.
3. Vijay Raj Son of Late Narayana Prasad, aged about 32 years, Occ: Land Lord, Residing at House No.16-11-19/5/1, Saleemnagar Colony, Malakpet, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS 1 to 3 in W.P.

4. Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, represented by its Vice Chairman and Managing Director, Tarnaka, Secunderabad.

...PROPOSED RESPONDENT No.4 in W.P.

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to implead, Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Represented by its Vice Chairman and Managing Director, situated at Tarnaka, Secunderabad, as party Respondent No.4 in the above Writ Petition.



IA NO: 1 OF 2019

Between:

1. Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab, S/o. Late Md. Qutubuddin Ali Khan, aged about 70 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.
2. Gulam Murtuza S/o Ghulam Mujtaba, aged about 66 years, Occ: Business, R/o. 3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONERS/PETITIONERS

AND

The Municipal Commissioner, L.B.Nagar Municipality, R.R.Dist.

...RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the deponent to represent the Petitioner/Petitioner No.2 through the Power of Attorney in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioners: SRI V.V. RAGHAVAN

**Counsel for the Petitioner Nos.3 to 8: SRI K. RAMAKANTH REDDY,
SENIOR COUNSEL REPRESENTING SRI RAGHU GURRAM**

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: SRI M DURGA PRASAD, S.C. FOR GHMC

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: SRI K.R.K. GARGEYA

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: SRI T.S. PRAVEEN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 25029 OF 2005

Between:

1. Vijay Raj S/o.Late Narayan Prasad, aged about 30 years, Occ: Land Lord, R/o.H.No.16-11-19/5/1, Saleemnagar Colony, Malakpet, Hyderabad - 36.
2. Smt.Ratan Bai, W/o.Amruth Lal, Since died rep. by her L.R.s 1. Vijay Raj and 2.

...PETITIONERS

AND



1. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Saroornagar Mandal, R.R.District.
2. The Special Grade Dy Collector and Revenue, Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy (E) Division, Hyderabad.
3. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.
4. Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab, S/o.Late Md.Qutubuddin Ali Khan, Aged about 52 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.
5. Gulam Murtuza, S/o.Gulam Mujtaba, Aged about 49 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayat Nagar, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the proceeding from office of the Joint Collector, R.R.District pertaining to the orders dt.30.4.2005 in Case No.D5/6633/2003 and case No.D5/6631/2003, and as illegal and void, and further confirm the orders dt.21.7.2003, in case No.A2/997/1998, passed by the Revenue Divisional Officer, R.R.District (East Division) is valid and binding on the parties and issue a consequential direction to the respondents No.4 and 5 not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2005(WPMP. NO: 32186 OF 2005)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the orders dt.30.4.2005 in Case No.D5/6633/2003 and case No.D5/6631/2003, of the 3rd respondent i.e., Joint Collector, including dispossession of the petitioner from the premises in Sy No.9/1/H, Saroornagar Village and Mandal, R.R.District pending disposal of the above writ petition.

**IA NO: 1 OF 2019****Between:**

Gulam Murtuza, S/o.Gulam Mujtaba, Aged about 49 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayat Nagar, Hyderabad.

....**PETITIONER/RESPONDENT No.5**

AND

1. Vijay Raj S/o.Late Narayan Prasad, aged about 46 years, Occ: Land Lord, R/o.H.No.16-11-19/5/1, Saleem Nagar Colony, Malakpet, Hyderabad.
2. Smt.Ratan Bai, W/o.Amruthlal, Since died rep. by her Legal heirs Vijay Raj and another.

...**RESPONDENTS/PETITIONERS**

3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Saroornagar Mandal, R.R.District.
4. The Special Grade Dy. Collector and Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy (E) Division, Hyderabad.
5. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad,
6. Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab, S/o.Late Md.Qutubuddin Ali Khan, Aged about 70 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

...**RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the deponent to represent the Petitioner/respondent No.5 through the Power of Attorney in the interest of justice.

IA NO: 1 OF 2022**Between:**

1. Sri Vijay Raj S/o.Late Sri Narayan Prasad, age: 48 years, Occ: Business, R/o.H.No.16-11-19/5/1, Malakpet, Hyderabad.
2. Smt. Ratan Bai, W/o. Late Amruth Lal
Since died rep. by her legal heir Petitioner No.1

...**PETITIONERS/PETITIONERS**

AND

1. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Saroornagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.



2. The Special Grade Dy. Collector & Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy (E) Division, Hyderabad.
3. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.
4. Vikaruddin Ali Khan & Rasheed Nawab
Since died per LRs. Respondent Nos. 6 to 11
5. Gulam Murtuza, S/o.Gulam Mujtaba, Aged 66 years, Occ: Business, R/o.H.No.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayat Nagar, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS

6. Nikhat Parveen W/o. Mohammed Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 56 years, Occ: Household.
7. Mohammed Shujauddin Ali Khan S/o. Mohammed Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged: 41 years, Occ: Business.
8. Mohammed Saifuddin Ali Khan S/o. Mohammed Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged: 40 years, Occ: Business
9. Mohammed Ziauddin Ali Khan S/o. Mohammed Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged: 39 years, Occ: Business
10. Mohammed Fakhruddin Ali Khan S/o. Mohammed Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged: 37 years, Occ: Business
11. Sabahat Fatima D/o. Mohammed Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged: 34 years, Occ: Business
All R/o.H.No.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

....PROPOSED RESPONDENTS No.6 to 11/RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the Petitioners to bring the LRs of deceased Respondent No.4 as Respondent Nos. 6 to 11 in the Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioners: SRI T. S. PRAVEEN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents No.1 to 3: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5: Mr. SHED SHAREEF AHMED

Counsel for the Proposed Respondents: SRI RAGHU GURRAM

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI T. SREEDHAR

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI DAMODAR MUNDRA

WRIT PETITION NO: 26767 OF 2010

**Between:**

1. Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab (Died as per LR's Petitioner Nos. 3 to 8)
2. Gulam Murtuza, S/o Gulam Mujtaba, Aged 60 years, Occ: Business, R/o USA Represented by his power of Attorney, Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab, S/o Late Qutubuddin Ali Khan, Age 58 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.
3. Nikhat Parveen, W/o.Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali khan, Aged 52 years, Occ. Household,
4. Mohammed Shujauddin Ali Khan, S/o. Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 37 years, Occ.Business,
5. Mohammed Saifuddin Ali Khan, S/o.Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 36 years, Occ.Business,
6. Mohammed Ziauddin Ali Khan, S/o.Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 35 years, Occ. Business,
7. Mohammed Fakh ruddin Ali Khan, S/o. Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan. Aged 33 years, Occ.Business,
8. Sabahat Fatima, D/o. Mohammad Vikaruddin Ali Khan, Aged 30 years, Occ. Business,

Petitioner Nos. 3 to 8 are R/o H.No. 3-6-527.Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

Petitioner Nos. 3 to 8 are brought on record as LR's of the deceased Petitioner No.1 as per Court Order dated 04.12.2023 in IA No. 1 of 2018.

