

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA : HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.P.No.10766 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	05.08.2026	JSR,J <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> Dispensed with for the present. JSR,J <u>Crl.P.No.10766 of 2026</u> This Criminal Petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking to quash the proceedings in S.C.No.132 of 2020 on the file of the Court of the Special Sessions Judge of FTC for POCSO Cases at Peddapally, for the offences under Sections 452 and 354-D of IPC and Section 9 r/w 10 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (for short 'the POCSO Act'). Heard Ms.D.Samhita, learned counsel, representing Mr.P.Ramesh Babu, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. R.Giri Kumar, learned counsel for respondent No.2, and Mr.Jithendar Rao Veeramalla, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent No.1-State. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence	

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. Even according to the allegations levelled in the complaint, the ingredients of the offences under Sections 452 and 354-D of IPC and Section 9 r/w 10 of the POCSO Act are not attracted. However, during the pendency of this criminal petition, at the instance of elders and well wishers, respondent No.2 and the petitioner were entered into compromise and filed I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2026 seeking to permit the parties to compromise the matter and to record the compromise respectively along with joint memo. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submitted that respondent No.2 filed her sworn affidavit, wherein she specifically mentioned that she does not wants to continue the proceedings against the petitioner and she wants to live happily. Unless this Court records the compromise and compound the offences, she also will be put to great hardship. Learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for respondent No.2 further submit that the parties are ready and willing to appear before the High Court Legal Services Committee. When this Court raised a query as to whether the parties are entitled to seek recording of a compromise in respect of heinous offences, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted	

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		that in similar circumstances, this Court in CrI.P.No.11980 of 2024 recorded a compromise and quashed the proceedings and relied upon the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab¹, Parbatbhai Aahir v. State of Gujarat², Dhandapani v. State represented by the Inspector of Police³, XXXX v. State of Kerala⁴ and Manjunatha v. State of Karnataka⁵. He also relied upon the principle laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Mahesh Mukund Patel v. State of Uttar Pradesh and others⁶. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has not opposed the same. Taking into consideration the peculiar facts and circumstances and the sworn affidavit filed by respondent No.2 coupled with the joint memo filed by the respective parties as well as the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the judgments cited <i>supra</i>, the petitioner and respondent No.2 are directed to appear before the High Court Legal Services Committee for identification, along with their identity cards, on or before 14.08.2026.	

¹ (2012) 10 SCC 303

² (2017) 9 SCC 641

³ CrI.A.No.796 of 2022

⁴ CrI.M.C.No.2031 of 2024

⁵ CrI.P.No.4658 of 2024

⁶ CrI.A.No.001005 of 2025, dated 28.02.2025

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		Registry is directed to transmit the case file to the High Court Legal Services Committee immediately. The High Court Legal Services Committee shall in turn submit the report before this Court by the next date of hearing. This order will not be taken as a precedent in any other case. Post on 19.08.2026 under the caption 'for compromise'. _____ JSR,J pgp	