



[258]

2025:TSHC:51018

**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

**FRIDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND EIGHTEEN**

: PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY
WRIT PETITION NO: 18970 OF 2018**

Between:

K.Ravi Sekhar, S/o Jayaram, aged about 58 years, travel business, R/o. D.No.102, Sravana Apartments, Bhavani nagar, Dilsukh Nagar, Hyderabad.

.....Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Secretary, Home Department, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.
3. The Station House Officer, Malakpet Police Station, Malakpet, Hyderabad.
4. V.Gurunadham, S/o Laxmaiah, 59 years, R/o 12-11-175/202, Warasiguda, Secunderabad.

....Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate Sri L J VEERA REDDY presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents particularly 3rd respondent in calling the Petitioner to Police Station and also getting the promissory note executed for Rs.3,80,000/- in favour of 4th respondent on 6-6-2018 under threat and coercion without registering any case against the petitioner as illegal, unjust, arbitrary, highhanded, motivated, malafide, and discriminative and against statutory provisions and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with the civil or consumer disputes if any between the petitioner and the 4th respondent with further direction to the 3rd respondent to return the promissory note of Rs.3,80,000/- to the petitioner;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri L J VEERA REDDY Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

V.Gurunadham, S/o Laxmaiah, 59 years, R/o 12-11-175/202, Warasiguda, Secunderabad.

are directed to show cause on or before 26-06-2018 to which date the case stands posted to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

As per the averments made in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, serious allegations are made stating that respondent No.3 – Station House Officer, Malakpet Police Station, Malakpet, Hyderabad, himself scribed the promissory note, as if executed by the petitioner in favour of respondent No.4 and by force, signature of the petitioner was obtained on the said promissory note.



2025:TSHC:51018

:2:

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home Produced written instructions denying the allegations of the petitioner.

Notice to respondent No.4

Learned counsel for the petitioner is also permitted to take out personal notice to respondent No.4 and file proof of service.

Post on 26-06-2018 in motion list.

Sd/- N. CHANDRA SEKHAR RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

For ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To,

1. V.Gurunadham, S/o Laxmaiah, 59 years, R/o 12-11-175/202, Warasiguda, Secunderabad. (by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and Affidavit)
2. One CC to SRI. L J VEERA REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME (TG) ,High Court Of Judicature at Hyderabad. [OUT]
4. One Spare Copy.



2025:TSHC:51018

HIGH COURT

ARRJ

DATED:15/06/2018

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

POST ON 26-06-2018
IN MOTION LIST

WP.No.18970 of 2018