...PETITIONERS**AND**

1. The Dy. Collector and Tahsildar, Saroornagar Mandal, R.R.District
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Saroornagar Mandal, R.R.District having its Office at Gosha Mahal, Hyderabad.
3. The Joint Collector, R.R.District, having its office at Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.
4. The Collector, R.R.District, Having its office at Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.
5. P.V. Shyamsunder S/o. Hanumanth Rao, Aged Major, Occ: Business, H.No.8-1-220, R/o. Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.
6. Station House Officer, LB. Nagar, R.R. District
7. Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad, Gachibowli, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus against the respondent no. 1 to 4 declaring that the action of



the Respondent No.1 in unauthorisedly interfering with the petitioners peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property admeasuring 10 acres of land forming part of survey No. 9/1/H, Saroornagar Mandal, R.R.District, thereby allowing respondent No.5 to put a Board on the property without issuing any notice and without following any procedure is totally illegal, unconstitutional being violative of Articles 14 ,19, 21 and 300-A and consequently direct the respondent No.1 not to dispossess the petitioners from the property to an extent of 10 acres of land forming part of Survey no. 9/1/H, Saroornagar Mandal, R.R.District thereby directing respondent No.1, 6 and 7 to remove the Board put up by Respondent No.5.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2010(WPMP. NO: 34200 OF 2010)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No. 1 not to dispossess the petitioner from the possession and enjoyment to an extent of 10 acres of land forming part of Survey no. 9/1/H, Saroornagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, pending disposal of the Writ petition thereby consequential directing the respondent No. 1, 6 and 7 to remove the Board put up by Respondent No.5 pending disposal of the WP.

IA NO: 1 OF 2019

Between:

Gulam Murtuza, S/o Gulam Mujtaba, Aged about 66 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

....PETITIONER/PETITIONER No.2

AND

1. Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab S/o Late Qutubuddin Ali Khan, Aged about 70 years, Occ: Business, R/o.3-6-527, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENT/PETITIONER No.1



2. The Dy. Collector and Tahsildar, Saroonagar Mandal, R.R.District
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Saroonagar Mandal, R.R.District Having its Office at Gosha Mahal, Hyderabad.
4. The Joint Collector, R.R.District, Having its office Gosha Mahal, Hyderabad.
5. The Collector, R.R.District, Having its office at Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.
6. P.V. Shyamsunder S/o. Hanumanth Rao, Aged Major, Occ: Business, R/o. Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.
7. Station House Officer, LB. Nagar, R.R. District
8. Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad, Gachibowli, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the deponent to represent the Petitioner/Petitioner No.2 through the Power of Attorney in the interest of justice.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2010(WVMP. NO: 5945 OF 2010)

Between:

P.V. Shyam Sunder S/o. Late P.V. Hanumantha Rao, Aged about 65 years, Occupation: Business, H.No.8-1-220, R/o. Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.

....PETITIONER/RESPONDENT No.5

AND

1. Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab S/o. late Qutubuddin Ali Khan, Aged: Major, R/o. 3-6-527, Himayath Nagar, Hyderabad.
2. Gulam Murtuza S/o Gulam Mujtaba, Aged: Major, R/o USA., Rep. by his Power of Attorney, Vikaruddin Ali Khan @ Rasheed Nawab, S/o Late Qutubuddin Ali Khan, Aged: Major, R/o.3-6-527, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS/WRIT PETITIONERS

3. The Deputy Collector & Tahsildar, Saroonagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Saroonagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District having its Office at Gosha Mahal, Hyderabad.



5. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, having its office at Lakadikapool, Hyderabad.
6. The Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Having its office at Lakadikapool, Hyderabad.
7. Station House Officer, LB. Nagar, Ranga Reddy District
8. Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad, Gachibowli, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS No.1 to 4, 6 & 7

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to vacate the interim orders of status quo, dated 28.10.2010 by dismissing the Writ Petition with exemplary costs in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI V. V. RAGHAVAN

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondents No.6 & 7: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent No.5: SRI B. VENKAT RAMA RAO

The Court made the following: COMMON ORDER

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO****WRIT PETITION Nos.11275, 16662, 6118 & 25029 of 2005
and 26767 of 2010****ORDER:**

These writ petitions are filed for the following relief:

W.P.No.11275 of 2005

"issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the orders passed by the Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Khairatabad, Hyderabad, the 3rd Respondent herein in Case No.D5/6631/2003, D5/6632/2003 and D5/6633/2003 dated 30.4.2005 as void abinitio, illegal and unconstitutional for violation of various provisions of Articles 14 and 300A of the Constitution of India and declare the same as null and void and further declare that the order passed by the Court of Special Dy., Collector in Letter No.A2/997/1998 dt.21.7.2003 alone is valid and binding on the parties and issue a consequential direction to the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the Petitioner and pass such other order or orders as are deemed fit and proper in the circumstances of the case".

W.P.No.16662 of 2005

"to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of certiorari by calling the records from office of the Joint Collector R. R. District pertaining to the orders dt. 30.4.2005 in Case No.D5/6631/2003 and case No.D5/6633/2003 and set aside the same by declaring the orders as illegal and void and further confirm the orders dt. 21.7.2003 in case No.A2/9977/1998 passed by the Revenue divisional officer R.R. District East Division is valid and binding on the parties and issue a consequential direction to the respondents Nos.4 and 5 not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner and pass such other order or orders".

W.P.No.25029 of 2005

"issue a writ order or direction more particularly one



in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent removing the petitioner from service by passing a resolutions dt 25.11.2009 and 30.3.2007 without conducting any enquiry issuing the show cause notice and consulting District Level Committee is illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction and contrary to the item No.7 Chapter-V of the Special byelaws issued by Registrar of Cooperative Societies Rule 72 of A.P.Cooperative Societies Rules, 1964 and consequently direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner into service with all consequential benefits”.

W.P.No.6118 of 2005:

“...to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent n trying to construct park in the land bearing Sy.No.9/1/H of an extent of Ac.5.00 each of the petitioner, enclosed with fence situated at Saroornagar Village and Mandal, without following the procedure contemplated under Section 326 of the Municipal Corporation Act, read with Acquisition and Transfer of Immovable Property Rules, 1967, as being illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article 300-A of the Constitution of India...”

W.P.No.26767 of 2010:

“...to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus against the Respondent No.1 to 4 declaring that the action of the Respondent No.1 in unauthorisedly interfering with the petitioners peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property admeasuring 10 acres of land forming part of Survey No.9/1/H, Saroornagar Mandal, R.R. District, thereby allowing Respondent No.5 to put a Board on the property without issuing any notice and without following any procedure is totally illegal, unconstitutional being violative of Articles 14, 19, 21 and 300A and consequently direct the Respondent No.1 not to dispossess the petitioners from the property to an extent of 10 acres of land forming part of Survey No.9/1/H, Saroornagar Mandal, R.R. District, thereby directing Respondent No.1, 6 and 7 to remove the Board put up by Respondent No.5 ...”

