

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.10928 of 2026

DATE: 16.07.2026

BETWEEN:

Abdul Waheed.

.....petitioner/accused

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused in Crime No.100 of 2026 before the Bichkunda Police Station, Kamareddy District, registered for the offence punishable

under Sections 316(2), 316(5) 318(3), 335(c), 318(4) and 314 of BNS, and 7 of EC Act.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 15/05/2026 the complainant lodged a complaint alleging that M/s Mahek Industries, Mill, which entered into a Custom Milling Agreement with TGSCSCL for Kharif 2021-22 and 2022-23, had misappropriated Government paddy entrusted to it for milling and delivery of CMR. The proprietor, Sri Abdul Waheed acting as an agent in a fiduciary capacity, was found during a physical verification and panchanama conducted on 03.10.2025 by the Deputy Tahsildar (CS) in the presence of panchas to have a shortage of 38.76 Qtls of paddy for Kharif 2021-22, and a total shortage of 49,490.26 Qtls of Government paddy valued at approx. Rs.10,19,46,059.60/- as per MSP for both seasons. It is alleged that the entrusted paddy was dishonestly disposed of/misappropriated and was not available in the mill premises. Based on this, the police registered case against the petitioner for the alleged offences. The petitioner was remanded on 26.06.2026 and has been in judicial custody since then.

3. Heard Sri Venkat Reddy Kodumury, learned counsel for petitioner, and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the offences as alleged. He averred that petitioner was remanded to judicial custody on 26.06.2026 and is 62 years old man. While averring that material part of the investigation is already completed, he prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent No.1–State, opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and contended that there are serious allegations of misappropriation of huge public money. While averring that investigation is yet to be completed, he prayed for dismissal of the petition.

6. Having regard to the rival submissions made, and on perusing the material placed on record, it is noted that the petitioner is in jail from 26.06.2026. That apart, LWs.1 to 8 are already examined, including investigating authority. As such, considering the facts of the case, the nature of

allegations, the period of incarceration of the petitioner, and the fact that further investigation can be based on documentary evidence, this Court is of the opinion that it is a fit case to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the compliance of following conditions:'

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the JFCM, Bichukunda.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m. on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet, whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 16.07.2026
PT

K. SUJANA, J

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.10928 of 2026

Date: 16.07.2026

PT