

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SAM KOSHY

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 3402 OF 2025

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, assailing the Docket Order dated 14.08.2025 passed in I.A.No.320 of 2025 in O.S.No.602 of 2025 by the learned Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-VII Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, at Hayathnagar, Ranga Reddy District in dismissing the advancement memo to filed by the Petitioners to vacate the ad-interim injunction dated 22.07.2025 in I.A.No.320 of 2025 in OS No.602 of 2025 on the file of I Addl. Junior Civil Judge-Cum-VII Addl. Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ranga Reddy District at Hayathnagar.

Between:

1. Thatichettu Veeraswamy, S/o. T Chandraiah, Aged about 78 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o.H.No.3-79, Pedda Amberpet Village, Abdullapurmet Mandal, Rangareddy District.
2. Thatichettu Lingaswamy, S/o. T Veeraswamy, Aged about 32 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o.H.No.3-79, Pedda Amberpet Village, Abdullapurmet Mandal, Rangareddy District.
3. Thatichettu Pandu, S/o. Late T.Chandraiah, Aged about 60 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o.H.No.4-07, Pedda Amberpet Village, Abdullapurmet Mandal, Rangareddy District.
4. Thatichettu Suresh Goud, S/o. T Pandu, Aged about 34 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o.H.No.4-07, Pedda Amberpet Village, Abdullapurmet Mandal, Rangareddy District.

(Petitioner No.1 to 4 Rep. by their GPA Holders, Petitioner No. 5 & 6)

5. Thatichettu Yadagiri Goud, S/o. T Sri Ramulu, Aged about 61 years, Occ. Business, R/o.H.No.4-101, Pedda amberpet Village, Abdullapurmet Mandal, Rangareddy District.
6. Sama Prabakar Reddy, S/o. Late Narasimha Reddy, Aged about 50 years. Occ. Business, R/o.H.No.9-28, Praja Gulmohar, Kuntloor, Abdullapurmet Mandal, Rangareddy District

...PETITIONERS/Petitioners/Defendants 2 to 4

AND

1. Smt. Poluri Nagamani, W/o. Late P.Nagi Reddy, Aged 43 years, Occ. Household, R/o.H.No.2-12, Ananthagiri Mandalam, Tripuravaram Post, Nadigudem Mandal, Suryapet District – 508234

.... Respondent/Respondent/Plaintiff

2. Kavala Jagannadhan, S/o. K. Venkata Rao, Aged about 56 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o.Lolla Village, Athreyapuram Mandal, East Godavari District-533237.
3. Kayala Satyanarayana, S/o. K. Venkata Rao, Aged about 47 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o.Lolla Village, Athreyapuram Mandal, East Godavari District-533237.

(These respondents No.2 & 3 are not necessary parties)

...RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS/DEI ENDANTS NO.1 & 2

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of ad-interim injunction order dated 22.07.2025 passed in I.A.No. 320 of 2025 in O.S.No. 602 of 2025 on the file of Honble I Addl. Junior Civil Judge - cum - VII Ackil Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ranja Reddy District, at Hayathnagar.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI. THOOM SRINIVAS

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri AMRUTHA SANJEEVA

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 & 3 : -

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SAM KOSHY

C.R.P.No.3402 OF 2025

ORDER:

Heard Mr.Thoom Srinivas, learned counsel for the petitioners; Ms.Amrutha Sanjeeva, learned counsel for respondent No.1 and perused the record.

2. The present civil revision petition has been filed by the petitioners assailing the Docket Order dated 14.08.2025 passed in I.A.No.320 of 2025 in O.S.No.602 of 2025 by the learned Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-VII Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, at Hayathnagar, Ranga Reddy District (for short, 'trial Court'). *Vide* the impugned order, the trial Court directed the plaintiff to supply all the copies and plaint and all other relevant documents to the respondents towards compliance of the provisions of Order XXXIX Rule 3 of CPC. Indirectly, what has also been challenged in the present civil revision petition is an order of *ex parte* injunction granted by the trial Court in I.A.No.320 of 2025 on 22.07.2025.

3. At the threshold, this Court is of the opinion that in order to challenge the *ex parte* injunction order, the remedy for the petitioners is filing an appeal under Order XLIII of CPC before the Court concerned and not availing the remedy of supervisory jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. On the said ground, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present Civil revision petition.

4. Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition stands rejected reserving the right of the petitioners to avail other appropriate legal remedies under law. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, miscellaneous petitions pending if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- A. JAYASREE
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-VII Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, at Hayathnagar, Ranga Reddy District.
2. One CC to SRI. THOOM SRINIVAS Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI. AMRUTHA SANJEEVA Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

GE

PMC


HIGH COURT

PSKJ

DATED:24/10/2025

ORDER

CRP.No.3402 of 2025



REJECTING THE CRP WITHOUT COSTS

⑥ PML.
13/2/26