

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAMAVARAPU RAJESHWAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 30971 OF 2010

Between:

V. Sadaanandam, S/o.Late V.L.Sastri Air force officer, Service Personal No. 6379,
R/o. Plot No. 157, Vayupuri, Secunderabad - 500 094.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Defence Head Quarters, New Delhi - 110 011.
2. Chief of the Air Staff, Air Head Quarters, New Delhi 110 011.
3. Controller General of Defence Accounts, R.K. Puram, New Delhi 110 066.
4. Prl. Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Draupadi Ghat, Allahabad, U.P. 201 014.
5. Central Account (Air Force), Subroto Park, New Delhi - 110 011.
6. Dy. CDA (AF), New Delhi 110 011.
7. Air Officer, Commanding, Force Station, Hakimpet, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring- (a) the action of the respondents in not granting pension in corresponding scale of pay as on 01.01.1996 in the pay scale of Squadron Leader (Selection Grade) equivalent to the pay scale of Wing Commander (TS) as per the scale of pay held by the petitioner at the time of his retirement and rejecting the request of the petitioner for such grant of his pension under para 5(a)(iv) of AFSI-2/S/98 and the proceedings dated 7.6.1999 read with proceedings dated 11.02.2001, as arbitrary, illegal, violative of the Fundamental

Rights guaranteed to him under Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India (b) consequently direct the respondents to fix the pension of the petitioner w.e.f. 1.1.96 in terms of para 5(a)(iv) of AFSI-2/S/98 corresponding to the scale of pay drawn by the petitioner at the retirement along with the arrears with the interest or 18%.

Counsel for the Petitioner : M/s.NIVARTHI MRUTHYUNJAYA SASTRY

**Counsel for the Respondents: SRI K.ARVIND KUMAR,
SC FOR CENTRAL GOVERNMENT COUNSEL**

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NAMAVARAPU RAJESHWAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No.30971 OF 2010

ORDER:

When the matter was taken up for hearing on earlier occasions, i.e., on 10.10.2025 and 31.10.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed on 11.11.2025 under the caption "For Dismissal".

2. Today, though the matter is listed under the caption "For Dismissal", there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the matter.

3. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

SD/- S. MALLIKARJUNA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR



//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to M/s.NIVARTHI MRUTHYUNJAYA SASTRY, Advocate. [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI K.ARVIND KUMAR, SC FOR CENTRAL GOVERNMENT COUNSEL. [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies.

BSK

TJ




HIGH COURT

DATED:11/11/2025

ORDER

WP.No.30971 of 2010



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS**

⑤ NT
12/3/26