

**THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**TUESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE RENUKA YARA

IA No. 2 OF 2025

IN

CMA NO: 339 OF 2025

Between:

Bonkuri Saroja, Bonkuri Saroja, W/o Srinivas.

...Petitioner/Appellant /Plaintiff
(Appellant in CMA 339 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

Thuti Srinivas, S/o. Balaraj, aged 51 years, Occ. Business R/o. H.NO. 26-87,
Hamaliwada, Mancherial.

...Respondent/Respondent /Defendant
(Respondent in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri V VENKATA MAYUR

Counsel for the Respondent :

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the order dt. 30.07.2025 passed in IA No.11 of 2019 in OS No. 1 of 2019 on the file of Principal District Judge, Mancherial, pending disposal of CMA No. 339 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondent herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner/appellant submitted that his title deed is of the year 2010 and the title deed of the respondent/defendant is of the year 2018, but the same was not considered by the learned trial Court.

It is represented that the respondent is claiming an extent of 1210 Sq.Yds., whereas the property of the petitioner/appellant is an extent is 635 Sq.Yds., which is encircled by the compound wall. It is submitted that in the guise of impugned order, the respondent is trying to demolish the compound wall. In case, the compound wall is demolished, the petition filed for appointment of an Advocate Commissioner to note down the physical features is likely to become infructuous to the serious prejudice of the petitioner/appellant.

In the circumstances stated, both the parties are directed to maintain *status quo* till the next date of hearing.

Issue notice to the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner/appellant is permitted to take out personal notice on the respondent and his counsel on record before the Trial Court.

For filing proof of service, list the matter on 29.10.2025.

SD/-A.SRINIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal District Judge, Mancherial.
2. Thuti Srinivas, S/o. Balaraj, aged 51 years, Occ. Business R/o. H.NO. 26-87, Hamaliwada, Mancherial.(By RPAD)
3. One CC to SRI. V VENKATA MAYUR Advocate [OPUC]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

RY, J

DATED:16/09/2025

FOR FILING PROOF OF SERVICE, LIST THE
MATTER ON 29.10.2025.



ORDER

I.A.No.2 of 2025

IN

CMA.No.339 of 2025

STATUS QUO