



2025:TSHC:59054  
[ 3383 ]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF DECEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 2230 OF 2015**

**Between:**

Dasari Rajashekar, S/o. Kishan, Age 35 years, Occ Business, R/o. H.No.1-2-209/3/2, Prakasham Road, Koratla Proper and Mandal, Karimnagar District.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. The State of Telangana, represented by Principal Secretary to the Municipal Administration, Secretariat buildings, Hyderabad.
2. The Koratla Municipality, represented by its Commissioner, Koratla Proper and Mandal, Karimnagar District.
3. K. Bhanumurthy, S/o. Balamraj, Age 47 years, Occ Doctor, R/o. H.No.4-194, Bheemaram Village, Medipalli Mandal, Karimnagar District.

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the action of the respondent no.2 in not taking any action basing on the representation submitted by the petitioner, dated 17-1-2015, for removing the illegal construction made by the respondent no.3 occupying the 18 fts public road in front of the house of the petitioner premises bearing no. 1-2-209/3/2, situated at Prakasham Road, Koratla Proper and Mandal, Karimnagar District, is nothing but arbitrary, illegal, null and void and violative of Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and also violative of principles of natural justice and also violative of provisions of the A.P. Municipalities Act. Consequently, direct the respondent no.2 to take necessary action considering the representation submitted by the petitioner, dated 17-1-



2025:TSHC:59054

2015, for removing the illegal construction made by the respondent no.3 occupying the public road of 18 fts in front of the petitioner premises bearing no. 1-2-209/3/2, situated at Prakasham Road, Koratla Proper and Mandal, Karimnagar District.

**I.A. NO: 1 OF 2015(WPMP. NO: 2970 OF 2015)**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent no.2 to remove the illegal construction made by the respondent no.3 occupying the public road of 18 fts in front of the petitioner premises bearing no. 1-2-209/3/2, situated at Prakasham Road, Koratla Proper and Mandal, Karimnagar District

**Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI ISHA MEHRA FOR SRI K.VENUMADHAV**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR MCPL ADMN & URBAN DEV**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.2: N. PRAVEEN KUMAR, SC FOR MUNICIPALITY**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.3: SRI J.SURESH RAO**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**



2025:TSHC:59054

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY**

**WRIT PETITION No.2230 of 2015**

**DATE : 11.12.2025**

**Between:**

Dasari Rajashekar

**...Petitioner**

**AND**

The State of Telangana,  
Rep. by its Principal Secretary to the Municipal Administration,  
Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad and others

**...Respondents**

**ORDER:**

This writ petition is filed seeking following relief:

*"To declare the action of the respondent no.2 in not taking any action basing on the representation submitted by the petitioner, dated 17-1-2015, for removing the illegal construction made by the respondent no.3 occupying the 18 fts public road in front of the house of the petitioner premises bearing no. 1-2-209/3/2, situated at Prakasham Road, Koratla Proper and Mandal, Karimnagar District, as illegal and arbitrary."*

2. Ms. Isha Mehra, learned counsel representing Mr. K. Venumadhav, learned counsel for the petitioner on record and Mr. Putta Krishna Reddy, learned Standing counsel for respondent No.2 are present.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that cause in the present Writ Petition does not survive for adjudication and it has become infructuous.



4. Recording the above submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/-AHMED ABDULLAH KHAN  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI K.VENUMADHAV, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI N.PRAVEEN KUMAR, SC FOR MUNICIPALITY [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI J.SURESH RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP FOR MCPL ADMN & URBAN DEV, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad . [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies

PMK

BS




2025:TSHC:59054

**HIGH COURT**

**DATED:11/12/2025**

**ORDER**

**WP.No.2230 of 2015**



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION AS  
INFRACTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS**

*ENT*  
*12/13/26*