

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF AUGUST  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA

WRIT PETITION NO: 44749 OF 2016

**Between:**

Venu Gajendrula, S/o. G. Bikshapati, aged about 39 years, Lead Analyst (Under dismissal), M/s. B.A. Continuum India Private Limited (a non-bank subsidiary of Bank of America Corporation), R/o. Flat 107, B-Block, Vertex Pleasant Apartment, Brundavan Colony, Nizampet Road, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. M/s. B.A. Continuum India Private Limited (a non-bank subsidiary of Bank of America Corporation), Building No 3, Tower A Gurgaon Infospace Limited, Sector - 21, Dundaheera, Old Delhi - Jaipur Highway, Gurgaon, India.
2. B.A. Continuum India Private Limited, rep. by its Vice President, Human Resources, Building No.5, Mind Space Raheja I.T. Park, Hitech City, Madhapur, Hyderabad.
3. Internal Complaints Committee (Constituted under Section 4 of the Sexual Harassment of Women at Work Place (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013, rep. by its Presiding Officer, B.A. Continuum India Private Limited, Human Resources, Building No.5 Mind Space Raheja I.T. Park, Hitech City, Madhapur, Hyderabad.
4. Usha Mallola, Senior Software Engineer, B.A. Continuum India Private Lim

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Certiorari or any other writ and quash the order of dismissal passed by the 2nd respondent bearing No 00059421, dated 02.12.2016 and consequently direct the 2nd respondent to continue the petitioner in service as Lead Analyst with all the attendant benefits including arrears of salary etc.

**Counsel for the Petitioner : M/S BHARADWAJ ASSOCIATES**  
**Counsel for the Respondents No.1&2 : SRI DUVVA PAVAN KUMAR**  
**Counsel for the Respondents No.3&4 :**

The Court made the following: ORDER

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA**

**WRIT PETITION No.44749 of 2016**

**ORDER:**

When the matter was taken up for hearing on 24.07.2024, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, hence, it was directed to be listed on 08.08.2024 under the caption 'for dismissal'. Even today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

2. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing this writ petition.

3. Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, in the writ petition, shall stand closed,

//TRUE COPY//AVS

SD/-A.V.S. PRASAD  
ASS STANT REGISTRAR  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to M/S. BHARADWAJ ASSOCIATES, Advocate. [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI DUVVA PAVAN KUMAR, Advocate. [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

BSK  
BS



HIGH COURT

DATED:08/08/2024



ORDER

WP.No.44749 of 2016

DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION  
FOR NON-PROSECUTION  
WITHOUT COSTS

5  
2/9/24  
LB