

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE No: W.A.No.669 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
03.	03.08.2026	<u>HCJ (AKrS,J) & GMM,J</u> Mr. Sirgapoor Sahil Reddy, learned counsel appears for the appellant. Mr. N. Bhujanga Rao, learned Deputy Solicitor General of India, appears for respondent No.4. Mr. Madishetty Ramu, learned Standing Counsel for National Highways Authority of India (NHAI), appears for respondent No.5. Ms. B. Vinitha Reddy, learned counsel represents Ms. Rubaina S. Khatoon, learned counsel for respondent Nos.7 and 8. The appellant, who is respondent No.6 in the Writ Petition, is aggrieved by the impugned direction contained at paragraph No.11 of the order, dated 09.06.2026, passed in W.P.No.30236 of 2025, whereby the learned writ Court, while allowing respondent Nos.1 to 3/writ petitioners to approach the competent Tribunal for filing appropriate claim petition seeking compensation in respect of a motor accident, proceeded to observe that limitation shall not come in the way of adjudication of the claim by the Tribunal. In the instant case, the accident occurred on 12.02.2022. Incidentally, an amendment to Section	Transferred to i/o folder, before corrections, if any.

		166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, was introduced with effect from 01.04.2022 by Act No.32 of 2019, prescribing a bar of six months from the date of occurrence of the accident for filing claim petition. In such a case, whether such bar would apply for the accident that had occurred prior to 01.04.2022 or not, is the legal issue involved herein. Since respondent Nos.1 to 3/writ petitioners are not represented today, though the name of their counsel is reflected in the cause list, the matter is adjourned. List the matter on 17.08.2026. HCJ (AKrS,J) GMM,J MD	
--	--	---	--