

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION NO: 26635 OF 2025

Between:

Smt. Adurugatla Sathamma, W/o. Late Devaiah, Aged about 55 years,
Occ. Daily labor, R/o. H.No.4-5-22/1, Ambedkar Nagar, Sircilla Town, Sircilla.
Rajanna Sircilla District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Rajanna Sircilla District.
3. The Tahsildar, Tahsildar, Rajanna Sircilla District.
4. Akunuri Manjula, W/o. Akunuri Shankar, Aged about 34 years,
Occ.housewife, R/o. Ambedkar Nagar, Sircilla Town, Rajanna Sircilla District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the Nature of Writ of Mandamus. declaring the action of the respondent no. 3 in passing order Vide Memo No. C/335/2025, dated 12/8/2025, as illegal, arbitrary, and contrary to the provisions of Memo No. 11496/Sr.II(2)/2013-2 dated 25-6-2013 and against principles of natural Justice, violating Article 14, 21 of the Constitution India and consequently set-a-side the order Vide Memo No. C/335/2025, dated 12/8/2025, and issue family member certificate.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 3rd Respondent to issue family member certificate on the application No. FAMCO0298061898026, dated 04/2025, in terms of Memo No. 11496/Sr.fl(2)/2013-2 dated 25-6-2013, and pending disposal of the Writ Petition

Counsel for the Petitioner: M/S. NIKITA GOENKA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: SRI L.RAVINDER AGP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: SRI BHIMANI SHIVA PRASAD

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V.VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION No.26635 of 2025

ORDER:

Aggrieved by the action of respondent No.3 in passing order *vide* Memo No.C/335/2025, dated 12.08.2025, the present writ petition is filed. A consequential prayer is sought to set aside the said order issued by respondent No.3 and issue Family Member Certificate.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the unofficial respondent and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submitted that the petitioner is the wife of late Mr. A.Devaiah, who worked as a Junior Assistant in the Vemulawada Municipal Council. Upon his demise in the year 2024, the petitioner made an application before the Office of the Municipal Council, Vemulawada seeking release of her husband's terminal benefits. However, the concerned officers informed her to obtain a Family Member Certificate from the competent authority. Pursuant thereto, on their instructions, the petitioner made an application through Mee-Seva on 23.04.2025 *vide* application No.FAMCo22501698076.

4. Thereafter, the respondent No.3 displayed a notice on the notice board of the Municipal Office on 26.04.2025, calling for objections. On 29.04.2025, the petitioner's daughter raised an objection stating that there were financial disputes between them and requested not to issue Family Member Certificate in favor of the petitioner. Basing on the said objection, the respondent No.3 - Tahsidar rejected the petitioner's application *vide* Memo No.C/335/2025, dated 12.08.2025. Aggrieved thereby, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition seeking appropriate directions.

5. Learned counsel for the unofficial respondent submitted that there exist disputes between the petitioner and unofficial respondent with regard to petitioner's claim over the death benefits of her father, and unless such disputes are adjudicated, issuance of a Family Member Certificate in favor of the petitioner would adversely affect her rights. Hence, the writ petition deserves to be dismissed.

6. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue submitted that since objections have been raised, the Civil Court is the competent forum to adjudicate the disputes between the parties. Accordingly, the case of the petitioner has not been considered, and a direction may be issued to the petitioner to approach the Civil Court to establish her rights.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue and without expressing any opinion on the merits and demerits of the case, this Court deems it appropriate to grant liberty to the petitioner to approach the Civil Court and file an appropriate application for redressal of her grievance.

8. With the above observations, this writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

SD/-L. VIJAYA LAXMI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, State of Telangana, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Rajanna Sircilla District.
3. The Tahsildar, Tahsildar, Rajanna Sircilla District.
4. One CC to M/s. Nikita Goenka, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
6. One CC to Sri Bhimani Shiva Prasad, Advocate[OPUC]
7. Two CD Copies

T J
BS
MMT

HIGH COURT

DATED:24/10/2025

ORDER

WP.No.26635 of 2025



**DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS**