

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE No: W.A.No.606 of 2026

PROCEEDINGS SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	22.06.2026	<u>HCJ (AKrS, J) & GMM,J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> Mr. M. Surender Rao, learned Senior Counsel represents Mr. Srinivasa Rao Madiraju, learned counsel for the petitioner/appellant. Mr. D. Surender Kumar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Law and Legislative Affairs Department appears for respondent No.1. Mr. C.M.R. Velu, learned counsel for respondent No.4. On hearing learned counsel for the petitioner/appellant and learned counsels for the respondents and on being satisfied to the explanation urged, delay of 10 days in filing the instant appeal is condoned. I.A.No.1 of 2026 is disposed of. <u>W.A.No.606 of 2026</u> Mr. M. Surender Rao, learned Senior Counsel represents Mr. Srinivasa Rao Madiraju, learned counsel for the petitioner/appellant. Mr. D. Surender Kumar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Law and Legislative Affairs Department appears for respondent No.1.	Transferred to i/o folder, before corrections, if any.

		Mr. C.M.R. Velu, learned counsel for respondent No.4. The writ petitioner had sought declaration that the inaction of the respondent authorities in not considering first panel of advocates to be appointed as Law Officers in various Courts at Jangaon District and considering other panels without any notice to the bar association against its valid cancellation was violative of Article 21 of the Constitution of India. He prayed for consequential direction to consider the first panel of advocates for the post of Government Pleader. During pendency of the writ petition, the present appellant was impleaded as respondent No.4 after issuance of G.O.Rt.No.565, dated 15.09.2025 for her appointment. The said Government Order perhaps was not challenged and the matter was decided by issuance of the following directions: “23. In effect, the respondents are directed to: I. Reconsider the process of appointment to the post of Government Pleader, Principal District Court, Jangaon, strictly in accordance with law, G.O.Ms.No.187 and applicable constitutional principles; II. Afford a fair and reasonable opportunity to all eligible candidates, including the petitioner and the respondent No.4; III. Undertake the process in a transparent and non-arbitrary manner.” The appellant contends that on the one hand, the very appointment order in her favour without being made subject matter of challenge has in effect been set aside by	
--	--	--	--

		directing reconsideration of the process of appointment to the post of Government Pleader in the Principal District Court, Jangaon. At the same time, the learned writ Court despite specific submissions and stand taken in the counter affidavit on her behalf has failed to take note thereof in the impugned order. A perusal of the impugned order does not show that the appointment order of the appellant was specifically under challenge though she was impleaded in the midst of the writ proceedings. It further appears from proceedings dated 16.06.2026 issued by the office of the Collector and District Magistrate, Jangaon District, that applications have been invited from eligible candidates practising before the Courts in Jangaon District for preparation of fresh panel for appointment to the post of Government Pleader in obedience to the order passed by the learned writ Court in W.P.No.31400 of 2024, which is under challenge. In this background, learned Senior Counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is still continuing. Learned counsel for the writ petitioner seeks time to bring on record any interlocutory application, wherein the appointment of the present appellant was challenged before the learned writ Court. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondent State seeks time to obtain instructions on the proceedings dated 16.06.2026 produced by the learned counsel for the writ petitioner and also on whether the appellant continues to function as a Government Pleader	
--	--	--	--

		after passing of the impugned order and issuance of proceedings dated 16.06.2026. As such, the matter is adjourned for two weeks. List the matter on 06.07.2026. In the meantime, <i>status quo</i> as regards the appellant shall continue till the next date. <u>HCJ(AKrS, J)</u> <u>GMM,J</u> MD	
--	--	--	--