BRIEF FACTS OF THE CASE:

2. The claim of the petitioners association – Amritnagar Colony –



II Plot Owners Welfare Association is that one late Smt.Ratnabai had purchased the land covered by Survey No.9/1 admeasuring Acs.23.17 guntas from Department of Nizams Private estate through GPA holder *vide* Registered sale deed document bearing No.922/64 dated 24.03.1964, after obtaining permission under Section 47 and 48 of Tenancy Act and since then she has been in possession and enjoyment of the subject property and her name has been recorded in the concerned Pahanies for the year 1964-65 to 1993-94 and later on the above said survey number is renumbered as Survey No.9/1/H. The said Ratnabhai executed various sale deeds in favour of 84 plot owners like members of the petitioners association in W.P.No.11275 of 2005 and petitioners in other two W.P.Nos.16662 of 2005 and 25029 of 2005(hereinafter called 'petitioners' for brevity) after obtaining permission from the competent authority, including layout sanction issued by the Saroornagar Grampanchayat *vide* bearing No.3045 of 1966. The petitioners further stated that unofficial respondents in W.P.No.11275 of 2005, W.Ps.16662 of 2005 and 25029 of 2005 and petitioners in W.P.Nos.6118 of 2005 and 26767 of 2010 (hereinafter called 'unofficial respondents' for brevity) in collusion with the Mandal Revenue Officer got the name of the Ratnabhai removed from the Revenue records without any notice. They further stated that unofficial respondents have filed O.S.No.328 of 1994 on the file of Principle District Munsif, Hyderabad, East and North, Ranga



Reddy District against Ratnabhai and Vijayraj and obtained the ex-parte injunction on 13.06.1994 in I.A.No.1000 of 1994 and later on same was vacated on 02.01.1995 in I.A.No.2328 of 1994. Aggrieved by the same, unofficial respondents have filed appeal in C.M.A.No.5 of 1995 before the II Additional Judge, Ranga Reddy District and the same was dismissed on 09.08.1996. Aggrieved by the same, they have filed C.R.P.No.924 of 1997 before erstwhile High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad and the same was also dismissed on 01.04.1997.

2.1 Questioning the deletion of Revenue entries, the petitioners have filed three independent appeals i.e., A2/997/98, A2/2820/98 and A2/1682/2002 on the file of Special Grade Deputy Collector and Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy District, East Division including the memo issued by Mandal Revenue Officer, Saroornagar in File No.Record/C/29/98, dated 21.03.1998 exercising the powers conferred under A.P/Telangana Rights in land and pattadar passbooks Act, 1971 ('ROR Act' for brevity). The appellate authority after considering the contentions of the appellants therein and after due verification of the records, allowed the appeals on 21.07.2003 and held that names of unofficial respondents are to be deleted from the possession column of Pahanies 1995-96 and 96-97 in respect of Survey No.9/1/H and original entries of 1994-95 are to be incorporated thereunder and further held that Section 5-A



proceedings passed by Mandal Revenue officer, Saroornagar in favour of unofficial respondents in Proceedings No.B/840/95, dated 24.09.1996 and Form 13-B and 13-C certificates dated 18.01.2002 issued in their favour are illegal and also cancelled the pattadar passbooks and title deeds. Aggrieved by the above said order, unofficial respondents filed Revisions *vide* Case Nos.D5/6631/2003, D5/6632/2003 and D5/6633/2003, respectively under Section 9 of the ROR Act before respondent No.3-Joint collector. Revisional authority allowed the revision petitions and set aside the orders passed by respondent No.2 dated 21.07.2003, by its order dated 30.04.2005. Questioning the same, the petitioners have filed the W.P.Nos.11275 and 16662 of 2005 and 25029 of 2005.

3. The unofficial respondents filed counter affidavit stating that Survey No.9 consisting Acs.501.01 guntas and by virtue of the order passed by Collector in the year 1962 to an extent of Acs.245.14 guntas fell to the share of the Government and the remaining balance of land fell to the share of HEH the Nizam and further stated that land which fell to the share of Government was retained in Survey No.9/1 and allotted to various departments and the land fell to the share of HEH the Nizam was allotted Survey Nos.9/1/A to 9/1/L. The petitioners are claiming the land through Ratnabhai. She had purchased the land to an extent of Acs.23.17 guntas through registered sale deed dated 24.03.1964 in Survey No.9/1



and the land purchased by Ratnabhai is surrounded by the Survey No.11 on three sides and the unofficial respondents are not claiming property in Survey No.9/1 and their property is covered in Survey No.9/1/H consisting of Acs.37.12 guntas which stands in the name of HEH, the Nizam. In the said survey Number a part of plot No.4 was allotted to Hussain Khan and Fasiuddin Khan and they have entered into agreement of sale with unofficial respondents and the then Mandal Revenue Officer after following the due procedure as contemplated under the Act, regularized the said document exercising the powers conferred under Section 5(A) of the ROR Act and issued pattadar passbooks and title deeds. They further stated that the petitioners are claiming rights over the Survey No.9/1 whereas unofficial respondents are claiming rights in respect of Survey No.9/1/H, the survey number and boundaries claimed by writ petitioners are different from those held and the Joint Collector after following the due procedure as contemplated under law and after considering the contentions of the respective parties rightly passed the impugned order. The petitioners have raised several disputed questions of facts and they ought to have approached competent Civil Court by establishing their rights.

4. Heard Sri Gandra Mohan Rao, learned Senior Counsel representing Sri P.Pratap, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri K.Ramakanth Reddy, learned Senior counsel, representing Sri



Raghu Gurram, learned counsel for unofficial respondents, in W.P.No.11275 of 2005 and Sri V.V.Raghavan, learned counsel for the petitioner in W.P.No.6118 of 2005 and 26767 of 2010 and Sri T.S.Praveen Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner in W.P.No.16662 of 2005 and 25029 of 2005 and Sri K.R.K.Gargeya, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 and Sri M.Durga Prasad, learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 in W.P.No.6118 of 2005 and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing on behalf of revenue authorities.

5. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners in W.P.No.11275 of 2005 contended that members of the petitioner's association have purchased plots to an extent of Acs.6.14 guntas out of Acs.23.17 guntas from original owner namely Ratnabhai through registered sale deeds in the year 1996 by paying valuable sale consideration and their vendor namely Ratnabhai had purchased to an extent of Acs.23.17 guntas in Survey No.9/1 later renumbered as 9/1/H situated at Saroornagar Village and Mandal, Ranga Reddy District through registered sale deed dated 24.03.1964 from HEH the Nizam through GPA holder for the estate, Nawab Deen Yar Jung after obtaining necessary permissions as per the provisions of Tenancy Act and her name was mutated in the Pahanies for the years 1964-1965 to 1993-1994. Ratnabhai sold the land to various persons



after obtaining necessary permissions from the Saroornagar Grampanchayat through layout sanction File bearing No.3045 of 1996. Members of petitioners association have purchased the house plots from Ratnabhai in the year 1996 and since then they have been in possession and enjoyment of the subject property. The unofficial respondents are not having any right in respect of the subject property. He further contended that unofficial respondents in collusion with revenue officials got their names mutated in the revenue records by deleting the name of Ratnabhai. He further contended that Mandal Revenue officer had not issued any notice to the petitioners or their vendor namely Ratnabhai while incorporating the names of unofficial respondents. The unofficial respondents are claiming rights over the property basing on the alleged agreement of sale dated 21.03.1973, basing on the same they are not entitled to claim any rights.

