

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA

WP NO: 19216 OF 2026

Between

Mahadev Gonda, Son of Mohan, Aged about 33 years, Resident of Hyderabad,
Licence Holder of Stall No.23 i.e., Fruits and Fruit Juice Stall in JBS,
Secunderabad.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. Telangana State Road Transport Corporation, Rep. by its Managing Director,
Bus Bhavan, Musheerabad. Hyderabad.
2. Deputy Regional Manager, Ranga Reddy Region, Telangana State Road
Transport Corporation, MGBS, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 2nd respondent, terminating the licence of the petitioner in respect of Stall No.23 in JBS, Secunderabad, vide his Order bearing No.P4/122(38)/2021-RR dated 05/05/2026, is without jurisdiction, highly unjust, highly arbitrary, discriminatory, suffers from non-application of mind, violative of principles of natural justice and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India, illegal and unsustainable

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the Order of the 2nd respondent bearing No.P4/122(38)/2021-RR dated 05/05/2026, terminating the licence of the petitioner in respect of the Stall No.23 in JBS, Secunderabad, Pending disposal of WP No 19216 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

✓

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI C Ramachandra Raju Advocate for the Petitioner, the Court made the following.

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for Telangana State Road Transport Corporation for short, "TSRTC") appearing for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the action of the respondents in terminating the license of the petitioner is arbitrary, violative of the principles of natural justice and grossly disproportionate and is also vitiated on account of the depot manager's report dated 27.04.2026 not being furnishing to the petitioner. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner's explanation to the earlier show cause notice was ignored and that fines were unilaterally imposed under coercion.

Per contra, learned Standing counsel appearing for the respondent-Corporation submits that the writ petition is not maintainable, inasmuch as the dispute arises out of a contractual obligation. It is further submitted that the petitioner has an efficacious alternative remedy by way of an appeal before the Executive Director, TSRTC. Learned Standing Counsel seeks time till 20.07.2026 to file counter affidavit.

Having regard to the rival submissions, this Court deems it appropriate to grant time to the respondents to file counter affidavit.

Post the matter on 20.07.2026.

Meanwhile, there shall be interim suspension of the impugned order, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to respondent No.2 to the effect that he shall not sell any unauthorized items from the shop/stall.

Post along with WP.No.1647/2026.

Sd/- **B.REKHA RANI**
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Managing Director, Telangana State Road Transport Corporation, Bus Bhavan, Musheerabad. Hyderabad.[By SPAD]
2. Deputy Regional Manager, Ranga Reddy Region, Telangana State Road Transport Corporation, MGBS, Hyderabad.[By SPAD]
3. One CC to Sri. C RAMACHANDRA RAJU Advocate [OPUC]
4. One spare copy



HIGH COURT

NBK, J

DATED: 22-06-2026

Post on 20.07.2026 along with WP.No.1647/2026

ORDER

WP.No.19216 of 2026



INTERIM SUSPENSION