

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**MONDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (TR)ANSFERED NO: 2986 OF 2017

Between:

G. VINOD KUMAR, S/o. G. Dharmapal ,Aged about 33 years, Occ. Un-
employee, R/o. H.No.13-1-408, Razdharkhanpet, Asifnagar Mandal, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. Government of Telangana State, Rep by its Chief Commissioner of Land
Administration, Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Hyderabad District Hyderabad.,
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer cum Chairman Divisional Selec, tion
Committee, Hyderabad Dvision, Hyderabad
4. The Mandal Tahasildar, Asif Nagar Mandal, Hyderabad,

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the
circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be
pleased to issue to a) Declare the action of the 2nd 3rd and 4th respondents for
not considering the representation of the applicant even when applicant
meritoriously qualified in the written examination with 50% marks and secured
second rank i.e. 1348 for the post of VRA and his name was shown as 2nd
selection candidate in the selected list as illegal arbitrary irregular bias and
violative of Article 14 and 16 Constitution of India and against principles of
natural justice. b) Direct the 2nd 3rd and 4th respondents to appoint the

applaant as a VRA in the open category in the office of Tahsildar, Asifnagar Mandal, Hyderabad in existing post which is unfilled as on today.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI J. RAMA MOHANA RAO (Not Present)

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES I

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (TR) No.2986 of 2017

Date: 22.12.2025

Between:

G.Vinod Kumar.

...Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Chief Commissioner of Land Administration,
Nampally, Hyderabad & three others.

...Respondents

ORDER:

On 24.11.2025, 01.12.2025 and 11.12.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and the matter was directed to be listed on 18.12.2025 under the caption, 'for dismissal'.

2. Even today i.e., on 22.12.2025, in spite of the matter being listed under the caption, 'for dismissal', there is no

representation on behalf of the petitioner, either in the forenoon or afternoon sessions. It can, thus, be inferred that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case any further.

3. In view of the above, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed. No costs.

Sd/-M.OSMAN ALI BAIG
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. J RAMA MOHANA RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES I, High Court for the State of Telangana At Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies


DAN
PMK

HIGH COURT

DATED:22/12/2025

ORDER

WP(TR).No.2986 of 2017



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION (TR)
FOR NON-PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS**

6 MT
31/3/26