5.1 He further contended that the then Tahsildar, is not having power or jurisdiction to regularize the unregistered agreement of sale by exercising the powers conferred under the Section 5-A of the Act and the then Mandal Revenue Officer without following the due procedure as contemplated under the provisions of ROR Act and Rule 22 of A.P/Telangana Rights in land and Pattadar Passbooks Rules('Rules' for brevity) regularized the said document and respondent No.1 had not followed the mandatory procedure



prescribed under the provisions of the Act and Rules while deleting the name of Smt. Ratnabhai in the Revenue records. The appellate authority/Revenue Divisional Officer-respondent No.2 after considering the contentions of respective parties and after due verification of the records allowed the appeals by giving cogent reasons by its order dated 21.07.2003. He further contended that the revisional authority without considering the contentions of the petitioners allowed the revision petitions without assigning any reasons much less valid reasons.

5.2 Learned Senior counsel further contended that subject land was already converted from agricultural land to non agricultural land through sanction layout in the year 1966 and the same was divided into house plots, hence the provisions of ROR Act is not applicable and the subject land does not come within the purview of land as defined under Sub-section 4 of Section 2 of the Act. Hence, respondent No.1 is not having authority or jurisdiction to regularize the unregistered agreement of sale exercising the powers conferred under the 'ROR Act'.

5.3 He further contended that the unofficial respondents have filed suit in O.S.No.328 of 1994 in respect of subject property for grant of perpetual injunction along with the said suit they filed application for grant of temporary injunction and the said application was dismissed. Aggrieved by the same, the unofficial

—

✓



respondents have filed appeal in C.M.A.No.5 of 1995 before the Chairman LRAT cum II Additional Judge, Ranga Reddy District and the same was dismissed on 09.08.1996. Aggrieved by the same, they have filed C.R.P.No.924 of 1997 before erstwhile High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad and the same was also dismissed on 01.04.1997 and the said orders have become final. Hence the unofficial respondents are not entitled to claim any rights over the property and they have to approach the competent Civil Court to establish their right, title and interest over the subject property. Revisional authority without giving any reasons set aside the well considered order passed by Revenue Divisional Officer and the same is gross violation of principle of natural justice and contrary to law.

5.4. Learned counsel further contended that document dated 21.03.1973 which was relied upon by unofficial respondents does not contain survey numbers and boundaries and no particulars to whom the property sold. The competent Civil Court had already given the specific finding that unofficial respondents are not in possession of the subject property and the judgment passed by competent Civil Court is binding upon the revenue officials.

5.5 In support of his contention learned Senior counsel relied upon the following judgments:

1. **Konkana Ravinder Goud and others**



***Vs.Bhavanarishi Co-operative House Building
Society, Hyderabad and others¹.***

***2. Vanga Narsa Reddy and another Vs. Joint
Collector District Adilabad, Adilabad District
and others².***

***3. N.S.Srinivas and others Vs. Madduri
Mallareddy and others³.***

***4. K. Seeethrama Reddy and another Vs. Hassan
Ali Khan and others⁴.***

***5. State of U.P. and two others Vs. Raj Bhadur
Pastor(Special Appeal No.21 of 2022).***

6. Sri T.S Praveen Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners in W.P.No.16662 of 2005 and 25029 of 2005 submits that the petitioners have filed writ petitions questioning the common order passed by respondent No.3 dated 30.04.2005 and the same is maintainable under law. Ratnabhai had purchased Acs.23.17 guntas in Survey No.9/1 from HEH the Nizam, under Registered sale deed dated 24.03.1964 after obtaining necessary permissions from the revenue authorities under Section 47 and 48 of Hyderabad Tenancy Agricultural Act from Mir Osman Ali Khan Bahadur. The said land was surrounded by Survey No.11. Survey No.9/1 was

¹ 2003 (5) ALD 654

² 2012 (5) ALD 576

³ 2005 (1) ALT 169

⁴ 2003 (1) ALT 276



sub-divided into Survey No.9/1/H. In the Revenue records, the name of Ratnabhai was shown in possession column in Survey No.9/1/H for the years 1964-1965 and there was also compromise between Ratnabhai and HEH the Nizam in case No.U2/6/87/62 in the Board of Revenue on 06.09.1962 and since then she has been in possession and enjoyment of the subject property. When some persons are trying to interfere with the possession of Ratnabhai, she filed suit O.S.No.395 of 1994 seeking perpetual injunction against unofficial respondents and others and the said suit was decreed. Aggrieved by the same, unofficial respondents have filed appeal and the same was dismissed. He further contended that alleged predecessor in title of unofficial respondents have filed suit in O.S.No.328 of 1994 on the file of Principle District Munsif, Hyderabad East and North Ranga Reddy District, seeking injunction against the petitioners and obtained interim injunction in I.A.No.1000 of 1994. Subsequently, the petitioners have filed I.A.No.2338 of 1994 seeking vacation of the said interim injunction and the said court after going through the records and after hearing the contentions of the respective parties vacated the injunction order specifically holding that the Ratnabhai was owner and possessor of the land in Survey No.9/1/H. Questioning the said order, unofficial respondents filed CMA No.5 of 1995 on the file Chairman LART cum II Additional Judge at Ranga Reddy District and the said appeal was dismissed on 09.08.1996. Questioning the



said order, unofficial respondents filed CRP No.924 of 1997 before erstwhile High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad and the same was also dismissed on 01.04.1997. He also contended that unofficial respondents filed suit O.S.No.262 of 1997 against various plot owners for injunction over the scheduled property in Survey No.9/1/H and filed I.A.No.1493 of 1997 seeking temporary injunction and the said petition was dismissed on 18.03.1998.

6.1 He further contended that the unofficial respondents are claiming property to an extent of Acs.10.00 in Survey No.9/1/H, basing on the unregistered agreement of sale dated 21.03.1973 from Mohd.Hussain Khan and Fasiuddin Khan who have filed suit against the petitioners and the same was dismissed. Hence, unofficial respondents are not entitled to claim any rights over the subject property. He also contended that Mandal Revenue Officer has illegally entered the names of the unofficial respondents in the Pahanies for the year 1994-95, 95-96 in respect of Survey No.9/1/H and the said illegal entries were made without issuing any notice to Ratnabhai. Questioning the said illegal entries the petitioners have filed appeals before respondent No.2. The appellate authority framed seven issues and allowed the appeals after considering the contentions of respective parties and after going through the entire records by giving cogent reasons. He further contended that the subject land seized to be agricultural land, respondent No.1 have



entered names of the unofficial respondents in the revenue records even without issuing notice as required under Rule 22 of Rules. Respondent No.3 without considering the contentions raised by the petitioners allowed the revision petition and passed the impugned order and the same is contrary to the evidence on record and to the provisions of the Act and the same is liable to be set aside.

6.2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in O.S.No.328 of 1994 on the file of learned Principle District Munisif Hyderabad East and North Ranga Reddy in I.A.No.1000 of 1994 exparte interim injunction was granted in favour of the unofficial respondents and when the petitioners filed I.A.No.2338 of 1994 seeking vacation of the said interim injunction and the said court vacated the injunction order specifically holding that the Ratnabhai was owner and possessor of the land in Survey No.9/1/H and the unofficial respondents are not entitled to claim injunction and the unofficial respondents have not established their possession over the property and the said finding was confirmed in CMA No.5 of 1995 and also in CRP No.924 of 1997. By virtue of the same, unofficial respondents are not entitled to claim any right, interest and title over the property.

