

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 24828 OF 2025

Between:

Narsing Rao Puskur, S/o Late P. Venkat Rama Rao, Aged about- 70 Yrs,
Occ- Agriculture, R/o. 4-32, Mandamarri Village AND Mandal, Mancherial
District

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Prl. Secretary, Revenue Dept,
Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana Secretariat, Saifabad, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Mancherial District Mancherial, Telangana State
3. The Revenue Divisional officer, Mancherial Division, Mancherial District
4. The Tahsildar, Mandamarri Mandal, Mancherial District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ or Order or orders or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondent No.2 in rejecting the petitioner's Application Dharani Ref No.2400008970, dt.21.06.2024, is arbitrary, and illegal and unjust in violation of Right to Land and Pattadar Pass Book Act and consequently direct the respondents to delete the land of the petitioner to an extent of Ac 46.10 gts, situated in Sy.No.129/2 of Mandamarri Village AND Mandal, Mancherial District from the Prohibited list.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents to delete the lands of the petitioner to an extent of Ac 46.10 gts, situated Sy.No.129/2 of Mandamarri Village & Mandal, Mancherial District from the prohibited list, pending disposal of above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Ms. SUNITHA AKULA

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI L.RAVINDER, AGP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. LAKSHMAN

WRIT PETITION No.24828 of 2025

ORAL ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.L.Ravinder, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue.

2. Petitioner herein is claiming that he is the absolute owner and possessor of land admeasuring Acs.46.10 guntas in Sy.No.129/2, situated at Mandamarri Village and Mandal, Mancherial District. It is an ancestral property. The said land is mentioned in Prohibited Properties list. Therefore, he has submitted an online application bearing No.2400008970, dated 21.06.2024 to respondent No.2 with a request to delete the subject property from the list of Prohibited Properties list. On receipt of the said online application, respondent No.2 sought for report from respondent No.4, who in turn, submitted his report on 05.11.2024 to respondent No.2 recommending deletion of the subject property from the list of Prohibited Properties. Even then, respondent No.2 has rejected the said

application submitted by the petitioner with one word 'rejected'. Respondent No.2 has not assigned any reasons to the said rejection. Challenging the said order, petitioner filed the present writ petition.

3. Perusal of the said rejection order would reveals that respondent No.2 has rejected the request made by the petitioner with one word 'rejected'. He has not assigned any reasons in the impugned order. It thus amounts to non-application of mind.

4. It is relevant to note that Section 3 of the Telangana Bhu Bharati (Record of Rights in Land) Act, 2025 deals with Act not to apply in certain cases and sub-section 7 of Section 3 of the Act, 2025 says nothing in this Act shall apply to non-agricultural land in urban areas as may be notified by the Government. Admittedly, the subject property is not notified by the Government as of now. Therefore, the provisions of the Act, 2025 and the Telangana Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 2020 are not applicable.

5. Without going into the merits or demerits of the case, only on the said ground, the impugned rejection order of respondent No.2 is hereby set aside and the matter is remanded back to respondent No.2 to consider the online application bearing No.2400008970, dated 21.06.2024 submitted by the petitioner with a request to delete the subject property from the Prohibited Properties list, afresh and dispose of the same in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 shall also consider the report dated 05.11.2024 submitted by respondent No.4. For any reason, respondent No.2 is not inclined to accept the request made by the petitioner, he shall assign specific reasons, pass a reasoned order and communicate copy of the same to the petitioner. However, he shall complete the said exercise within thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- T. SRINIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Prl. Secretary, Revenue Dept, Dr. B.R.Ambedkar Telangana Secretariat, Saifabad, Hyderabad, State of Telangana.

2. The District Collector, Mancherial District Mancherial, Telangana State
3. The Revenue Divisional officer, Mancherial Division, Mancherial District
4. The Tahsildar Mandamarri Mandal, Mancherial District.
5. One CC to Ms. SUNITHA AKULA, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. Two CD Copies

BSR

GJP

KS

HIGH COURT

DATED:21/08/2025

ORDER

WP.No.24828 of 2025

**DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION,
WITHOUT COSTS**

10 copies
H
12/9/25