

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 24668 OF 2025

Between:

1. Akhtar Hussain alias Mullah Akhtar, S/o. Mullah Khaja Hussain, aged about 43 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o. H.No. 5/64, Boinapally, Ieja Mandal, Pulikal, Jogulamba Gadwal District.
2. Shyalubee, W/o. Daulat Sahab, aged about 57 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o. H.No.5-136, Boinapally, Ieja Mandal, Pulikal, Jogulamba Gadwal District.
3. Gori Bee, D/o. Akbar Ali, aged about 49 years, Occ. Household, R/o. H.No.5/180, Boinapally, Ieja Mandal, Pulikal, Jogulamba Gadwal District.
4. Chand Bee, W/o. Hussain Sahab, aged about 45 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o. H.No.5/179, Boinapally, Ieja Mandal, Pulikal, Jogulamba Gadwal District.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department (Assignment), Govt. of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad, Telangana.
2. The Chief Commissioner of Land, Administration, Nampally Station Road, Abids, Hyderabad, T.S.
3. The District Collector and District Magistrate, Jogulamba Gadwal District, T.S.
4. The Addl. Collector (Revenue), Integrated District Offices Complex, Jogulamba Gadwal District, T.S.
5. The Tahsildar, Ieja Mandal, Jogulamba Gadwal District, T.G.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the inaction of the 3rd Respondent for the representation of the Petitioner dated 05-03-2025 as uncalled, unguided, highhanded, arbitrary, illegal and against the provisions of the Pattedar Pass-Book Act, 1971 and principles of natural justice. Consequentially direct the 3rd Respondent to act upon the petitioners representation by not regularizing Sadabainama or any documents under ROR Act and issue notice to the

Petitioners in case the third parties apply for regularization of Sadabainarna or any document before the 3rd Respondent in respect of the Agricultural Lands (1) in Sy. No.502/3/3 extent Ac. 2-28 Guntas,(2) Sy.No.502/2 extent Ac. 1-35 Gts., (3) Sy. No. 502/3/2 extent Ac. 1-34 Guntas, and (4) Sy. No. 502/3/1 extent Ac. 1-34 Guntas, situated at Boinapally Village, Sivara Palkal, Mandal leeja, Jogulamba, Gadwal District, Telangana. Consequently direct the 3rd Respondent to place, lay and put the Survey numbers belong to the Petitioners lands in the prohibition list till the disposal of the suit in O.S. No. 118 of 2021 on the file of the Honourable Principal Junior Civil Judge, Jogulamba Gadwal District

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to pass orders by directing the 3rd respondent to consider and take appropriate action pursuant to the representation dated 05-03-2025 pending disposal of the writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. MOHD RAFEE

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI L. RAVINDER, AGP FOR FIVEVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. LAKSHMAN

WRIT PETITION No.24668 of 2025

ORAL ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. L.Ravinder, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents.

2. The Petitioners herein filed a suit in O.S.No.118 of 2021 on the file of learned Junior Civil Judge Court Complex, Gadwal, against Mr. Noor Ahmed for perpetual injunction and the said suit is pending. In the said suit, some villagers have filed application to implead them as parties. According to the petitioners, some villagers have filed application before respondent No.3 seeking regularization of sadabainama in respect of subject property. Respondent No.3 is going to consider the same without putting the petitioners on notice and affording them an opportunity. Challenging the said action of respondent No.3, petitioners have filed present writ petition.

3. Petitioners have also submitted a representation dated 05.03.2025, to respondent No.3 with a request to not

to entertain any application submitted by any third party with regard to subject property to regularize the sadabainama. The petitioners have submitted the said representation to respondent No.3, without mentioning the names of the persons who have applied for regularization of Sadabainama and also in the present writ petition. Therefore, the petitioners cannot submit vague representation and file the present writ petition alleging non-consideration of the same. Therefore, the petitioners are not entitled for any relief much less the relief sought in the writ petition and the writ petition is liable to be dismissed.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- SRI T. SRINIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. MOHD RAFEE, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies


B M *Y*

HIGH COURT

DATED:20/08/2025

ORDER

WP.No.24668 of 2025



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION WITHOUT COSTS

6 copies
for
10/11/25