6.3. In support of his contentions, learned counsel relied upon the following judgments:



1. ***Canara Bank and others Vs. Debasis Das and others⁵.***

2. ***Ameer-un-Nissa Begum and others Vs. Mahboob Begum and others⁶.***

7. Sri K. Ramakanth Reddy, learned senior counsel representing Sri Raghu Gurram, learned counsel for contesting respondents submits that W.P.No.11275 of 2005 filed by the petitioners association invoking the jurisdiction under Article 226 of Constitution of India by way of Writ of Mandamus is not maintainable under law. Respondent No.3 while exercising the quasi-judicial powers under the ROR Act passed the impugned order and the petitioners ought to have filed Writ of Certiorari but not Writ of Mandamus and the same is liable to be dismissed. He also contended that the petitioners have raised several disputed questions of facts. Hence, the petitioners ought to have approached competent Civil Court as per the provisions of Section 8(2) of the ROR Act.

7.1 He further contended that revisional authority-Joint Collector while exercising the powers conferred under Section 9 of ROR Act, after considering the contentions of the respective parties and documentary evidence on record, rightly passed the impugned order, dated 30.04.2005 by setting aside the order passed by

⁵ (2003) 4 SCC 557

⁶ AIR 1955 SC 352(Vol 42, CN 63)



appellate authority-respondent No.2 dated 21.07.2003. He also contended that respondent No.2-RDO without properly considering the documentary evidence on record allowed the appeals filed by the petitioners by setting aside the revenue entries made in favour of the unofficial respondents and also proceedings issued by Mandal Revenue officer dated 24.09.1996 and cancelled the pattadar passbooks and title deeds. He also contended that respondent No.2 in his orders dated 21.07.2003, on the one hand held that revenue authorities cannot determine the title, on the other hand allowed the appeal and the same is contrary to law. He further contended that petitioners' vendor namely Ratnabhai applied for grampanchayat permission claiming Survey No.9/1 in 1966. Hence, the petitioners are not entitled to claim that they are having rights over the property covered by Survey No.9/1/H.

7.2 Learned senior counsel further contended that survey No.9 consisting of Acs.501.01 guntas and pursuant to the orders passed by the then Collector in the year 1962 an extent of Acs.245.14 fell to the share of the Government and balance land fell to the share of HEH the Nizam. The land fell to the share of the Government was retained as Survey No.9/1 and allotted to various departments and land fell to the share of the HEH the Nizam was allotted survey No. 9/1/A to 9/1/L. Petitioners are claiming rights through Ratnabhai. She had purchased the land to an extent of Acs.23.17 guntas



through registered sale deed dated 24.03.1964 in Survey No.9/1 only. The unofficial respondents have no claim over survey No.9/1 and they are claiming rights in 9/1/H consisting of Acs.37.12 guntas which stands in the name of HEH the Nizam which is a part of plot No.4 and the same was allotted to Hussain Khan and Fasiuddin Khan. The unofficial respondents have entered into agreement of sale dated 21.03.1973 to an extent of Acs.5.00 each from Hussain Khan and Fasiuddin Khan and made application for regularization and the same was validated under Section 5(A) of the ROR Act through order dated 24.09.1996 on payment of registration fee. Accordingly, the unofficial respondents have paid stamp duty and the said order has become final and Mandal Revenue Officer issued 13-B and 13-C certificates and also pattadar passbooks and title deeds in their favour in the year 2002 itself. He further submits that the unofficial respondents' filed Revision Case Nos.D5/6631/2003, D5/6632/2003 and D5/6633/2003 before the Joint collector, Ranga Reddy District and the same were allowed, by its order dated 30.04.2005. He further submits that the GHMC without issuing notice, without following due process as contemplated under the provisions of Section 326 of Municipal Corporation Act and without acquiring the unofficial respondents' land trying to construct park by dispossessing them from the subject property, which is contrary to law and offend Article 300-A of the Constitution of India. He further submits that the petitioners



are not entitled to claim any rights over the property covered by the Survey No.9/1/H and they have to approach the competent civil Court and establish their right, interest, title over the subject property under Section 8(2) of the ROR Act.

7.3 He further contended that the parties filed simple suit for perpetual injunction and basing on the reasons assigned in the said order, the petitioners are not entitled to deny the rights of the unofficial respondents and the nature of proceedings adjudicated by the revenue authorities under the provisions of ROR Act are different. He further contended that ground raised by the petitioners that the provisions of the ROR Act is not applicable and the Mandal Revenue officer is not having power or authority to regularize the sale deed exercising the powers conferred under Section 5(A) of the ROR Act on the ground that the subject property is not an agricultural land as defined under Sub-Section (4) of Section 2 of the Act is not true and correct on the ground that the subject land was neither used nor converted from agricultural to non-agricultural. Unless and until the same was converted from agricultural to non-agricultural through process of law, the land remains as agricultural land, hence respondent No.3 rightly passed the impugned order dated 30.04.2005 and the then Mandal Revenue officer had also rightly regularized the proceedings dated 24.09.1996 exercising the powers conferred under Section 5(A) of



the Act and issued Form 13(B) and Form No.13(C) on 18.01.2002 after duly receiving an amount of Rs.8,25,000/- towards registration fee and the petitioners have not questioned the said order and the said orders have become final and pattadar passbooks and title deeds were issued in favour of the unofficial respondents. He also contended that the Unique Builders through V.P Srinivas purchased Acs.12.00 in the same survey No.9/1/H through the same *Firman*. However, the petitioners have suppressed the said fact. Learned Senior counsel further contended that there is no illegality or irregularity or jurisdictional error in the impugned order passed by respondent No.3 and the writ petition Nos. 11275 of 2005, 16662 of 2005 and 25029 of 2005 are liable to be dismissed.

7.4 In support of his contentions learned Senior counsel relied upon the following judgments:

1. ***Saraswati Industrial Syndicate Ltd. & Ors Vs. Union of India***⁷.
2. ***R. Sathyanarayanan Vs. The State Bank of India (W.P.No.11333 of 2017)***.
3. ***T.S.Basappa Vs.T.Nagappa & Another***⁸.
4. ***Visweswara Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd and others Vs. Telangana State Industrial***

⁷ (1974) 2 SCC 630

⁸ (1955) 1 SCR 250



Infrastructure Corporation Ltd. And Others⁹.

5. *Gulf Oil Corporation Ltd. The State of Telangana and Ors¹⁰.*

6. *Principal Secretary, Government of Karnataka & Another Vs. Ragini Narayan & Another¹¹.*

8. Sri V.V.Raghavan, learned counsel for the petitioner in W.P.No.6118 of 2005 and 26767 of 2010 submits that he is adopting the very same submissions made by Sri K.Ramakanth Reddy, learned Senior counsel for unofficial respondents in W.P.Nos.11275 of 2005 and other two writ petitions.

