

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2313 OF 2019

Petitioner under Article 227 of the Constitution of India aggrieved by the Order dated 24-06-2019 Passed in I.A.No. 161 of 2019 in OS No. 62 of 2019 on the file of the Junior Civil Judge at Tandur, Ranga Reddy District.

Between:

Yerra Mallappa, S/o. Hanmappa, Aged about 60 years, Occupation. Agriculture,
R/o. Pagidipally Village, Yalal Mandal, Vikarabad District, Telangana State.

...Petitioner/Respondent/Plaintiff

AND

1. Apthagiri Sailu, S/o. Buddappa, Aged about 40 years, Occupation. Agriculture,
2. Apthagiri Praveen, S/o. Narsimulu, Aged about 25 years, Occupation. Agriculture, Both are R/o. Pagidipally Village, Yalal Mandal, Vikarabad District.

...Respondents/Petitioners/Defendants

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2019

Petition under Section 151 of CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in the main suit O.S.No. 62 of 2019 on the file of the Junior Civil Judge, Ranga Reddy District, at Tandur, pending disposal of the Civil Revision Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri. K Amarnath Reddy (No Appearance)

Counsel for the Respondents: Sri. N Praveen Reddy

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION No.2313 of 2019

Date: 26.03.2026

Between:

Yerra Mallappa

...Petitioner

AND

Apthagiri Sailu and another

... Respondents

ORDER

When the matter was listed on 18.03.2026, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and then the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'For Dismissal'.

2. Accordingly, today when the matter was called, though the Court proceedings were made available in Hybrid mode (both Virtual & Physical), there was no representation on behalf of petitioner in the morning session and the matter was passed over to afternoon session to give a fair opportunity to the petitioner to represent their case, however, when the matter was taken up in the afternoon session, none appeared for the petitioner.

3. In view of the above, it appears that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the matter, hence, this Court has no other option except to dismiss the matter for non-prosecution.

4. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

SD/- M.NAGAMANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,


1. The Junior Civil Judge at Tandur, Ranga Reddy District.
2. One CC to Sri. K. Amarnath Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri. N. Praveen Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

GNK/KA

18

HIGH COURT

DATED: 26/03/2026



ORDER

CRP.No.2313 of 2019

**DISMISSING THE CIVIL REVISION PETITION
FOR NON-PROSECUTION & WITHOUT COSTS**

6 copies
for
28/4/26