

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY
WP NO: 27469 OF 2019

Between

Nimma Aravindh Reddy, S/o. Dhanna Reddy, Occ. Business, R/o.H.no.18-6-98, Kalyan Nagar, Godavarikhani, Ramagundam Mandal, Peddapalli District.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, rep. by is Prl. Secretary Municipal Administration and Urban Development, Secretariat Building, Hyderabad
2. The Ramagundam Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner, Ramagundam. Peddapalli District.
3. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ramagundam, Peddapalli District.
4. Marri Rajaiah, S/o. Narsaiah, R/o. H.No.18-6-6714, Kalyannagar, FCI Road, Godavarikhani, Ramagundam Madnal, Peddapalli Dist

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring action of the respondent No.2 to 3 in not initiating any steps to remove illegal constructions over petitioners open plot to an extent of 322.22 Sq. yards in Sy.No.662 (New Sy.No.66218) of Janagama Shivar, Ramagundam Mandal, Peddapally District. and also in not considering the petitioners representation dt.28-10-2019 for removing the illegal constructions over petitioners plot to an extent of 322.22 Sq. yards in Sy.No.662 (New Sy.No.66218) of Janagama Shivar, Ramagundam Mandal, Peddapally District, is illegal, arbitrary, against Article 300-A of Constitution.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Vijay Kumar Panuganti, Advocate for the Petitioner and GP for Municipal Admn. & Urban Dev for the Respondent No.1 and Sri AJGAL RAVI BABU, Advocate for the Respondent No.4, the Court made the following.

ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner is present.

On 21-04-2026, at request of learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3, the matter was adjourned for filing counter conditionally, failing which, respondent Nos.2 and 3 were directed to pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- to the Sainik Welfare Board.

Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 requested further time for filing counter. However, costs are paid.

Post on 23-06-2026 as a last chance for filing counter, failing which, respondent No.3 shall appear in person before this Court.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- A.JAYASREE
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ramagudam, Peddapalli District.[By SPAD]
2. One CC to Sri. VIJAY KUMAR PANUGANTI Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP FOR MCPL ADMN & URBAN DEV, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

LNA, J

DATED: 10-06-2026

Post on 23-06-2026

ORDER

WP.No.27469 of 2019



DIRECTION