9. Sri M.Durga Prasad, learned Standing counsel appearing on behalf of G.H.M.C. in W.P. No. 6118 of 2005 contended that the petitioners in the said writ petition have not filed any iota of evidence that by virtue of the agreement of sale dated 21.03.1973, the subject property was delivered to them and they were in possession. The subject property is not belonging to the writ petitioners and as per the document/General Power of Attorney dated 16.05.1997, the scheduled property mentioned in the writ petition and GPA is not one and same and they are not tallying with each other. He further contended that even before the alleged

⁹ 2023 SCC OnLine TS 2980
¹⁰ 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1209
¹¹ AIR 2016 SC 4543



regularization proceedings issued under RoR Act in favour of the petitioners in File No.441/MP2/HUDA/90 dated 05.12.1990, the layout was approved in the name of Ratnabai W/o.Amrityal in Sy.No.9/1/H part and Sy.No.11 part of Saroonagar. Pursuant to the approved layout, the subject property to an extent of 3,561 sq. yards was earmarked for park. Hence, the Petitioners in W.P.No.6118 of 2005 are not entitled to claim the subject property and they are not entitled any relief, much less the relief sought in the writ petition basing on the alleged revenue entries.

10. Sri K.R.K Gargeya, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2-Sarojini Nagar Welfare Association in W.P.No.6118 of 2005, submits that respondent No.2 - Association was registered in the year 1995. He further submits that from 1994, the subject land to an extent of 3,561 sq. yards was earmarked for park and it was kept open and the same was got from the vendor of the members of respondent No.2 Association and the same was approved by HUDA vide its layout No.4441/1990 sanctioning the layout permission of 83 plots of the colony. He further submits that respondent No.2 Association constructed one septic tank for drainage for 83 houses in the north-east side in the open land and also erected one transformer for supply of the electricity to the entire colony and the colony is also constructed one room for office and installed one borewell for supply of water to entire colony by way of



pumping through pipeline from sump to the houses and the same was erected at the southern side of the open land and respondent No.2 association is using the office room and conducting the meetings regularly.

11. He further contended that the open land i.e., subject land belonging to the vendor of the members of respondent No.2 Association namely Smt.Ratnabai and her grandson is residing in the colony on the northern side of the park. She was a land lady to an extent of Ac.9.32 gts. in Sy.No.11 and Ac.23.00 gts in Sy.No.9/1/H. Respondent No.2 Association is in Sy.No.11 in Ac.9.32 gts. including park. Since 1995, respondent No.2 Association celebrating national festivals and conducting traditional festivals in park till today.

12. He further contended that in the year 1998, Mr.Vikaruddin Ali Khan died. During his life time, he filed suit in O.S.No.323 of 1998 before the II Additional Senior Civil Judge, Ranga Reddy District, against the vendor of respondent No.2 association, but he has not established the possession of the land in Sy.No.9/1/H and the said suit was dismissed on 23.02.2006. He further submits that Hon'ble Division Bench in Public Interest Litigation W.P.No.15188 of 2006 dated 01.05.2007 issued directions with regard to the encroachment of public places in municipal areas and also directed the government authorities and municipal authorities to safeguard the



open spaces and take necessary steps by fencing and constructing compound wall to avoid the encroachments. Since 1995, respondent No.2 Association is in possession of the subject property, the writ petitioners in W.P.No.6118 of 2005 are not entitled the relief much the relief sought in the writ petition.

13. Having considered the rival submissions made by the respective parties and after perusal of the material available on record following points arises for consideration:

1. Whether the Mandal Revenue officer/respondent No.1 is having power or authority to regularize the document/agreement of sale i.e, dated 21.03.1973 executed by the Mohd.Hussain Khan and Fasiuddin Khan in favour of respondent Nos.4 and 5 and the same is valid under law?
2. Whether the respondent No.1 before issuing regularization proceedings followed the mandatory procedure prescribed under the provisions of ROR Act and rules made thereunder?
3. Whether the revenue authorities or this Court is having power or authority to decide the disputed questions of fact between *inter se* parties in respect of subject property especially when the petitioners are claiming rights through Ratnabhai who in turn claiming the rights through document dated 24.03.1964 executed by HEH the Nizam, whereas respondent Nos.4 ad 5 claiming rights through document dated 21.03.1973 executed by Mohd Hussain Khan and Fasiuddin Khan and they are claiming the rights through Firman dated 30.05.1961.
4. Whether the impugned order passed by respondent No.3 is sustainable under law?
5. Whether the petitioners are entitled any relief sought in



the writ petition, if so what relief?

Point Nos.1 to 5:

14. As per the records, it reveals that the petitioners are claiming rights over the subject property through Smt.Ratnabhai who had purchased to an extent of Acs.23.17 guntas in Survey No.9/1 Saroornagar village, Ranga Reddy District from HEH the Nizam through Registered sale deed dated 24.03.1964. Her vendor executed the said sale deed pursuant to the permission granted by the revenue authorities under Section 47 and 48 of the Hyderabad Tenancy Agricultural lands Act, 1954. It also reveals that the subject land to an extent of Acs.23.17 guntas surrounded by survey No.11 and Survey No.9/1 subdivided into Survey No.9/1/H and the same stands in the name of HEH Nizam. Ratnabhai sold the land to various persons after obtaining permission from the Saroornagar Grampanchayat through lay out sanction file bearing No.3045 of 1996. Members of the petitioners association in W.P.Nos.11275 of 2005 have purchased the house plots from Ratnabhai in 1996 and since then they have been in the possession and enjoyment of the subject property. The unofficial respondents are claiming right and title over the property covered in Survey No.9/1/H consisting of Acs.37.12 guntas which stands in the name of HEH Nizam. In the said survey Number a part of plot No.4 was allotted to Hussain Khan and Fasiuddin Khan of a large extent through Firman dated



30.05.1961 and they have entered into agreement of sale dated 21.03.1973 with unofficial respondents and the same was regularized by the Mandal Revenue Officer exercising the powers conferred under Section 5(A) of the ROR Act *vide* proceedings No.B/840/95 dated 24.09.1996 and issued validation certificate in Form 13-B and 13-C on 18.01.2002 after collecting registration charges and since then they have been in possession.

15. It further reveals from the record that unofficial respondents have filed suit in O.S.No.328 of 1994 on the file of Principle District Munsif Hyderabad, East and North Ranga Reddy District seeking perpetual injunction restraining the petitioners from interfering with the schedule property, along with the said suit they have filed application in I.A.No.1000 of 1994 wherein the said Court granted ex-parte ad-interim injunction, thereafter Ratnabhai and petitioners in W.P.No.16662 of 2005 and 25029 of 2005 have filed application I.A.No.2338 of 1994 seeking vacation of the ex-parte injunction and said court after considering the contentions of the parties and after due verification of the documentary evidence on record vacated the interim injunction order by its order dated 02.01.1995. Aggrieved by the same, unofficial respondents have preferred appeal CMA No.5 of 1995 before the II Additional Judge, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad and the lower appellate Court dismissed the said appeal by its order dated 09.08.1996. Questioning the same, unofficial



respondents have filed CRP No.924 of 1997 before erstwhile High Court of Andhra Pradesh, at Hyderabad and the same was dismissed on 01.04.1997. Thereafter, the unofficial respondents filed another suit in O.S.No.262 of 1997 against various plot owners for grant of perpetual injunction in respect of scheduled property in Survey No.9/1/H and also filed application I.A.No.493 of 1997 seeking temporary injunction and the said application was dismissed on 18.03.1998.

