



2022:TSHC:34343

[3383]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY

REVIEW I.A.No.02 OF 2024

IN

WRIT PETITION NO: 32703 OF 2012

Between:

1. The District Educational Officer, Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.
2. The Director of School Education, Telangana at, Hyderabad.
3. The Government of Telangana, Rep by its Principal, Secretary, School Education Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
4. The Special Officer & Deputy Educational Officer,, Peddapally, Kakatiya Vidya Niketan Upper Primary School, Jawahar Nagar, Godavari Khani, Karimnagar District

...REVIEW PETITIONER/RESPONDENTS

AND

1. D.Jagadeeswar, S/o Venkataiah, Aged about 55 Years, Occ Secondary Grade Teacher, O/o Kakatiya Vidya Niketan, Upper Primary School, Jawahar Nagar, Godavarikhani (Aided), Karimnagar District.

...RESPONDENT/WRIT PETITIONER

2. The District Collector, Karimnagar, Karimnagar District., -

...RESPONDENTS/R-2



Petition under Order 47 Rule (1) R/w. Section 114 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to review its order dated: 28.06.2022 in W.P.No.32703/2012.

This petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI S.RAHUL REDDY, SPL.G.P for THE ADDITIONAL ADVOCATE GENERAL, appearing on behalf of Petitioner, SRI M.SHIVA KUMAR appearing for the Respondent/Writ Petitioner, the Court made the following: **ORDER**

The present review petition is filed to review the order dated 28.06.2022 in W.P.No.32703 of 2012, by which this Court disposed of the writ petition in terms of order dated 25.04.2005 in W.P.No.24091 of 2004.

Heard Sri S. Rahul Reddy, learned Special Government Pleader for review petitioners and Sri M. Shiva Kumar, learned counsel for respondent/writ petitioner.

Learned Special Government Pleader for the petitioners would submit that the order under review was passed inadvertently by relying upon the order dated 25.04.2005 in W.P.No.24091 of 2004, which is in fact, not related to the issue raised in the present writ petition. He further submitted that the issue in W.P.No.24091 of 2004 relates to possession of requisite qualification as on the date of consideration for appointment to the unaided post, whereas, the issue in the present writ petition is with regard to genuinity of certificates of qualification of respondents. Therefore, the order dated 25.04.2005 in W.P.No.24091 of 2004 is neither applicable nor related to the issue in the present writ petition.

Learned Special Government Pleader specifically contended that the qualification certificate of respondent/writ petitioner including TTC Certificate was not genuine. In fact, a criminal case was also filed against him. He also submitted that the Order under review is obtained by misleading this Court by misrepresenting that the issue in the present writ petition is squarely



2022:TSHC:34343

covered by the order dated 25.04.2005 in W.P.No.24091 of 2004 and thus prayed to allow the review application.

Learned Counsel for respondent No.1/writ petitioner submitted that review petition is misconceived and no grounds are made out to review the order dated 28.06.2022, passed in W.P.No.32703 of 2012 and the review petitioner failed to point out any error apparent on record and thus, prayed to dismiss the review petition.

The writ petition is filed to declare that the petitioner was entitled for payment of his due salary for the working period from March, 1993 onwards to till date in the aided vacancy of SGT post in view of appointment of S.G. teacher from 01.04.1992 in the newly sanctioned Grant-in-Aid post of SGT.

Perusal of order dated 25.04.2005 in W.P.No.24091 of 2004, discloses that the issue in the said writ petition was with regard to requisite qualification for appointment to the unaided vacancy.. Whereas, in the present writ petition, respondents have raised specific contention that the TTC certificate of the writ petitioner was not genuine and an enquiry was conducted against the writ petitioner including filing of criminal complaint, therefore, as rightly pointed out by learned Special Government Pleader for petitioner, the issue in W.P.No.24091 of 2004 and in the present writ petition is not one and the same and the Order dated 25.04.2005 in W.P.No.24091 of 2004, has no application to the present writ petition.

In the light of above the review application deserved to be allowed and accordingly, allowed. Consequently, the Order dated 28.06.2022, passed in W.P.No.32703 of 2012 is recalled.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-T.SREENIVAS REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The District Educational Officer, Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.
2. The District Collector, Karimnagar, Karimnagar District., -
3. The Director of School Education, Telangana at, Hyderabad.



2022:TSHC:34343

4. The Principal Secretary, Government of Telangana, School Education Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
5. The Special Officer & Deputy Educational Officer,, Peddapally, Kakatiya Vidya Niketan Upper Primary School, Jawahar Nagar, Godavari Khani, Karimnagar District
6. The Section Officer, WRIT (NON-SERVICE) Section, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.
7. One CC to SRI M.SHIVA KUMAR, Advocate. [OPUC]
8. Two CCs to THE ADDITIONAL ADVOCATE GENERAL, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
9. Two CD Copies.

BSK



2022:TSHC:34343

HIGH COURT


DATED: 22/07/2026

ORDER

REVIEW I.A.No.02 OF 2024

IN

W.P.NO: 32703 OF 2012



**ALLOWING THE
REVIEW PETITION**

(12)

kps
7/8/26