

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

**THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (TR) NO: 1814 OF 2017

Between:

P.V.K. Upender Rao, S/o Murahari Rao, aged 52 years, working as H.M. Gr. II
(Gazetted) Z.P.S.S., K.T.P.S., Paloncha, Khammam District

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Educational Officer, Khammam District at Khammam
2. The Regional Joint Director of School Education, Warangal,
3. The Commissioner and Director of School Education, A.P. Hyderabad
4. Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary,
School Education Department at Secretariat, Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Direction or an Order more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus to declare the proceedings dated 2.10.2013 in Rc No. 1512/B2/B3/2010 on the file of the first respondent, as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and to consequently direct the Respondents to furnish the Manual Merit List of DSC 1986 to the applicant and decide the issue of granting notional benefits in the SGT Cadre from the date of his initial appointment and pay all monetary benefits including considering his case for notional date of promotion in the cadre of H.M.Gr.II (Gazetted) and pass such other order.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI K.V.SUDHAKAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SCHOOL EDUCATION

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (Tr.) No.1814 OF 2017

**THE FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

Between:

P.V.K. Upender Rao

...Petitioner

AND

The District Educational Officer, Khammam District
at Khammam, and three others.

...Respondents

ORDER:

On 11.11.2025, 18.11.2025 and 26.11.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. As such, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'for dismissal'.

2. Today, in spite of the matter being listed under the said caption, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, either in the forenoon or afternoon sessions. It can, thus, be inferred that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case any further.

3. In view of the above, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed. No costs.

SD/- K.BHAVANI SWAMY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI K.V.SUDHAKAR REDDY, Advocate. [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR SCHOOL EDUCATION, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies.


BSK

BM

Pop

HIGH COURT

DATED:04/12/2025



ORDER

WP(TR).No.1814 of 2017

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION (TR)
FOR NON-PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS**

6 copies
for
28/02/2026