

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA : HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE NO: Cr1.P.No.10749 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
03.	29.07.2026	<u>JSR, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> Dispensed with for the present. <u>JSR,J</u> <u>I.A.No.3 of 2026</u> This application is filed by the petitioner/accused seeking to permit him to be represented by his General Power of Attorney Holder/Oruganti Mahender, who is the father of the petitioner. Mr. Gummalla Bhasker Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is residing at USA due to his education and executed GPA in favour of his father namely Mr. Oruganti Mahender to prosecute the present criminal petition on his behalf. Unless this Court permits that GPA holder to prosecute the proceedings on behalf of petitioner/accused, he will be put to great hardship. Taking into consideration the above said submission and in view of the reasons stated in the support of the affidavit, this application is ordered. <u>JSR,J</u>	Transferred to i/o folder, before corrections, if any

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		<u>Cr1.P.No.10749 of 2026</u> This criminal petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused seeking to quash the proceedings in S.C.No.61 of 2025 on the file of the LRAT-cum-I Additional District and Sessions Judge at Hanumakonda, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 417, 420, 376(2)(n), 323, 506, 212 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') and Section 3 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (for short 'DP Act'). Heard Mr. Gummalla Bhasker Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. V. Jithender Rao, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent No.1 and Mr. Gajula Prem Kumar, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offences and he was falsely implicated in the present case. Even according to the allegations leveled in the complaint, the ingredients of the offences punishable under Sections 417, 420, 376(2)(n), 323, 506, 212 of the IPC and Section 3 of the DP Act are not attracted. The petitioner and respondent No.2 are known to each other and the relationship between them is a consensual relationship. However, at the instance of elders and well wishers, the petitioner and respondent No.2/<i>de facto</i> complainant have entered compromise and filed I.A.Nos.4 and 5 of 2026, seeking to record the compromise and compounding the offences. When this Court raised a query as to whether	

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		the parties are entitled to seek recording of a compromise basing on the joint memo in respect of heinous offences, learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that respondent No.2 in her sworn affidavit filed along with I.A.No.5 of 2026 specifically has given reasons to record the compromise and compound the offences on the ground that she lodged the complaint against the petitioner due to outrage and emotions agony at that point of time, which is purely out of miss-conceptions. She herself does not want to continue the proceedings, she wants to live peacefully. Unless, this Court record the compromise and compound the offences, respondent No.2 also will suffer. In support of his contention, he relied upon the principle laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Madhukar and others vs. State of Maharashtra¹, wherein it was held when the victim/<i>de-facto</i> complainant expressed that continuation of the prosecution will goes further disruption in her personal life and that she did not wish to support the charges or pursue the matter further, this Court is having power to record the compromise and to compound the offences. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has not opposed the same. Having considered the submissions made by the respective parties, and after perusal of the material available on record, especially the sworn affidavit filed by the parties in support of I.A.Nos.4 and 5 of 2026 and the principle laid down by the	

¹ 2025 LiveLaw (SC) 710

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		Hon'ble Apex Court <i>supra</i>, the GPA holder of the petitioner and respondent No.2 are directed to appear physically and petitioner is directed to appear through video conference, before the High Court Legal Services Committee for identification, along with their identity cards, on or before 07.08.2026. Registry is directed to transmit the case file to the High Court Legal Services Committee immediately. The High Court Legal Services Committee shall in turn submit the report before this Court by the next date of hearing. Post on 12.08.2026 under the caption 'for compromise'. This order will be not taken as precedent in any other case. <u>JSR, J</u> gnp	