

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE: CrI.A.No707 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
03.	08.07.2026	<u>SKS, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> Having satisfied with the reasons mentioned in the accompanied affidavit, the delay of 31 days in filing the Criminal Appeal, is condoned. Accordingly, this Interlocutory Application is ordered. <u>I.A.No.2 of 2026</u> This Interlocutory Application is filed with a prayer to grant bail to the petitioner dated 24.11.2025 passed in S.C.(POCSO) No.193 of 2021 by the I Additional District and Sessions Judge, Bhadradri Kothagudem District. The accused is convicted and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for seven (7) years with a fine of Rs.1,000/-, in default to undergo simple imprisonment for three (3) months, for the offence under Section 9(1) r/w 10 of the POCSO Act, 2012; rigorous imprisonment for three (3) years with a fine of Rs.1,000/-, in default to undergo simple	

	imprisonment for three (3) months, for the offence under Section 354-B IPC; and rigorous imprisonment for three (3) years with a fine of Rs.1,000/-, in default to undergo simple imprisonment for three (3) months, for the offence under Section 452 IPC. All the substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that though there is no evidence on record to prove the allegations against the petitioner, the trial Court erroneously convicted the petitioner and that he is having good grounds to succeed in the appeal and the final hearing of the appeal may be taken considerable time. Therefore, he prayed the Court to allow this petition. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent, opposed the bail petition, contending that there is no illegality in the order of the trial Court and that the trial Court has rightly passed the impugned	
--	--	--

	order. He further submitted that there are one other cases pending against the petitioner. Therefore, while advocating that there is ample evidence on record against the petitioner, he prayed the Court to dismiss the petition. Perused the contents of the affidavit filed in support of the petition. Having regard to the rival submissions and on perusal of the material on record, this Court finds that the petitioner has made out a prima facie case for suspension of judgment, particularly in view of the grounds urged in the appeal. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the view that the sentence of imprisonment can be suspended pending disposal of the criminal appeal, subject to conditions. In the result, the sentence imposed on the petitioner/accused 24.11.2025 passed in S.C.(POCSO) No.193 of 2021 by the I Additional District and Sessions Judge, Bhadradi Kothagudem District, is hereby suspended pending	
--	---	--

		disposal of the criminal appeal, subject to the petitioner executing a personal bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like sum each, to the satisfaction of I Additional District and Sessions Judge, Bhadravadi Kothagudem District. During bail, the petitioner/appellant/accused shall not indulge in any criminal acts, and in case of failure to do so, the respondent – State is at liberty to file petition for cancellation of bail. <u>Crl.A.No.707 of 2026</u> ADMIT. List on 12.08.2026. In the meantime, Registry is directed to call for record from the trial Court and prepare paper book and place before this Court. SKS, J SAI	
--	--	---	--