

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
THURSDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY
CRP NO: 1808 OF 2026

Between

1. The Church of South India Trust, Association (Medak Diocese) (wrongly shown as Medak Diocese, it is only The church of South India Trust Association), having its registered office at 5, Whites' road, Royapettah Chennai rep.by its Director / Treasurer/authorized signatory Prof. Dr. B. Vimal Sukumar, S/o. Rt. Rev. Dr. B.P. Sugandhar, aged about 53 years having its Diocesan office at 10-3-165, Diocese office, Old lancer lane, Secunderabad
2. Bishop at Medak,, Church of South India Trust Association, Medak Diocese at 10-3-165, Diocese office, Old lancer lane, Secunderabad rep.by Most Rt. Rev. Reuben Mark s/o K. Shaddrack, aged about 65 years, Bishop in charge Medak Diocese and Moderator Church of South India Trust Association

.....PETITIONERS/Respondents/Defendants

AND

1. Sri. Vijay Sahara Naveen Shailender, s/o Late.V.S.Surya Prakash James 45 years, Business r/o 11-2-238 Christian colony, Kanteswar Nizamabad
2. Sri. Samala Ranjit Reddy, s/o S.Raja Reddy 46 years, Business r/o 6-26, Gangastan Phase-III, Gupānpally (v) Nizamabad Mandal and Dist.,

Respondents/Petitioners/Plaintiffs

WHEREAS the Petitioners above named through their Advocate Sri. A SRINIVAS presented this Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to set aside the Order dated 17.06.2026 in I.A. No. 708 of 2026 in OS.No.11 of 2014 passed by the court of Principal District Judge, at Nizamabad and consequently dismiss the said petition.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Prabhakar counsel representing Sri. A SRINIVAS Advocate for the Petitioners, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this CIVIL REVISION PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. Sri. Vijay Sahara Naveen Shailender, s/o Late.V.S.Surya Prakash James 45 years, Business r/o 11-2-238 Christian colony, Kanteswar Nizamabad

2. Sri. Samala Ranjit Reddy, s/o S.Raja Reddy 46 years, Business r/o 6-26, Gangastan Phase-III, Gupanpally (v) Nizamabad Mandal and Dist.,

are directed to show cause on or before 23.07.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the memorandum of grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CIVIL REVISION PETITION should not be admitted.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in OS.No.11 of 2014 on the file of Principal District Judge, at Nizamabad, pending disposal of CRP No 1808 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following:

ORDER

The present Civil Revision Petition is preferred aggrieved by the orders dated 17.06.2026 *vide* I.A.No.708 of 2026 in O.S.No.11 of 2014, passed by the learned Principal District Judge, Nizamabad. By the impugned order, the learned trial Court allowed the petition, which was filed under Order VI Rule 17 of C.P.C., read with Section 151 of C.P.C., to amend the plaint by inserting certain paragraphs therein.

Heard Sri J.Prabhakar, learned Senior Counsel representing Mr. A.Srinivas, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the revision petitioners.

It is argued by the learned Senior Counsel that the claim made by the respondents/plaintiffs in the said interlocutory application, itself was barred by law apart from being unenforceable as being barred by limitation and that without considering these legal principles, the learned trial Court had allowed the application filed by the respondents seeking amendment of plaint pleadings.

Having considered the submissions advanced and upon *prima facie* examination of the material on record, this Court is of the opinion that the issues raised in the present Revision Petition requires examination.

Accordingly, issue notice to the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice on respondents and file proof of service in the Registry.

List this matter on 23.07.2026.

In the meanwhile, there shall be an interim stay of all further proceedings in O.S.No.11 of 2014, pending on the file of the learned Principal District Judge, Nizamabad.

Sd/- A.JAYASREE
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal District Judge, at Nizamabad. ✓
2. Sri. Vijay Sahara Naveen Shailender, s/o Late.V.S.Surya Prakash James 45 years, Business r/o 11-2-238 Christian colony, Kanteswar Nizamabad[by SPAD-along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds]
3. Sri. Samala Ranjit Reddy, s/o S.Raja Reddy 46 years, Business r/o 6-26, Gangastan Phase-III, Gupanpally (v) Nizamabad Mandal and Dist.,[by SPAD-along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds]
4. One CC to Sri. A SRINIVAS Advocate [OPUC]
5. One spare copy ✓

HIGH COURT

VRKR, J

DATED: 02-07-2026

LIST THIS MATTER ON 23.07.2026.

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CRP.No.1808 of 2026

INTERIM STAY

