

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA :
HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE NO: W.P.No.19060 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	22.06.2026	<u>TMD, J</u> <u>W.P.No.19060 of 2026</u> Notice before admission. Learned Government Pleader for Home takes notice on behalf of respondents 1 to 5 and waives further notice. Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondents 6 to 20 by speed post with acknowledgement due and file proof of service. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has filed a suit in O.S.No.359 of 2001 on the file of the IV Additional Senior Civil Judge (FTC) at L.B.Nagar, R.R. District, and that it was decreed on 29.08.2008 and aggrieved by the same, the 7th respondent herein has filed A.S.No.201 of 2008 on the file of the Principal District Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar which was dismissed	Transferred to I-Order folder <i>Contd..2.</i>

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		on 30.06.2016 and thereafter a review in I.A.No.2289 of 2016 in A.S.No.201 of 2008 was also filed which was also dismissed for default on 24.11.2023 and in the meantime, the petitioner has filed E.P.No.213 of 2017 on the file of the Principal Senior Civil Judge, R.R.District at L.B.Nagar which is still pending consideration. It is submitted that the unofficial respondents are trying to interfere with the possession of the petitioner's property and therefore, the petitioner has given representations dt.15.03.2026 and again on 12.06.2026 to the respondent police authorities to provide police protection and the grievance of the petitioner is that no action is being taken by the police. He places reliance on the decisions of this Court in the case of Kotak Maindra Bank Limited Vs. The Station House Officer, Madhapur P.S. Hyderabad and others [2015 SCC OnLine Hyd 285 : (2016) 1 ALD 696 (DB)] and in the case of Satyanarayanna Tiwari Vs. S.H.O., P.S. Santhoshnagar, Hyderabad, i.e. Inspector of Police, P.S. Santhoshnagar Hyderabad and others (APLJ 1982 (2) (HC) 163) and also a decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of P.R.	<i>Contd..3.</i>

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		Murlidharan and others Vs. Swami Dharmananda Theertha Padar and others [(2006) 4 SCC 501] to submit that where the issue has attained finality and there is a decree of Civil Court, the police are duty bound to take action and even this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India can issue Mandamus for the same. Learned Government Pleader for Home seeks time to get instructions in this matter. He also expresses doubt as to whether the issue has become final or whether any application has been filed by the appellant for restitution of the review application by the unofficial respondents. In view of the same, this Court, as an interim measure, directs the respondent officials to look into the representations of the petitioner dt.15.03.2026 and 12.06.2026 and make enquiries with regard to the contentions of the petitioner and take action strictly in accordance with law as well as the directions of the Courts in the above referred to cases. List this matter on 20.07.2026. _____ TMD, J Svv	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE