

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA, AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF AUGUST,

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 24767 OF 2025

Between:

R. Vishnu Priya, W/o. R. Srikanth.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Excise Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner, Proh. and Excise, Govt. of Telangana State, Office at M.J. Road, Hyderabad.
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Proh. and Excise, Ranga Reddy District, Office at Hyderabad.
4. The District Prohibition and Excise Officer, Shamshabad Excise Unit, Ranga Reddy District.
5. Nadikude Dinesh, S/o. Mahesh Kumar, Aged. Major, Occ. Business, R/o. H. No. 6-1-493, Shivanilayam, Near Library, Khairtabad, Hyderabad.
6. R. Srikanth, S/o. R. Buchaiah, Aged about 39 years, Occ. Business, R/o. H. No. 3-78/1, Hussain Shavali Dargah, Raidurg, Golconda, Hyderabad.

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through her Advocate Sri M DAMODAR REDDY presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in issuing the impugned transfer of Bar License dated 27/06/2025 effecting transfer of M/s. JSM Restaurant and Bar, which stands in the name of partnership firm of the petitioner and 6th respondent to the name of 5th respondent under name and style of M/s. Bar House Restaurant and Bar without any notice and opportunity to the petitioner though the petitioner is one of the partner on par with the 6th respondent in respect of M/s. JSM Restaurant and Bar and contrary to the Rule 17 of the Bar Rules, 2005, as illegal, unlawful and contrary to law and consequently direct the 2nd respondent to restore the Bar License in the name of the partnership

firm of the petitioner and 6th respondent so as to enable them to run the M/s. JSM Restaurant and Bar jointly.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri M DAMODAR REDDY Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.5 and 6 to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. Nadikude Dinesh, S/o. Mahesh Kumar, Aged. Major, Occ. Business, R/o. H. No. 6-1-493, Shivanilayam, Near Library, Khairtabad, Hyderabad.
2. R. Srikanth, S/o. R. Buchaiah, Aged about 39 years, Occ. Business, R/o. H. No. 3-78/1, Hussain Shavali Dargah, Raidurg, Golconda, Hyderabad.

are directed to show cause on or before 28.08.2025 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following ORDER:

Issue notice to respondent Nos.5 and 6.

Personal notice is also permitted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner shall file proof of service.

List on 28.08.2025.

In the meanwhile, learned Government Pleader for Prohibition and Excise, shall get instructions from respondent Nos.2 to 4.

SD/-M.NAGAMANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Nadikude Dinesh, S/o. Mahesh Kumar, Aged. Major, Occ. Business, R/o. H. No. 6-1-493, Shivanilayam, Near Library, Khairtabad, Hyderabad.
2. R. Srikanth, S/o. R. Buchaiah, Aged about 39 years, Occ. Business, R/o. H. No. 3-78/1, Hussain Shavali Dargah, Raidurg, Golconda, Hyderabad.
(Addresses 1 & 2 by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
3. One CC to SRI. M DAMODAR REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP FOR PROHIBITION EXCISE, High Court at Hyderabad.
[OUT]
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

KLJ

DATED:21/08/2025

LIST ON 28.08.2025

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.24767 of 2025