16. The records further discloses that neither of the parties have filed a comprehensive suit seeking declaration of title and the nature of relief sought in suits filed by either of the parties are only simply suits for perpetual injunction.

17. It also reveals from the record that questioning the entries made in the revenue records in favour of unofficial respondents, Smt. Ratnabai and petitioner's association in W.P.No.11275 of 2005 have filed appeals *vide* Appeal Nos.A2/997/98, A2/2820/989, A2/1682/2002 under Section 5(5) of the ROR Act, aggrieved by the Memo issued by Mandal Revenue Officer, Saroornagar in File No.Record/C/29/98, dated 21.03.1998 and for rectifying revenue entries in the record of rights before respondent No.2 and the appellate authority after taking into consideration of the contentions raised by the respective parties framed seven(7) issues which reads as follows:



1. Whether the subject land is coming under the definition/meaning of agricultural land as defined in the A.P. Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act 1971.
2. Whether the Provisions of the section 5 (A) of the R.O.R. is applicable to the lands under appeal.
3. Whether the appellants/appellant society and its vendors has perfected their title to the subject lands.
4. Whether the respondents have valid documents over the subject land and purchased the land from its Pattadar.
5. Whether giving of Pattadar Pass Books / Title Deeds to the respondents justifiable or not.
6. Whether the original entries prior to 1995-96 can be restored or not.
7. Whether the boundaries mentioned in the sale deed Dated: 24-03- 64 executed by HEH Nizam in favour of Smt. Ratanbai and physical possession of the appellant are tallied or not.

18. Respondent No.2 after hearing both the parties and also after due verification of the documentary evidence on record allowed the appeals by setting aside the regularization proceedings under Section 5(A) of the Act issued by the then Mandal Revenue Officer in favour of unofficial respondents dated 24.09.1996 and consequential 13-B and 13-C certificate dated 18.01.2002 and also cancelled pattadar passbooks, title deeds issued in their favour by giving cogent reasons by its order dated 21.07.2003 holding that the then Mandal Revenue officer, Saroonagar without verifying the records and also provisions of ROR Act regularized the unregistered



document through order dated 24.09.1996 and also issued 13-B and 13-C certificates on 18.01.2002 and the same are contrary to the provisions of the ROR Act and Rules and also further held that temporary injunction order granted in favour of unofficial respondents in I.A.No.1000 of 1994 in O.S.No.328 of 1994 is vacated and the said order has become final in CRP No.924 of 1997 and the unofficial respondents ought to have established their title and possession before competent Civil Court. Aggrieved by the above said order, the unofficial respondents filed revision petitions *vide* Case Nos. D5/6631/2003, D5/6632/2003 and D5/6633/2003 before respondent No.3 under Section 9 of the ROR Act. The revisional authority allowed the Revision petitions by setting aside the order of respondent No.2 on 30.04.2005 holding that the unofficial respondents are claiming right and title through registered holder of the land i.e., HEH Nizam and the said HEH Nizam allotted the same to unofficial respondents' vendor through Firman dated 30.05.1961 and the unofficial respondents claiming rights through document dated 21.03.1973 and the same was regularized by respondent No.1 under Section 5(A) of the Act.

19. It is very much relevant to place on record that revenue authorities while exercising the powers conferred under the provisions of ROR Act, 1971 and Rules made thereunder are having right only to the extent of mutation of revenue records and



corrections of revenue entries. As per the provisions of Section 5(A) of the Act, the Mandal Revenue officer/Tahsildar is having power to regularize certain alienations or other transfer of land.

20. It is very much relevant to extract the Section 5(A) of the Act.

Regularization of certain alienations or other transfers of

lands:-

- (1) Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, the Transfer of Property Act, 1882, the Registration Act, 1908 or any other law for the time being in force, where the name of any person is recorded as an occupant in the Record of Rights by virtue of an alienation or transfer made or effected otherwise than by registered document, the alienee or the transferee may, within such period as may be prescribed, apply to the Mandal Revenue Officer for a certificate declaring that such alienation or transfer is valid.
- (2) On receipt of such application, the Mandal Revenue Officer shall, after making such enquiry as may be prescribed require the alienee or the transferee to the deposit in the office of the Mandal Revenue Officer an amount equal to the registration fees and the stamp duty that would have been payable had the alienation or transfer been effected by a registered document to accordance with the provisions of the Registration Act, 1908 as fixed by the registering officer on a reference made to him by the Mandal Revenue Officer on the basis of the value of the property arrived at in such manner as may be prescribed:

Provided that the Mandal Revenue Officer shall not require the alienee or the transferee to deposit the amount under this sub-section unless he is satisfied that the alienation or transfer is not in contravention of the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Act, 1973, the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 the Andhra Pradesh Scheduled areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 and the



Andhra Pradesh Assigned Lands (Prohibition of Transfers)
Act, 1977.

- (3) Nothing contained in sub-section (1) and sub-section (2) shall be deemed to validate any alienation where such alienation is in contravention of the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Act, 1973, the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 the Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 and the Andhra Pradesh Assigned Lands (Prohibition of Transfers) Act, 1977.
- (4) The Mandal Revenue Officer on deposit of an amount specified in sub-section (2), shall issue a certificate to the alienee or the transferee declaring that the alienation or transfer is valid from the date of issue of certificate and such certificate shall, not with evidence of such alienation or transfer as against the alienor or transferor or any person claiming interest under him.
- (5) The recording authority, shall on the production of the certificate issued under sub-section (2) make any entry in the pass book to the effect that the person whose name has been recorded as an occupant is the owner of the property.
- (6) The Mandal Revenue Officer shall have the power to correct clerical errors, if any, in the Pass Book.

21. As per the above said provision, the Mandal Revenue Officer is not having power to regularize the unregistered agreement of sale.

22. The Division Bench of combined High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad in **Konkana Ravinder Goud and others vs Bhavanarishi Co-operative Housing Building Society, Hyderabad and others** held that the agreement of sale does not convey any right, title or interest over the property and also cannot be



considered as a valid transfer/alienation of the property within the provisions of Section 5-A of the ROR Act.

23. In **Vanga Narsa Reddy v. Joint Collector District Adilabad**, this Court held that Revenue Authorities exercising the powers conferred under the ROR Act, are not having any authority or jurisdiction to decide title of the property, which should be resolved by competent Civil Courts. It has been held by the Courts in a catena of decisions that the revenue authorities are not substitutes for the competent Civil Courts and they cannot decide the complicated questions of title in the summary enquiry provided under the ROR Act. Further held that, revisional jurisdiction should only be invoked to prevent grave miscarriages of justice, not as a routine matter.

24. In **Canara Bank v. Debasis Das**, the Hon'ble Apex Court held that the Principles of natural justice requires adherence to the *audi alteram partem rule*, ensuring that no one is condemned without being heard.

25. In **Ameer-un-Nissa Begum and others(6 supra)** the Hon'ble Apex Court held that the Constitution of India came into force on 26.01.1950 and after the integration of Hyderabad with the Indian Union, the Nizam lost his absolute sovereign powers which he could exercise previously. It was therefore, no longer possible for him to



issue a 'Firman' in terms of report of Sir George Spence. To obviate this difficulty, recourse was had to legislation and in April 1950, an Act was passed, known as Wali-Ud-Dowlah Act(Succession Act), which purported to end the disputes regarding rights of succession to the 'matrooka' or personal estate of the Nawab Wali-ud-Dowlah.

