

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

FRIDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**:PRESENT:
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.BHASKAR REDDY**

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2481 OF 2025

Between:

Mr. Manish Kumar, S/o. Late Mahavir Pershad

...Petitioner

AND

1. C. Narayana Reddy, I.A.S, Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana.
2. Venka Reddy, Tahsildar, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana.

...Respondents

WHEREAS the petitioner has presented the Contempt Case under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, through his Counsel Smt. Challa Apoorva Reddy, praying the High Court to punish the Respondents herein for wilful and gross violation of the orders of this Court dated 30.12.2024 passed in WP No. 36693 of 2024;

Counsel for the Petitioner : SMT. CHALLA APOORVA REDDY

**Counsel for Respondents : MS. T.SWETCHA,
ASST. GOVT. PLEADER FOR REVENUE**

WHEREAS the said case coming on for hearing, and whereas the High Court, upon perusing the affidavit filed therein, and the earlier order dated 24-10-2025, made the following:

ORDER:

This contempt case has been filed alleging non-compliance of the order dated 30.12.2024 passed by this Court in W.P.No.36693 of 2024, wherein it was observed as follows:

"4. As it is stated that the writ petition filed by the petitioner was allowed against the orders passed by the Revenue Divisional Officer dated 22.05.2007 and the same was confirmed by the Division Bench of this Court, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition by permitting the petitioner to make an appropriate online application in Dharani portal seeking to restore the entries as they stood prior to 22.05.2007, in accordance with the provisions of ROR Act, 9 of 2020. In the event of said application being submitted by the petitioner, the respondents are directed to restore the entries as they stood prior to the orders passed by the Revenue Divisional Officer dated 22.05.2007."

It is the case of the petitioners that, even after receipt of a copy of the order passed by this Court, till date, the respondents herein failed to comply with the directions of this Court. As a result, they are put to serious inconvenience in enjoying their property, as their names have not been restored in the revenue records. This Court finds that such inaction on the part of the respondents demonstrates lethargic attitude in implementing the orders passed by this Court.

However, Ms. T. Swecha, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, sought time to file compliance report in terms of the orders passed by this Court.

List on 20.02.2026. It is made clear that, in the event if compliance report is not filed by the next date of hearing, the respondents shall appear before this Court in person and explain the reasons.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-MOHD.ISMAIL
DEPUTY REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To

1. C.Narayana Reddy, I.A.S, Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana.
2. Venka Reddy, Tahsildar, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana (Addressees 1 & 2 BY SPAD)
3. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad (OUT)
4. One CC to Smt. Challa Apoorva Reddy, Advocate (OPUC)
5. One Spare Copy

HIGH COURT

CVBRJ

DATE: 13-02-2026

NOTE: POST ON 20-02-2026

ORDER

C.C. NO. 2481 OF 2025



DIRECTION