

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**MONDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 610 OF 2026

Criminal Revision Case filed under Section 438 & 442 of BNSS against the Judgment dated 15-06-2026 made in CrI.M.P. No. 214 of 2025 in M.C. No. 238 of 2024 on the file of the Court of the Family Court, Ranga Reddy District at LB Nagar.

Between:

Medipalli Gouresh @ Gowrish, S/o. Komuraiah, Age. about 29 years, Occ. Wedding Events / Mimicry Artist, R/o. H.No. 67-356, 1st Zone, Mandamari, Mandamari Mandal, Mancherla District, Telangana State – 504231.

... Accused/Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad
2. Smt. Medipalli Sai Ramya Sri, W/o. Medipalli Gouresh, Age. about 30 years, Occ. Household
3. Medipalli Pragyan (minor), S/o. Gouresh, aged about 3 years, rep. by mother / natural guardian Respondent No. 2.
4. Medipalli Shivadimika (minor), D/o. Gouresh, aged about 1 year, rep. by mother / natural guardian Respondent No. 2. Respondents 2 to 4 are R/o. H.No. 11-147/2, New Brundavan Colony, Badangpet, Balapur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana State -500058.

...Respondents

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 438 (1) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the execution and operation of the impugned Docket Order dated 15-06-2026 in CrI.M.P. No. 214 of 2025 in M.C. No. 238 of 2024 and release the petitioner on bail pending disposal of the accompanying Criminal Revision Case.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri. K Rajashekar

**Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri Arun Kumar Doddla, Additional
Public Prosecutor**

Counsel for the Respondent Nos . 2 to 4 : _ _ _

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.610 of 2026

DATE: 22.06.2026

Between:

Medipalli Gouresh @ Gowrish

.... Accused/Petitioner
(Respondent in M.C.No.238 of 2024)

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for State of Telangana
at Hyderabad and 3 others.

.... Respondents

ORDER

This Criminal Revision Case is filed by the revision petitioner, who is arrayed as Accused in M.C.No.238 of 2024 on the file of the learned Judge, Family Court, Ranga Reddy District at L.B. Nagar, seeking to suspend the execution and operation of the impugned docket order dated 15.06.2026 in Crl.M.P.No.214 of 2025 in M.C.No.238 of 2024 and to release the petitioner on bail.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner is the husband of Respondent No.2 and the father of Respondent Nos.3 and 4. The respondents filed M.C.No.238 of 2024 under Section 144 BNSS before the Family Court, Ranga Reddy District at L.B. Nagar seeking maintenance. The petitioner was set *ex-parte* on 18.11.2024, and by order dated 10.02.2025, the Family Court directed him to pay maintenance of Rs.20,000/- per month to Respondent No.2 and Rs.15,000/- per month each to Respondent Nos.3 and 4 (in total Rs.50,000/-). Pursuant thereto, Respondent No.2 initiated execution proceedings in Crl.M.P.No.214 of 2025 under Section 144(3) BNSS, wherein a Non-Bailable Warrant was issued against the petitioner. Upon coming to know of the *ex-parte* order and execution proceedings in March, 2026, the petitioner filed applications for recall of the NBW, condonation of delay and setting aside the *ex-parte* order. During the pendency of the said applications, the petitioner deposited Rs.1,00,000/- through Demand Drafts dated 12.06.2026, which were received and acknowledged by Respondent No.2. However, while the said applications were still pending, the petitioner was arrested on 15.06.2026 in execution of the NBW and produced before the Family Court. On the same day, the learned Family Court, by the impugned Docket Order

in CrI.M.P.No.214 of 2025, recorded that the petitioner had refused to pay the arrears and sentenced him to imprisonment for one month or until payment if sooner made. Aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner has preferred the present Criminal Revision Case.

3. Heard Sri K. Rajashekar, learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is a mimicry artist with no fixed monthly income and suffering from health issues including asthma and that the impugned Docket Order dated 15.06.2026 was passed without recording any finding that the petitioner had failed to pay maintenance "without sufficient cause" and that the order is a non-speaking one. He further submitted that the court below failed to consider that the petitioner had already paid Rs.1,00,000/- through Demand Drafts dated 12.06.2026, which were duly received by Respondent No.2, and therefore the observation that the petitioner had refused to pay the arrears is contrary to the record and that the earlier draft was returned only due to an inadvertent clerical error in the account particulars and not due to any refusal on the part of the

petitioner and that issuance of NBW, taking the petitioner is judicial custody and his continued detention would seriously prejudice his right to contest the proceedings. Therefore, he prayed the Court to allow this Criminal Revision Case and to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Having considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and upon perusal of material available on record, it is observed that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 15.06.2026 and that the impugned order appears to have been passed without affording him an adequate opportunity of hearing. It is also noted that the petitioner has already paid a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- towards the maintenance arrears to respondent Nos.2 to 4. In view of the above facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to allow the Criminal Revision Case by setting aside the Docket Order dated 15.06.2026 passed in CrI.M.P. No.214 of 2025 in M.C. No.238 of 2024 on the file of the Family Court, Ranga Reddy District at L.B. Nagar, and directing the release of the petitioner on bail, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of

Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judge, Family Court, Ranga Reddy District at L.B. Nagar.

- ii. The petitioner shall pay a further sum of Rs.50,000/- to Respondent Nos.2 to 4 within one week towards arrears of maintenance from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

SD/- N CHANDRA SEKHAR RAO,
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//



SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Family Court, Ranga Reddy District at LB Nagar
2. The Superintendent, Cherlapalli Jail, Medchal-Malkajgiri District (**By Special Messenger**)
3. One CC to SRI. K. RAJASHEKAR, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad (OUT)
5. Two CD Copies

ADK




HIGH COURT

DATED: 22/06/2026

ORDER

CRLRC.No.610 of 2026



ALLOWING THE CRLRC

⑨ SF.
22/06/26