



[ 3166 ]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD**

**THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF MARCH  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE APARESH KUMAR SINGH**

**I.A.No.1 of 2025**

**IN**

**ARBITRATION APPLICATION NO: 6 OF 2021**

**Between:**

M/s. Ananda Bharathi Fertilizers (India) Private Limited, Registered Office at H.No.8-3-167/D/123 & 125/601, Visista Apartments, Phase-1, Kalyan Nagar Colony, Hyderabad-38,  
Rep. by its Liquidator, MS Mano Ranjani. R/o Flat 122, Vasavi Indraprastham Street 1, Czech Colony, Sanath nagar, west Marredpally, Telangana – 500018.

**VERSUS**

**...APPLICANT**

M/s. Nagarjuna Fertilisers and Chemicals Limited, Rep. by its Authorized Officer,  
Registered Office at D.No.8-2-248, Nagarjuna Hills, Panjagutta, Hyderabad-082, Telangana, Rep. by its Authorized Officer.

**...RESPONDENT**

Petition under Section 29A(4) & (5) of the Arbitration and conciliation Act, 1996  
Seeking Extension of time for completion of Arbitral Proceedings and passing of award, praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to extend the time for completion of the arbitral proceedings and for passing of the arbitral award by a further period of 12 months ie., 06.01.2026 from the date of expiry to 06.01.2027 or from such date as this Honble Court may fit under Section 29A(4) and (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation act 1996 permit the Sole Arbitrator, Hon'ble Justice (Retd) M. Venkata Ramana to continue with the arbitral proceedings without any substitution as the delay is not attributable to the Arbitrator.



2023:TSHC:4226

**Counsel for the Applicant: Sri. Srinivas Gangishetti**

**Counsel for the Respondent: Sri. Vikram Pooserla**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**

Mr. Srinivas Gangishetti, learned counsel appears for the applicant.

This interlocutory application has been preferred by the applicant seeking extension of time to conclude the arbitration proceedings.

The appointment of the arbitrator was earlier made vide order dated 27.01.2023 passed by this Court.

However, in view of the recent decision rendered by the Apex Court in Jagdeep Chowgule v. Sheela Chowgule and others [2026 SCC OnLine SC 124], learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this Interlocutory Application with liberty to the applicant to approach the competent court seeking extension of time.

Accordingly, the instant Interlocutory Application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to approach the competent court seeking extension of time. Needless to say, if such an application is filed, the competent court would dispose of the same in an expeditious manner.

**Sd/- R.K ARTHIKEYAN**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

**SECTION OFFICER**

**To**

1. Sri Justice M. Venkata Ramana, Retired High Court Judge # A 204, Niharika Signature Apartments, Opp. NCC Urban, Gachibowli, Hyderabad - 500032. (By Special Messenger) (Along with a copy of affidavit and material papers)
2. One CC to Sri. Srinivas Gangishetti, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri. Vikram Pooserla, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

PR/BA

K



2023:TSHC:4226

**HIGH COURT**


**DATED: 26/03/2026**

**ORDER**

**I.A.NO.1 OF 2025**

**IN**

**ARBAPPL.No.6 of 2021**



**DISMISSED AS WITHDRAWN**

*Copies  
18  
9/4/26*