

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA:HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE: W.P.No.38050 of 2014

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
16.	18.12.2025	<u>LNA,J</u> Sri G. Vidya Sagar, learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, Sri G. Madhusudan Reddy, learned Standing counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 and Sri Manoj Kumar, learned counsel for respondent Nos.4 and 5 are present. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner represented that respondent No.3 after inspection, issued notice dated 26.11.2014, stating that respondent Nos.4 and 5 have unauthorizedly making additions and alterations and also erecting internal staircase to reach the 6th floor apart from closing ventilation by laying RCC slab unauthorizedly by way of drilling into roofs under Section 452 (2) of the HMC Act, 1955. However, no further action has been taken pursuant to notice dated 26.11.2014. Whereas, learned Standing counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 by referring to counter affidavit represented that unauthorized constructions were regularized <i>vide</i> proceedings dated 24.06.2010. Therefore, no action has been taken. However, the regularization referred to by the learned Standing counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		is dated 24.06.2010, whereas, notice was issued on 26.11.2014. Therefore, the concerned officer shall explain as to why no further action has been taken pursuant to notice dated 26.11.2014 and he shall also produce the original sanctioned plan, regularized plan dated 24.06.2010 and also provide details of regularized portions and un-regularized portions, failing which, the concerned officer shall present in person. Post on 06.01.2026. <u> </u> LNA,J ssy	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE