

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (TR) NO: 2132 OF 2017

Between:

K SHANTHA KUMARI, W/o Mirevala Raju, Aged about 40 years,
ANM/MPHA(F), M.V. Palem, PHC, Khammam District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Govt. of Telangana rep. by its Principal Secretary, Health, Medical and Family Welfare Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad - 500 022.
2. The Govt. of Telangana rep. by its Principal Secretary, Finance Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad - 500 022.
3. The Commissioner, Public Health & Family Welfare, Govt. of Telangana, Hyderabad - 500 022.
4. The District Medical & Health Officer, Khammam Dist. Khammam - 5007 001.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to regularize the applicants services as MPHA/ANM from the date of completion of five years service as per the law laid down by the Hon'ble High Court in W.A.No.709, 710/2009 and 1218/2006, dt.17-09-2013 by protecting the scale of pay and further direct the respondents to pay Rs.16,387 w.e.f. January 2013 with arrears on par with similarly situated persons till the date of regularization by holding that continuing the applicant as ANM/MPHA on monthly payment wise from 18-11-2011 is illegal, arbitrary and violation of Arts.14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI K. CHINNA BABU (Not Present)

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (Tr.) No.2132 OF 2017

**THE FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

Between:

K. Shantha Kumari

...Petitioner

AND

The Government of Telangana, represented by its Principal Secretary, Health, Medical & Family Welfare Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad-500 022, and three others.

...Respondents

ORDER:

On 13.11.2025, 18.11.2025 and 26.11.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. As such, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'for dismissal'.

2. Today, in spite of the matter being listed under the said caption, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, either in the forenoon or afternoon sessions. It can, thus, be inferred that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case any further.

3. In view of the above, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed. No costs.

SD/- AHMED ABDULLA KHAN
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI K. CHINNA BABU, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad . [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

PMK

AT

HIGH COURT

DATED:04/12/2025

ORDER

WP(TR).No.2132 of 2017



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION (TR) FOR NON-
PROSECUTION WITHOUT COSTS

6
NT
7/3/26