

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI APARESH KUMAR SINGH
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE G.M. MOHIUDDIN**

WA NO: 606 OF 2026

Between:

Nakka Sandhya Rani, W/o Venkateshwara Swamy

**.....APPELLANT/RESPONDENT IN W.P.
AND**

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Secretary to Government Legal Affairs Legislative Affairs and Justice Secretariat Hyderabad - 500004
2. The Collector and District Magistrate, Jangaon District
3. The Principal District Judge, Jangaon
4. Varikota Ramgopal, S/o Late Meenaiah Age 66 years Occ Advocate R/o Plot No145/1381F, Opp: IOC Pump, Siddipet Road, Vikas Nagar, Jangaon Town and District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letter Patent Act, praying that in the circumstances stated in the appeal and the grounds filed therein, Against the Order dated 27.04.2026 Passed in .WP No. 31400 of 2024

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the Judgement passed in WP.No.31400 of 2024 dated 27.04.2026, pending disposal of W.A No. 606 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the appeal and the grounds filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI M. SURENDER RAO, SENIOR COUNSEL REPRESENTING SRI SRINIVASA RAO MADIRAJU Advocate for the Appellant, SRI D. SURENDER KUMAR, AGP FOR LAW LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT for the Respondent no.1 and SRI C.M.R. VELU, Counsel for the Respondent no.4, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

Mr. M. Surender Rao, learned Senior Counsel represents Mr. Srinivasa Rao Madiraju, learned counsel for the petitioner/appellant.

Mr. D. Surender Kumar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Law and Legislative Affairs Department appears for respondent No.1.

Mr. C.M.R. Velu, learned counsel for respondent No.4.

The writ petitioner had sought declaration that the inaction of the respondent authorities in not considering first panel of advocates to be appointed as Law Officers in various Courts at Jangaon District and considering other panels without any notice to the bar association against its valid cancellation was violative of Article 21 of the Constitution of India. He prayed for consequential direction to consider the first panel of advocates for the post of Government Pleader.

During pendency of the writ petition, the present appellant was impleaded as respondent No.4 after issuance of G.O.Rt.No.565, dated 15.09.2025 for her appointment. The said Government Order perhaps was not challenged and the matter was decided by issuance of the following directions:

“23. In effect, the respondents are directed to:

- I. Reconsider the process of appointment to the post of Government Pleader, Principal District Court, Jangaon, strictly in accordance with law, G.O.Ms.No.187 and applicable constitutional principles;
- II. Afford a fair and reasonable opportunity to all eligible candidates, including the petitioner and the respondent No.4;
- III. Undertake the process in a transparent and non-arbitrary manner.”

The appellant contends that on the one hand, the very appointment order in her favour without being made subject matter of challenge has in effect been set aside by directing reconsideration of the process of appointment to the post of Government Pleader in the Principal District Court, Jangaon. At the same time, the learned writ Court despite specific submissions and stand taken in the counter affidavit on her behalf has failed to take note thereof in the impugned order.

A perusal of the impugned order does not show that the appointment order of the appellant was specifically under challenge though she was impleaded in the midst of

the writ proceedings. It further appears from proceedings dated 16.06.2026 issued by the office of the Collector and District Magistrate, Jangaon District, that applications have been invited from eligible candidates practising before the Courts in Jangaon District for preparation of fresh panel for appointment to the post of Government Pleader in obedience to the order passed by the learned writ Court in W.P.No.31400 of 2024, which is under challenge.

In this background, learned Senior Counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is still continuing.

Learned counsel for the writ petitioner seeks time to bring on record any interlocutory application, wherein the appointment of the present appellant was challenged before the learned writ Court.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondent State seeks time to obtain instructions on the proceedings dated 16.06.2026 produced by the learned counsel for the writ petitioner and also on whether the appellant continues to function as a Government Pleader after passing of the impugned order and issuance of proceedings dated 16.06.2026.

As such, the matter is adjourned for two weeks.

List the matter on 06.07.2026.

In the meantime, *status quo* as regards the appellant shall continue till the next date.

Sd/- M.OSMAN ALI BAIG
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Secretary to Government Legal Affairs Legislative Affairs and Justice Secretariat State of Telangana, Hyderabad - 500004
2. The Collector and District Magistrate, Jangaon District
3. The Principal District Judge, Jangaon
4. Varikota Ramgopal, S/o Late Meenaiah, R/o Plot No145/1381F, Opp: IOC Pump, Siddipet Road, Vikas Nagar, Jangaon Town and District.
(Addressees 1 to 4 BY SPAD)
5. One CC to SRI SRINIVASA RAO MADIRAJU Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR LAW LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. Two spare copies.

HIGH COURT

**HCJ
&
GMM, J**

DATED: 22-06-2026

LIST THE MATTER ON 06.07.2026.



ORDER

WA.No.606 of 2026

STATUS QUO