

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF AUGUST  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE T.MADHAVI DEVI**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 24647 OF 2025**

**Between:**

Yella Ramaswamy, S/o.Venkaiah, aged about 68 Years, Occ-Agriculture, R/o.H.No.8-4, Neelojipally Village, R Et R Colony, Boinpally Mandal, Rajanna Sircilla District.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary (Panchayat Raj), Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. The District Collector, Sircilla, Rajanna Sircilla District.
3. The District Panchayat Officer, Sircilla, Rajanna Sircilla District.
4. The Divisional Panchayat Officer, Sircilla, Rajanna Sircilla District.
5. The Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Boinpally Mandal, Rajanna Sircilla District.
6. The Neelojipally Grampanchayat, Rep by its Panchayat Secretary, Neelojipally Village, Boinpally Mandal, Rajanna Sircilla District.
7. Yella Laxmi, W/o.late Mallaiah, Aged about 71 years, Occ-Household, R/o. H. No.4-11, Gopalraopally Village, Thangallapally Mandal, Rajanna Sircilla District.
8. Vella Linga Reddy, S/o.Late Mallaiah, aged about 41 Years, Occ-Agriculture, R/o.H.No.4-04(Old), 8-05(New), Neelojipally Village, R Et R Colony, Boinpally Mandal, Rajanna Sircilla District

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order/direction or any appropriate writ, more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the respondent.No.4 in issuing Notice vide A1/Enquiry/2025-Pan, dt.12-08-2025, for

enquiry in respect of plot 704, in Sy.No.399 situated at Neelojipally Village, Boinpally Mandal, Rajanna Sircilla District, the same is contrary to the earlier enquiry and its Lr.No.R2/267/2023-Pan., dt.27-03-2025 issued by the 3rd respondent, as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and contrary to Article 14, 21, 19(1)(g) & 300A Constitution of India and consequently setting aside the impugned Notice. Vide A1/Enquiry/2025-Pan, dt.12-08-2025 issued by the 4th respondent, in the interest of Justice.

**IA NO: 1 OF 2025**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased To suspend the notice vide A1 /Enquiry/2025-Pan., dt.12-08- 2025, issued by the 4th respondent, pending the above writ petition, in the interest of justice.

**Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI HARI KISHAN KUDIKALA**

**Counsel for the Respondents No.1to4 : GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV**

**Counsel for the Respondents No.5&6 : SRI K.PRADEEP REDDY, SC FOR GP**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**

**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE T.MADHAVI DEVI**

**WRIT PETITION NO.24647 OF 2025**

**ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed challenging the notice dated 12.08.2025 issued by respondent No.4 for conducting an enquiry in respect of Plot No.704 in Sy.No.399, situated at Neelajipally Village, Boinpally Mandal, Rajanna Sircilla District, as illegal and arbitrary and consequently to set aside the same and to pass such other order or orders.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that respondent No.7 had earlier made a complaint dated 07.02.2023, consequent to which, a notice was issued to the petitioner on 27.03.2023 and the petitioner had also given a reply and thereafter, both the parties were directed to approach the Civil Court for redressal of the dispute. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that respondent No.7 has now submitted another complaint and for resolution of the same, the impugned notice dated 12.08.2025 has been issued. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has submitted an explanation on 14.08.2025, but apprehends that the respondents would not consider the same.

7

3. Heard learned Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, appearing for respondents No.1 to 4 and Sri K. Pradeep Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the Gram Panchayat, appearing for respondents No.5 and 6.

4. Learned Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj submitted that the notice under challenge before this Court is only a show cause notice.

5. This Court observes that the petitioner has already submitted an explanation and the respondents are bound to consider the same before passing any orders.

6. Therefore, this Writ Petition is closed with a direction to the respondents to consider the explanation of the petitioner and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law thereafter. There shall be no order as to costs.

7. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- M. OSMAN ALI BAIG  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI HARI KISHAN KUDIKALA, Advocate. [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
3. One CC to SRI K. PRADEEP REDDY, SC FOR GPPS. [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies.

BSK


TKS

**HIGH COURT**

**DATED:20/08/2025**

**ORDER**

**WP.No.24647 of 2025**



**CLOSING THE WRIT PETITION  
WITHOUT COSTS**

7  
ASU  
30/11/25