26. In the case on hand, the unofficial respondents filed application invoking the provisions of Section 5-A of the Act before respondent No.1 for regularization of the Agreement of sale dated 21.03.1979 and the same was regularized and issued validation certificate through proceedings No.B/840/95 and also issued 13B and 13C certificates on 18.01.2002 and also issued pattadar passbooks and title deeds in their favour. Admittedly, the Mandal Revenue Officer is not having jurisdiction to regularize the unregistered agreement of sale especially without giving notice and opportunity to the effected parties and without following mandatory procedure prescribed under ROR Act and Rules made thereunder. Respondent No.3-revisional authority without properly considering the provisions of the Act allowed the revision petitions and passed the impugned order.

27. It is pertinent to mention here that the petitioners are claiming the rights over the property through Smt.Ratnabhai who inturn claiming the rights through HEH Nizam under Registered sale deed dated 24.03.1964. Whereas unofficial respondents are



claiming rights over the property through Document dated 21.03.1973 from Mohd.Hussain Khan and Fasiuddin Khan who inturn claiming rights through Firman dated 30.05.1961 which was executed by HEH Nizam. It is already stated supra that the revenue authorities while adjudicating the proceedings under the provisions of 'ROR Act' are not having any authority or jurisdiction to adjudicate the disputed questions of facts and title between the parties and similarly this Court while adjudicating the proceedings under Article 226 of Constitution of India, is also not having jurisdiction to adjudicate or decide the complicated disputed questions of facts and title in a writ petition.

28. In ***K. Jaipal Reddy Vs. Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District***¹² the Division Bench of this Court held that revenue authorities are not having power or jurisdiction to decide the complicated questions of title and possession.

29. In ***Shri Sohan Lal Vs. Union of India and Another***¹³ and ***Thansighn Nathmal Vs. Superintendent of Taxes, Dhubri***¹⁴ the Hon'ble Apex Court held that the question of title cannot be adjudicated in a writ petition.

30. It is already stated supra that respondent No.1-Mandal Revenue officer, Ranga Reddy District while exercising the powers

¹² 2023 6 ALT 622

¹³ AIR 1957 SC 529

¹⁴ AIR 1964 SC 1419



under Section 5(A) of the Act regularized the unregistered agreement of sale dated 21.03.1973, pursuant to the said regularization proceedings, issued 13-B and 13C certificates and also issued pattadar passbooks and title deed in favour of the unofficial respondents especially without issuing notice and opportunity to the affected parties as required under the provisions of ROR Act and Rules made thereunder and respondent No.2 has rightly allowed the appeals by setting aside the order of respondent No.1. Respondent No.3 without properly considering the provisions of the ROR Act and Rules passed the impugned order and the same is contrary to the principle laid down in **Konkana Ravinder Vs. Bhavanarushi Cooperative society (1 supra)** and the same is liable to be set aside.

31. In so far as the contention of learned senior counsel for unofficial respondents by relying on the judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court i.e, **Saraswati Industrial Syndicate Ltd. & Ors, R. Sathyanarayanan, T.S. Basappa, and Visweswara Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. & Ors**, that writ petition filed by the petitioner in W.P.No.11275 of 2005 is not maintainable under law on the ground that petitioners have sought Writ of Mandamus instead of seeking Writ of Certiorari is not tenable under law on the ground that questioning the common order passed by the Revisional authority dated 30.04.2005, three writ petitions were filed and in



W.P.Nos.16662 of 2005 the petitioners sought relief by way of writ of certiorari and all the writ petitions were clubbed and heard together and this Court is passing common order. The settled proposition of law is that to render substantial justice to the parties, the procedural and technical defects will not come in the way. The nature of objections raised by the learned Senior counsel for unofficial respondents is purely procedural and technical in nature and the same are curable defects.

32. Learned senior counsel for unofficial respondents relying upon the judgment of **Gulf Oil Corporation Ltd.(10 supra)** contended that unless and until the agricultural land is converted into non-agricultural land through due process of law, the petitioners are not entitled to contend that the provisions of the ROR Act are not applicable to the subject land.

33. In **Principle Secretary, Government of Karnataka And Anr (11 Supra)**, the Hon'ble Apex Court held that the sale deed document registered on a subsequent date, operate from the date of execution not from the date of registration under Section 47 of the Registration Act, 1908.

34. The judgments in **Gulf Oil Corporation Ltd.(10 supra)** and **Principle Secretary, Government of Karnataka And Anr (11 Supra)** relied upon by the learned Senior Counsel for the unofficial



respondents are not applicable to the facts and circumstances of the case on the ground that the Mandal Revenue Officer regularized the unregistered agreement of sale exercising the powers conferred under Section 5(A) of the Act.

35. For the foregoing reasons, as well as the principle laid down in the catena of judgments, the impugned order passed by respondent No.3 dated 30.04.2005 is liable to be set aside. Accordingly, set aside. Accordingly, point Nos.1 to 5 are answered. However, this order will not preclude the parties to take appropriate steps to ascertain their claim over the subject property by approaching the competent Civil Court, if so they are aggrieved.

36. With the above said observations, W.P.Nos.11275 and 16662 of 2005 and 25029 of 2005 are disposed of accordingly.

37. By virtue of the orders passed in W.P.Nos.11275, 16662 of 2005 and 25029 of 2005, the petitioners in W.P.Nos.6118 of 2005 and 26767 of 2010 are not entitled the relief sought in the writ petitions. Accordingly, both the writ petitions are dismissed. No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-A.V.S.PRASAD
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Saroomagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
2. The Special Grade Dy. Collector & Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy (E) Division, Hyderabad.
3. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad



2024:TSHC:36142


4. One CC to SRI P. PRATAP, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
6. One CC to SRI DAMODAR MUNDRA, Advocate [OPUC]
7. One CC to SRI RAGHU GURRAM, Advocate [OPUC]
8. One CC to SRI K. SAI KRISHNA MOHAN RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
9. One CC to SRI T. S. PRAVEEN KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
10. One CC to SRI V.V. RAGHAVAN, Advocate [OPUC]
11. One CC to SRI M DURGA PRASAD, S.C. FOR GHMC [OPUC]
12. One CC to SRI K.R.K. GARGEYA, Advocate [OPUC]
13. One CC to Mr. SHED SHAREEF AHMED, Advocate [OPUC]
14. One CC to SRI PREETAM CHAND YADAV, Advocate [OPUC]
15. One CC to SRI T. SREEDHAR, Advocate [OPUC]
16. Two CCs to GP for Home, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
17. One CC to SRI B. VENKAT RAMA RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
18. Two CD Copies

MP
GJP



HIGH COURT

DATED:31/07/2024)



COMMON ORDER

**W.P.Nos.11275, 16662, 6118 & 25029 OF 2005 AND
26767 OF 2010**

**DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION Nos.11275 &
16662 of 2005 AND 25029 of 2005**

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION Nos. 6118 OF 2005
& 26767 of 2010**

WITHOUT COSTS

(22)

30/9/24
bvs