

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

MONDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WP NO: 22213 OF 2026

Between:

M. Goverdhan, S/o. M Ramulu.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Represented by its Principal Secretary Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Secretariat building, Hyderabad, T.S.
2. The District Collector, Rangareddy District.
3. The Tahsildar, Serilingampally Mandal, Rangareddy District
4. Municipal Commissioner, Cyberabad Municipal Corporation, Rangareddy District.
5. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation (GHMC), Rep by its Commissioner, Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.
6. The Station House Officer, Madhapur Police Station
7. Hyderabad Disaster Response and Asset Monitoring and Protection Authority, Represented by its Commissioner, Budha Bhavan, Secunderabad
8. Hyderabad Disaster Response and Asset Protection Agency Police Station, exclusively for the Commissionerate, HYDRAA, Represented by its Station House Officer (SHO), at B-Block, Budha Bhavan, Secunderabad

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondents, particularly Respondent Nos.2 to 8, in illegally trespassing into the Petitioner's land admeasuring Ac.2-12 Gts., in Sy.No.42, situated at Khanamet Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, and in attempting to dispossess the Petitioner from the said property by laying fencing and installing HYDRAA boards therein, without issuing any notice, without passing any order, without conducting any demarcation and without following due process of law, and in blatant violation of the order dated 13-04-2026 passed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.11308 of 2026, wherein this Hon'ble Court directed HYDRAA not to undertake any activity relating to demolition or

removal except for removal of encroachments and restoration of water bodies, NALAs, parks and public roads, and further directed that no coercive action relating to unauthorized construction, internal roads, removal of compound walls, etc., shall be undertaken unless standard operating procedures or guidelines are placed before this Hon'ble Court, and admittedly no such standard operating procedure or guidelines have been placed till date, as illegal, arbitrary, high-handed, without jurisdiction, colourable exercise of power and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents, particularly Respondent Nos.2 to 8, not to interfere with the Petitioner's lawful ownership, peaceful possession and enjoyment over the subject land admeasuring Ac.2-12 Gts., in Sy.No.42, situated at Khanamet Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District in any manner whatsoever.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents, particularly Respondent Nos.2 to 8, not to interfere with the Petitioner's property admeasuring Ac.2-12 Gts., in Sy.No.42, situated at Khanamet Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, in any manner whatsoever, pending disposal of WP No 22213 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents, particularly Respondent Nos.2 and 8, to forthwith remove the fencing and HYDRAA board laid/installed in the Petitioner's property admeasuring Ac.2-12 Gts., in Sy.No.42, situated at Khanamet Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, pending disposal of WP No 22213 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI B. MAYUR REDDY, SENIOR COUNSEL APPEARING FOR SRI ARAVIND SAINI Advocate for the Petitioner, GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT for the Respondent No.1, SRI K. MURALIDHAR REDDY, GP FOR REVENUE for the Respondents No. 2 and 3, SRI G. MADHUSUDHAN REDDY, Standing Counsel for the Respondents No. 4 and 5, AGP FOR HOME for the Respondent No.6 and SRI R. SAMEER AHMED, Standing Counsel for the Respondent Nos.7 and 8, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

Heard Mr. B. Mayur Reddy, learned Senior Counsel, appearing for Mr. Aravind Saini, learned counsel for the petitioner; Mr. K. Muralidhar Reddy, learned Government Pleader for Revenue, appearing for respondent Nos.2 and 3; and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, appearing for respondent No.6.

Learned Government Pleader for Revenue submitted that as per the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.1569 of 2001 dated 06.01.2010, the Government has taken possession of the land to an extent of Acs.5-00 in Survey No.42 of Khanamet Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District; the property has

been fenced and Board of HYDRAA has been erected in order to protect the property from encroachments.

Learned Senior Counsel submitted that the subject matter of litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court arising out of proceedings under the Land Grabbing Act in O.P.No.421 of 1990 before the Special Tribunal for A.P. Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act-cum-District Judge, Ranga Reddy at Saroornagar, was only with respect to the land admeasuring Acs.5-00 in Survey No.42 of Khanamet Village. The vendor of the petitioner had possession of only Acs.7-12 guntas. After excluding Acs.5-00, the remaining extent of Acs.2-12 guntas was sold to the petitioner under the agreement of sale dated 02.11.2000. The petitioner filed the suit in O.S.No.989 of 2016 before the XV Additional District Judge, Ranga Reddy District, Kukatpally at Miyapur, seeking specific performance of the agreement of sale dated 02.11.2000, and the same was decreed by judgment dated 09.02.2017. As the defendants-judgment debtors did not execute the sale deed, EP.No.1 of 2017 was filed and the sale deed was got executed through Court, which amply proves that the petitioner is in possession of the subject land.

Learned Government Pleader for Revenue submitted that the vendors of the petitioners have suffered decree in LGC O.P.No.421 of 1990. As per the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, they do not have title to the property in question and they do not pass on better title. The Government is not a party to the specific performance suit. The schedule property in LGC OP.No.421 of 1990 and covered by the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.1569 of 2001 has been fenced and, thus, there is no illegality on the part of the revenue/HYDRAA.

Taking note of the fact that the land of the petitioner was already fenced, this Court is not inclined to pass any orders as of now. Any further action/development over the subject land shall abide by the orders of this Court.

For counter of the respondents, post on 03.08.2026 in 'Adjourned Motion List'.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- C.DEEPIKA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, State of Telangana, Secretariat building, Hyderabad, T.S.
2. The District Collector, Rangareddy District.
3. The Tahsildar, Serilingampally Mandal, Rangareddy District
4. The Municipal Commissioner, Cyberabad Municipal Corporation, Rangareddy District.
5. The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation (GHMC), Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.
6. The Station House Officer, Madhapur Police Station
7. The Commissioner, Hyderabad Disaster Response and Asset Monitoring and Protection Authority, Budha Bhavan, Secunderabad
8. The Station House Officer (SHO), Hyderabad Disaster Response and Asset Protection Agency Police Station, exclusively for the Commissionerate, HYDRAA, at B-Block, Budha Bhavan, Secunderabad.

(Addressees 1 to 8 BY SPAD)

9. One CC to SRI SAINI ARAVIND Advocate [OPUC]
10. Two CCs to GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
11. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
12. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
13. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

BVR, J

DATED: 13-07-2026

**FOR COUNTER OF THE RESPONDENTS, POST ON 03.08.2026 IN 'ADJOURNED
MOTION LIST'.**

ORDER



WP.No.22213 of 2026

DIRECTION