



2025:TSHC:51018

[3217]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**FRIDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION NO: 18970 OF 2018

Between:

K.Ravi Sekhar, S/o Jayaram, aged about 58 years, travel business, R/o.
D.No.102, Sravana Apartments, Bhavani nagar, Dilsukh Nagar, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Secretary, Home Department, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.
3. The Station House Officer, Malakpet Police Station, Malakpet, Hyderabad.
4. V.Gurunadham, S/o Laxmaiah, 59 years, R/o 12-11-175/202, Warasiguda, Secunderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents particularly 3rd respondent in calling the Petitioner to Police Station and also getting the promissory note executed for Rs.3,80,000/- in favour of 4th respondent on 6-6-2018 under threat and coercion without registering any case against the petitioner as illegal, unjust, arbitrary, highhanded, motivated, malafide, and discriminative and against statutory provisions and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with the civil or consumer disputes if any between the petitioner and the 4th respondent with further direction to the 3rd respondent to return the promissory note of Rs.3,80,000/- to the petitioner.



2025:TSHC:51018

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 3rd respondent not to summon the petitioner to the police station with regard to the issue of the 4th respondent

Counsel for the Petitioner: Ms. LAHARIKA KALE REP SRI. L J VEERA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1to3: SRI D. PRADEEP , AGP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: --

The Court made the following: ORDER

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI****WRIT PETITION No.18970 OF 2018****ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking the following relief/s:-

“...to issue a Writ Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents particularly 3rd respondent in calling the Petitioner to Police Station and also getting the promissory note executed for Rs 3, 80, 000/- in favour of 4th respondent on 662018 under threat and coercion without registering any case against the petitioner as illegal unjust arbitrary highhanded motivated malafide and discriminative and against statutory provisions and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with the civil or consumer disputes if any between the petitioner and the 4th respondent with further direction to the 3rd respondent to return the promissory note of Rs 3, 80, 000/- to the petitioner and pass.”

2. Heard Ms.Laharika Kale, learned counsel representing on behalf of Mr.L.J.Veera Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.D.Pradeep, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No. 3 has been calling the petitioner to the police station and interfering in civil disputes by insisting upon the execution of promissory notes in favour of the unofficial respondent. It is contended that, in the absence of any criminal case, such interference led to the registration of the present crime. Hence, this petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondent/police



authorities not to interfere in the civil or consumer disputes between the petitioner and respondent No. 4.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home submits that respondent No. 3 has filed a counter affidavit, wherein it is categorically stated that the police authorities, including respondent No. 3, have never interfered in the civil disputes between the petitioner and respondent No. 4, nor have they called the petitioner to the police station or directed him to settle any disputes with respondent No. 4. It is, therefore, contended that the allegations made by the petitioner are baseless and devoid of merit. Thus, prayed for dismissal of the petition.

5. I have perused the material available on record.

6. The grievance of the petitioner is that respondent No. 3 has been interfering in his civil and consumer disputes with respondent No. 4. However, the police authorities have categorically stated that they have not interfered in any such disputes at any point of time. Having regard to this position and keeping in view the limited scope of police intervention in civil disputes, the respondent/police authorities are directed to refrain from interfering in the civil or consumer disputes between the petitioner and respondent No. 4,



except in strict accordance with law and only upon adherence to due process.

7. With this direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous Petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- T.SRINIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

6

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Secretary, Home Department, T.S., Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.
3. The Station House Officer, Malakpet Police Station, Malakpet, Hyderabad.
4. One CC to SRI. L J VEERA REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME (TG) ,High Court for the State of Telangana.
[OUT]
6. Two CD Copies

B M
PSK

CHR




2025:TSHC:51018

HIGH COURT

DATED:14/11/2025

ORDER

WP.No.18970 of 2018



**DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS**

⑨CHR
31/1/26