

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE: CrI.A.No.739 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
03.	21.07.2026	<u>SKS, J</u> <u>CrI.A.No.739 of 2026</u> ADMIT. List on 25.08.2026. In the meanwhile, the Registry is directed to call for records from the trial Court and prepare a paper book by the next date of hearing. <u>SKS, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> This Interlocutory Application is filed with a prayer to enlarge the petitioner-appellant-accused No.1 on bail by suspending the sentence imposed <i>vide</i> judgment dated 19.06.2026 in S.C.No.64 of 2023 passed by the learned Special Sessions Judge for Fast Tracking the cases relating to Atrocities against Women – cum – IV Additional District Judge at Mahabubnagar, wherein the petitioner was convicted for the offences punishable under Sections 376(1) and 417 of IPC and was in aggregate sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of ten years.	<i>...contd.</i>

		Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that though there is no evidence on record to prove the guilt of the petitioner, the trial Court erroneously convicted the petitioner. He further submitted that even according to the report filed by the <i>de-facto</i> complainant, the alleged incident had occurred three years earlier, and no complaint was lodged immediately thereafter. He contended that no satisfactory explanation has been made by the <i>de-facto</i> complainant for the delay in lodging the complaint and that the essential ingredients to constitute the offence punishable under Section 376 of IPC are not made out. He further submitted that the petitioner has good grounds to succeed in the appeal. Therefore, he prayed the Court to allow the petition. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the bail petition, contending that there is no illegality in the judgment of the trial Court and the trial Court rightly sentenced the petitioner and that there are no merits in the petition and prayed the Court to dismiss the petition. Perused the contents of the affidavit filed in support of the petition. Having regard to the submissions made by	
--	--	--	--

	the learned counsel for the petitioner, it is considered fit to suspend the sentence of imprisonment alone imposed against the petitioner till the disposal of the Appeal. Accordingly, the sentence of imprisonment alone is suspended till the disposal of the appeal and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail upon executing a personal bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like sum each, to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge for Fast Tracking the cases relating to Atrocities against Women – cum – IV Additional District Judge at Mahabubnagar. During the period of bail, the petitioner shall not indulge in any criminal acts, failing which the respondent – State shall be at liberty to file a petition for cancellation of bail. SKS, J ss	
--	---	--

--	--	--	--

--	--	--	--