

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 24730 OF 2025

Between:

1. G. Balraju, S/o. Late. Gandham Shanthanna, Aged 56 years, Occupation. Labour, R/o. H.No. 26-132, Indra Colony, Wanaparthy, Telangana.
2. D. Krishna, S/o. Late Dyrapogu Chandraiah, Aged 57 Years, Occ. Housewife, R/o. H-No.16-154/1, Brahmamgari Veedhi, Wanaparthy Town and District.
3. D.Nagamma, W/o. Late. Balaiah, Aged 65 years, Occupation. House wife, R/o. H.No.26-132, Gandhi Nagar, Wanaparthy, Telangana.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. STATE OF TELANGANA, Represented by its Principal Secretary to Revenue Department, Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Wanaparthy District.
3. Additional Collector (Revenue) and Appellate Authority, Wanaparthy District.
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Wanaparthy District.
5. Gorla Shyam @ G.T.Shyam, S/o. Late Gorla Timmanna, Aged about 45 Years Occ. Business, R/o. H-No.25-413, Wanaparthy, Telangana.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus to call for the records and quash the orders passed by the 3rd Respondent dated 24.02.2025 passed in Case No. F/825/2024 again remanding the cause to 4th Respondent for passing fresh orders is illegal, arbitrary, contrary to provisions of Inams Abolition Act, and against to the principles of natural justice

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the interim suspend the orders passed by the 3rd Respondent dated 24.02.2025 passed in Case No. F/825/2024, pending final disposal of the main writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. KONGALA MOHAN GOUD

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI L. RAVINDER AGP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. LAKSHMAN

WRIT PETITION No. 24730 of 2025

ORDER:

Heard Sri Kongala Mohan Goud, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri L.Ravinder, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue.

2. It is the specific contention of the petitioners herein that respondent No.5 and others have filed writ petitions *vide* W.P.Nos.23946, 27484 of 2019 and 22510 of 2009 questioning the proceedings dated 27.08.2019, 04.12.2005 and 07.02.2009. *Vide* common order dated 06.09.2023, this Court while setting aside the impugned order therein, remanded back the matter to Revenue Divisional Officer to reopen the case No.B/797/1998, conduct detailed enquiry and pass orders afresh. Till passing of such orders, this Court directed all the parties to maintain *status quo* obtaining as on date in respect of the subject property in all respects. Thereafter, respondent No.4 has issued notice dated 08.01.2024, conducted enquiry and passed order dated 17.05.2024. He has also considered the date of vesting i.e., 01.11.1973.

3. Challenging the said order, Dyarapogu Venkatswamy and two others preferred an appeal in Case No.F/825/2024 against petitioner Nos.1, 2 and others before respondent No.3, who in turn, *vide* order dated 24.04.2025 while setting aside the impugned order dated 17.05.2024 of respondent No.4, remanded the matter back to respondent No.4.

4. The grievance of the petitioners herein in the present writ petition is that respondent No.3 made certain observations in Paragraph Nos.13 and 14 of the impugned order with regard to submergence, extents, survey numbers etc., which are contrary to the common order passed by this Court dated 06.09.2023 in W.P.No.23946 of 2009 and batch.


5. Sri Kongala Mohan Goud, learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, would submit that though the petitioners sought to set aside the impugned order dated 24.02.2025 of respondent No.3, petitioners are restricting their relief in the present writ petition to the extent of a direction to respondent No.4 to consider the order dated 06.09.2025 in W.P.No.23946 of 2009 and batch.

6. In the light of the aforesaid submissions, notice to respondent No.5 is dispensed with. This Writ Petition is disposed of directing respondent No.4 to consider the common order of this Court, dated 06.09.2025 in W.P.No.23946 of 2009 and batch, while disposing of the matter in compliance with the order dated 24.02.2025 in Case No.F/825/2024 of respondent No.3, strictly in accordance with law, by putting the petitioners, respondent No.5 and all interested parties on notice and affording them an opportunity. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending shall stand closed.

SD/- A.H.S. GOWRI SHANKAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary to Revenue Department, Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Wanaparthy District.
3. Additional Collector (Revenue) and Appellate Authority, Wanaparthy District.
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Wanaparthy District.
5. One CC to SRI. KONGALA MOHAN GOUD Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR.REVENUE ,High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
7. Two CD Copies

KKS



HIGH COURT

DATED:21/08/2025



ORDER

WP.No.24730 of 2025

**DISPOSING THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS**

⑩ kpr
10/9/25