



2025:TSHC:51373

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 4125 OF 2018

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, Aggrieved by the impugned order passed in I.A No.437 of 2018 IN O.S. IC. 2138 of 2017 on the file of the IX Additional Senior Civil Judge, R.R. District at LB. Nagar dated.07-06- 2018

Between:

1. T Rohit Sai, H.No. 12-1-59, Sai Mansion, Goods Shed Road, Moosapet, Hyderabad
2. T.Suraj Sai, S/O late T. Sai Prakash, Aged about 21 years, Occ Business, R/o H.No. 12-1-59, Sai Mansion, Goods Shed Road, Moosapet, Hyderabad
3. Imamonnia Yadagiri, S/o I.Ramulu Yadav, (Aged about 49 years, Occ Business, R/o H.No. 12-2-149, Ambedkar Moosapet, Hyderabad

...REVISION PETITIONERS

AND

T Manoj Kumar, R/o H.No. 12-3-80, Moosapet, Hyderabad - 500 018

...RESPONDENT

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Stay of all the proceedings in OS No. 2138 of 2017 on the file of the Court of the IX Additional Senior Civil Judge, R.R. District at L.B.Nagar till disposal of the present Civil Revision Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner(s):SRI. SURESH BHAKTULA

Counsel for the Respondents: None appeared

The Court made the following: ORDER



2025:TSHC:51373

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NANDIKONDA NARSING RAO

C.R.P.No.4125 of 2018

ORDER:

When the matter was listed on 06.12.2018 and 19.08.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and then the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'For Dismissal.'

2. Accordingly, today when the matter was called, though the Court proceedings were made available in Hybrid mode (both Virtual & Physical), there was no representation on behalf of appellants in the morning session and the matter was passed over to afternoon session to give a fair opportunity to the petitioner to represent their case, however, when the matter was taken up in the afternoon session, none appeared for the petitioner.

3. In view of the above, it appears that petitioner is not interested to pursue the matter, hence, this Court has no other option except to dismiss the matter for non-prosecution.

4. Accordingly, C.R.P. is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

5. As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

**Sd/-A.JAYASREE
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The IX Additional Senior Civil Judge, R.R. District at L.B.Nagar
2. One CC to Sri.Suresh Bhaktula, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies.

PSK /psl


14



2025:TSHC:51373

HIGH COURT

DATED:20/08/2025



ORDER

CRP.No.4125 of 2018

**DISMISSED THE CIVIL REVISION PETITION
AS NON-PROSECUTION**

5 copies
lg
11/12/25