

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE No: W.A.Nos.659 and 661 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	10.07.2026	<u>HCJ (AKrS,J) & GMM,J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026 in W.A.No.659 of 2026</u> <u>and</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026 in W.A.No.661 of 2026</u> Learned Senior Counsel Sri A.Venkatesh, representing learned counsel Ms. Shraddha Gupta, appears for the appellants. Learned counsel Sri T.Sharath appears for the unofficial respondents. Learned Standing Counsel Sri G.Madhusudhan Reddy appears for Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation. Learned Standing Counsel Sri V.Narasimha Goud appears for Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority. On hearing learned counsel for the parties and for the reasons stated in the supporting affidavits and also as learned counsel for the respondents do not object for condonation of delay, the delay in filing these appeals is condoned. The interlocutory applications are accordingly allowed. HCJ (AKrS,J) GMM,J (contd..in next page)	Transferred to i/o folder, before corrections, if any.

		<u>W.A.Nos.659 and 661 of 2026</u> Learned Senior Counsel Sri A.Venkatesh, representing learned counsel Ms. Shraddha Gupta, appears for the appellants. Learned counsel Sri T.Sharath appears for the unofficial respondents. Learned Standing Counsel Sri G.Madhusudhan Reddy appears for Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation. Learned Standing Counsel Sri V.Narasimha Goud appears for Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority. Learned Senior Counsel for the appellants submits that in W.A.Nos.441 and 442 of 2026 arising out of the same impugned common judgment, this court has passed the following order on 17.04.2026: “Mr. V. Siddhartha Goud, learned counsel represents Mr. V. Narasimha Goud, learned counsel for the appellants. Mr. G. Madhusudhan Reddy, learned Standing Counsel appears for the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation. Mr. Duvva Pavan Kumar, learned counsel for respondent Nos.13 and 14 in W.A.No.441 of 2026 and respondent Nos.4 and 5 in W.A.No.442 of 2026. Mr. T. Sharath, learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 11 in W.A.No.441 of 2026 and respondent No.1 in W.A.No.442 of 2026. List these matters on 04.05.2026. Till then, the impugned order imposing costs upon the appellants/respondent No.2 in the writ petitions shall remain stayed.” Learned Senior Counsel for the appellants prays for similar interim order in these writ appeals also. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that W.A.Nos.441 and 442 of 2026 also arise out of the same impugned common judgment. In view of the aforesaid submission, list these matters	
--	--	---	--

		along with W.A.Nos.441 and 442 of 2026. Till then, the impugned order imposing costs upon the appellants shall remain stayed. HCJ (AKrS,J) GMM,J vs	
--	--	--	--