

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.11085 of 2026

DATE: 20.07.2026

Between:

Kanukuntla Srinivas

...Petitioner/accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,
(Through SHO, Ghanpur [W]
Police Station, Warangal Police Commissionerate).

...Respondent/ Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused in FIR No.158 of 2026 of Ghanpur (W) Police Station, Warangal Police Commissionerate, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 64(1) r/w 62 and Section 127(2) of the BNS and Sections 3(1)(w)(i), 3(1)(w)(ii) and 3(2)(va) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the de-facto complainant along with her husband lodged a report before the police stating that the petitioner herein is the owner of the resort in which the de-facto complainant and her husband work and in the absence of her husband, the petitioner herein physically exploited the de-facto complainant and she managed to escape from the petitioner and came out of the room, telling him that she would inform her husband about the incident. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri Pulimamidi Rohith Reddy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and there is no such offence committed by the petitioner and the petitioner was remanded to the judicial custody on 02.06.2026 and the entire investigation was already completed and the charge sheet is also filed. Therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for further investigation. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the

petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner herein are serious and heinous in nature, as such, he is not entitled for bail. Further, he informed that the charge sheet is already filed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 02.06.2026 and as per the investigating officer, the investigation is completed and charge sheet is also filed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations and the period of incarceration of the petitioner, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Sessions Judge for Trial of Cases under SC/ST (POA) Act-cum-II Additional Sessions Judge, Warangal.
- ii. On such release, the petitioner shall appear before the Court concerned on each and every date of hearing till

conclusion of the trial in the aforesaid Case.

- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 20.07.2026
TU

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.11085 OF 2026

DATE 20.07.2026

TU