

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
HYDERABAD**

MAIN CASE No: Cr1.A.No.717 OF 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	30.06.2026	<u>SKS, J</u> <u>CRL.A.No.717 OF 2026</u> Admit. List on 04.08.2026. Meanwhile, registry is directed to call for records from the trial Court and prepare paper book and put up in the bundle by the next date of hearing. <u>I.A.No.1 OF 2026</u> This application is filed to condone the delay of 303 days in filing the present appeal. Heard. For the reasons explained in the accompanying affidavit filed in support of the application, the I.A. is ordered. <u>I.A.No.2 of 2026</u> This application is filed by the appellant/accused praying this Court to suspend the sentence imposed vide order dated 03/08.07.2025 passed in S.C.No.88 of 2024 by the learned Principal Sessions Judge, at Asifabad, and release the appellant on bail. The appellant herein was found guilty for the offences punishable under Sections 75(2), 79, 351(2) of the BNS, 2023 and accordingly, he is convicted under Section 235(2) of Cr.P.C for the said offences. The accused is sentenced to undergo rigorous	Tr. to I.O/daily orders folder before corrections, if any.

		imprisonment for 02 years and to pay a fine of Rs.10,000/- and in default of payment of fine the accused is directed to undergo simple imprisonment for 02 months each for the offences punishable under Sections 75(2), 79 of the BNS, 2023. Further, he was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 03 years and to pay a fine of Rs.10,000/- and in default of payment of fine the accused is directed to undergo simple imprisonment for 02 months for the offence punishable under Section 351(2) of the BNS, 2023. The contention of learned counsel for the appellant is that though there is no evidence on record to prove the accusations against the appellant, the trial Court has erroneously convicted the appellant and there are good grounds for the appellant to succeed in appeal. As such, requested this Court to suspend the sentence imposed by the trial Court by granting bail to the appellant, till disposal of the appeal. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the bail petition, contending that there is no illegality in the Judgment of the trial Court and that the trial Court has rightly passed the impugned order and prayed the Court to dismiss the petition. In view of the submissions made by both the counsel and material on record and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this application is allowed suspending the sentence alone imposed by the trial Court and appellant is granted bail till disposal of this appeal, and shall be released on bail, subject to the appellant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of	
--	--	---	--

		learned Principal Sessions Judge, at Asifabad. Further during bail, the appellant shall not indulge in any criminal acts. SKS,J TU	
--	--	--	--
