

**THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

MONDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 19432 OF 2025

Between:

1. Maduri Dinesh, S/o. Lingamurthy.
2. Kothapalli Jagadeeshwar, S/o Kothapalli Sreemannarayana.
3. Thotla Sarangam, S/o. Thotla Venkataiah.
4. Madishetty Mohan Rao, S/o. Madishetty Somaiah.
5. Srikonda Andalu, W/o. Srikonda Jayashankar.
6. Rudra Surender, S/o. Rudra Veerabadraiah.
7. Thavidishetti Karunakar, S/o. Thavidishetti Laxmaiah.
8. Bogaju Venugopala Chary,, S/o. Bogaju Brahmachari.
9. Samala Narsaiah,, S/o. Samala Veeraiah.
10. Bogaju Nageshwara Chary, S/o. Bogaju Brahmachari.
11. Renukuntla Narsaiah, S/o. Renukuntla Ramaiah.
12. Renukuntla Kommaiah, S/o. Renukuntla Ramaiah.
13. Renukuntla Shantan Kumar, S/o. Renukuntla Ravi Baba.
14. Chelamalla Kiran, S/ o. Chelanialla Sambaiah.
15. Kothapally Yadamma, W/o. Kothapally Mohan Rao.

Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Chief Secretary, Dr. B R Ambedkar Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Transport, Roads and Buildings (T.R.II) Department, Dr. B R Ambedkar Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary to the Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Dr. B R Ambedkar Secretariat, Hyderabad.
4. The Commissioner, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Telangana State DTCP Buildings, Masab Tank, Hyderabad.
5. The District Collector, Mahabubad District, Telangana State.
6. Municipality of Kesamudram, Rep by its Commissioner, Kesamudram Station, Kesamudram, Mahabubad District, Telangana State.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the

action of the respondents without following the due procedure of law, without issuing land acquisition notification, without taking objections from the petitioners, without paying lawful compensation cut down the trees and demolishing the commercial buildings of the petitioners, which are existed besides of the road of Kesamudram Station, Kesamudram and the 2nd Respondent has issued an impugned G.O.Rt.No. 884, dated. 18-11-2024 by extending the Gudur -Kesainudram Road from 22 feet to 100 feet 14/ 5 to 16/1 to an extent of 1.60 KMS Road in Kesamudram Town Limits as an ex-facie, illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, unjust, unfair, unreasonable, irrational, whimsical, non-application of mind and in violation of the provisions of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act 2013 and in violation of principles of Natural justice and in violation of Article 14, 21, 51-A and 300 -A of the Constitution of India and against the catena of judgments of an Apex Court and this Hon'ble Court and set-aside the same and consequently direct the respondents without following the due procedure of law and without taking objections from the petitioners and without paying the compensation to the petitioners shall not to demolish the commercial buildings of the petitioners, which are existed besides of the road from 14 /5 to 16/1 to an extent of 1.60 Kms Road in the Kesamudram Town limits and not to construct the 4 line road from 22 feet to 100 feet in the Kesamudram Town limits, which is unreasonable in the Mandal Head Quarter.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the impugned G.O.Rt.No. 884, dated. 18-11-2024 by extending the Gudur Kesamudram Road from 22 feet to 100 feet 14/ 5 to 16/1 to an extent of 1.60 KMS Road in Kesamudram Town Limits issued by the 2nd respondent forthwith, pending disposal of WP 19432 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to demolish the commercial buildings of the petitioners which are existed besides of the road of the Kesamudram Station, Kesamudram by extending the Gudur Kesamudram Road from 22 feet to 100 feet 14/ 5 to 16/1 to an extent of 1.60 KMS Road in Kesamudram Town Limits in pursuance of the impugned G.O.Rt.No. 884, dated. 18-11-2024 issued by the 2nd respondent without following the due procedure of law, without issuing Land Acquisition Notification, without taking objections from the petitioners and without paying the compensation forthwith, pending disposal of WP 19432 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Prabhakar Chikkudu Advocate for the Petitioners, GP FOR GENERAL ADMINISTRATION for the Respondent No.1, GP for Transport for respondent No.2, GP for M.A.U.D for respondent Nos. 3 & 4, GP for Revenue for respondent No.5 and Mr. Putta Krishna Reddy for respondent No.6.

The Court made the following.

ORDER:

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that petitioners are residence of Kesamudram Station, Kesamudram Municipality, Mahabubabad District

and they are doing various businesses besides the Station road for their livelihood. Learned counsel submits that as reported in the Newspapers the Government has formed the municipality of Station Kesamudram by comprising with 3 villages.

Learned counsel further submits that the proposals have been taken up for widening the road from 22 feet to 100 feet road from Guduru to Kesamudram by issuing the impugned G.O.Rt.No.884 dated 18.11.2024. Learned counsel referring to the news items further submits that the petitioners have approached the concerned competent authorities to the extent of 60 feet road instead of 100 feet road, for which there would not be much damage to the commercial establishments which are owned by the petitioners.

Learned Government Pleader appearing for respondents submits that as on date no work has been started.

In view of the same, *status quo* as on today to be maintained by both the parties, till 10.07.2025.

Post on 09.07.2025

**SD/- MOMINA MEHAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Chief Secretary, Dr. B R Ambedkar Secretariat, Hyderabad, State of Telangana. (by RPAD)
2. The Principal Secretary to Transport, Roads and Buildings (T.R.II) Department, Dr. B R Ambedkar Secretariat, Hyderabad, State of Telangana. (by RPAD)
3. The Principal Secretary to the Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Dr. B R Ambedkar Secretariat, Hyderabad, State of Telangana. (by RPAD)
4. The Commissioner, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Telangana State DTCP Buildings, Masab Tank, Hyderabad. (by RPAD)
5. The District Collector, Mahabubad District, Telangana State. (by RPAD)
6. Municipality of Kesamudram, Rep by its Commissioner, Kesamudram Station, Kesamudram, Mahabubad District, Telangana State. (by RPAD)
7. One CC to Sri Prabhakar Chikkudu Advocate [OPUC]
8. Two CCs to GP FOR GENERAL ADMINISTRATION, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
9. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

NVSK,J

DATED:07/07/2025

POST ON 09.07.2025.

ORDER

WP.No.19432 of 2025

STATUS QUO

