

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA : HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.P.No.10508 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
3.	22.07.2026	<u>JSR,J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> Dispensed with for the present. <u>Crl.P. No.10508 of 2026</u> This criminal petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused No.1 seeking to quash the proceedings in F.I.R. No.1106 of 2026 of Narsingi Police Station, registered for the offence punishable under Section 69 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'the BNS'). Heard Mr. Mohammad Fayaz, learned counsel for the petitioner, Ms.Y.Suraga, learned counsel for respondent No.2 and Mr.Jithender Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent No.1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. Even according to the complaint, the ingredients for the offence under section 69 of BNS are not attracted against the petitioner. However, at the instance of elders and well wishers, both the parties had entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on 12.07.2026. Pursuant to the said MOU, both the parties have filed I.A.Nos.2	

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		and 3 of 2026 seeking permission to compound the offences and to record the compromise respectively along with Joint Memo of Compromise. When this Court raised a query as to whether the parties are entitled to seek recording of a compromise in respect of heinous offence under Section 69 of the BNS, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that respondent No.2 filed better additional affidavit <i>vide</i> USR No.67063 of 2026 on 10.07.2026, wherein she specifically mentioned the reasons seeking to record the compromise and to compound the offence stating that she is an IT professional and the petitioner is presently seeking employment and attempting to build his career and that she does not wish to continue the litigation against the petitioner in view of her future career. She also stated that both the parties have entered into compromise voluntarily without any coercion. He relied upon the principle laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Madhukar and others vs. State of Maharashtra¹, wherein it was held when the victim/<i>de-facto</i> complainant expressed that continuation of the prosecution will goes further disruption in her personal life and that she did not wish to support the charges or pursue the matter further, this Court is having power to record the compromise and to compound the offences. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has not	

¹ 2025 LiveLaw (SC) 710

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		opposed the same. Taking into consideration the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case and also the additional sworn affidavit filed by respondent No.2 as well as the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Madhukar (<i>supra</i>) coupled with the joint memo filed by both the parties, the petitioner and respondent No.2 are directed to appear before the High Court Legal Services Committee for identification, along with their identity cards, on or before 07.08.2026. Registry is directed to transmit the case file to the High Court Legal Services Committee immediately. The High Court Legal Services Committee shall in turn submit the report before this Court by the next date of hearing. This order will not be taken as a precedent in any other case. Post on 12.08.2026 under the caption 'for compromise'. _____ JSR,J pgp	

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE