

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

**THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (TR) NO: 1016 OF 2017

Between:

Gundala Raja Sekhar, S/o. Mallaiah, aged 47 years, Presently working as Executive Engineer, In the office of GHMC, Rajendranagar, Ranga Reddy, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of AP rep.by its Principal Secretary, MAandUD Department, Secretariat buildings AP, Hyderabad.
2. The Engineer in Chief, Public Health AC Guards, 500 004. AP Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Direction or an Order more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus to issue an order or direction declaring G.O.Rt.No. 1295 MA and UD Department, dt.19-08-2013 to the extent of promoting the Applicant as Executive Engineer from 19-08-2013 onwards without taking the past service of the Applicant as Executive Engineer w.e.f. 04-07-2009 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to Articles 14 and 16 of Constitution of India and consequentially direct the Respondents to calculate the service of the Applicant as Executive Engineer w.e.f. 04-07-2009 but not from 13-08-2013 and promote the Applicant as Superintending Engineer while taking length of service as Executive Engineer w.e.f. 04-07-2009 with all consequential benefits and pas such other order.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI K.ANANDA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES I

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (Tr.) No.1016 OF 2017

**THE FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

Between:

Gundala Raja Sekhar

...Petitioner

AND

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal
Secretary, MA & UD Department, Secretariat Buildings,
A.P., Hyderabad and another.

...Respondents

ORDER:

On 11.11.2025, 18.11.2025 and 26.11.2025 there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. As such the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'for dismissal'.

2. Today, in spite of the matter being listed under the said caption, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, either in the forenoon or afternoon sessions. It can, thus, be inferred that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case any further.

3. In view of the above, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed. No costs.

SD/- K.BHAVANI SWAMY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI K.ANANDA RAO, Advocate. [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES I, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies.

BSK


[Handwritten signature]

HIGH COURT

DATED:04/12/2025

ORDER

WP(TR).No.1016 of 2017



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION (TR)
WITHOUT COSTS**

6 copies

By
2/10/2016