

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE: CrI.A.No.377 of 2021

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	20.07.2026	<u>KL, J & VRKR, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. M.Ramchandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent-State. Petitioner herein is the sole accused in S.C. No.181 of 2017 on the file of the learned IV Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad. <i>Vide</i> the impugned Judgment dated 18.12.2020, the learned trial Court convicted the petitioner for the offence punishable under Section 302 of IPC and sentenced him to undergo life imprisonment. Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that there is no direct evidence to the incident and the version of prosecution witnesses is unbelievable. The Investigating Officer did not seize the motor cycle. The statement of PW.14 was recorded after one month from date of the incident. There is no last scene theory. The deceased did not raise any hue and cry at the time of incident and he is not a child. Without considering the said aspects, learned trial Court convicted the petitioner herein for the aforesaid offence and sentenced him to undergo life imprisonment. He is in jail from the year	

		2018. Whereas, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor would contend that the learned trial Court convicted the petitioner for the aforesaid offence basing on the evidence of prosecution witnesses. PW.14 is eye-witness and also injured witness. Test identification parade was also conducted. Petitioner is having criminal history and he involved in ten (10) cases, out of which four (4) cases were ended in conviction. In one case, NBWs are pending. Therefore, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the present application. It is apt to note that PW.14 – eye witness and also injured witness, specifically deposed with regard to the offence committed by the petitioner. He has also stated that the accused informed that he is a don of that area and already he killed one person and also underwent jail. Accused beat PW.14 and snatched away Rs.2,000/- cash from him. He has also stated with regard to the person, the accused has taken on a motor cycle. Even then, nothing was elicited from him during cross-examination. PW.15 deposed about conducting of test identification parade, etc. According to the prosecution, petitioner involved in ten (10) cases including the present case. Four (4) cases were ended in conviction including the present case. In Crime	
--	--	--	--

No.1131 of 2018, the offences alleged against him are under Sections 384, 448 and 506 of IPC. In Crime No.501 of 2015, the offences alleged against him are under Sections 323, 324, 506 of IPC. In Crime No.144 of 2019, the offence alleged against him is under Section 386 of IPC. In four cases, petitioner was convicted by the trial Courts. Petitioner is a habitual offender. His criminal history is from 2014 to 2018.

In the light of the aforesaid discussion, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner herein and the several grounds and contentions raised by the petitioner can be considered at the time of hearing of the appeal finally.

Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

KL, J

VRKR, J

Crl.A.No.377 of 2021

List on 19.08.2026.

B/o.
Pns

--	--	--	--